DFPS 2014 Data Book

The Data Book is a descriptive statistical resource of the services provided to the people of the State of Texas by the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) for fiscal year 2014 (September 1, 2013 through August 31, 2014). Data as of November 7, 2014 unless otherwise noted.

Information in this book covers the most frequently asked statistical questions about DFPS programs. Our hope is that it will be beneficial in answering these questions and serve as a continuous resource.

The Data Book provides information in several types of charts using different time intervals and it provides county, regional, and statewide totals. Due to rounding of calculations, some percentages may not add to 100%.

When comparing data from one time period to another, please keep in mind that DFPS has undergone major changes over time. There have been re-alignments, major policy changes, and automation of case management and reporting that have all influenced the data. In some cases this can make direct comparisons across the years misleading.

Note: The information contained in this printed book is subject to change. For the most recent version please see the online version at http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/About/Data_Books_and_Annual_Reports/default.asp

Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
701 West 51st
P.O. Box 149030
Austin, Texas 78714-9030
(512) 438-4800

Table of Contents 2014

Introduction	
DFPS Mission, Vision and Values	
DFPS Regional Boundaries and Regional Headquarter Locations	V111
Statewide Intake	
Statewide Intake Overview	1
Legal Responsibility for Statewide Intake	2
Number of Contacts Received	3
Total Number of Contacts Received by Method of Receipt	3
Number of Contacts Over Time	3
Number of Contacts by Type of Contact	3
Source of Reports of Abuse/Neglect by Program	
Total Phone Calls - English Queue	
Total Phone Calls - All Calls	5
Adult Protective Services	
Adult Protective Services In-Home Overview	7
Legal Responsibility for Adult Protective Services	8
Map - Texas Adult Population Ages 65 and Over	9
Map - Texas Adult Population with a Disability Ages 18 to 64 Years	10
APS In-Home Intake Reports* by Priority	11
Source of Report for APS In-Home Intake Reports	
APS In-Home Intake Reports by Region	
APS In-Home Intakes, Completed Investigations and Validated Cases Fiscal Years 2012 - 2014	
Incidence of Maltreatment per 1,000 Adults in Texas Adult Population by Region	
Map - Adult Protective Services Validated In-Home Investigations	
Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region	
APS In-Home Daily Caseload	
Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region and Disposition	
Recidivism of APS In-Home Cases Fiscal Years 2010-2014	
Recidivism* of APS In-Home Cases by Region	
Characteristics of Validated APS Victims in Completed In-Home Investigations	
Perpetrator Characteristics In Validated APS In-Home Investigations	
Perpetrators in Validated In-Home Investigations	
Number of Referrals Made to Law Enforcement in Completed APS In-Home Cases by Region	
Validated Allegations in APS In-Home Investigations by Type of Abuse/Neglect/Financial Exploitation	
Duration of Service Delivery Stages for APS In-Home Cases During Fiscal Year 2014	
Completed Service Delivery Stages in APS In-Home Cases During Fiscal Year 2014	
Non-Purchased Client Services Delivered for APS In-Home Cases by Region	
Ton I dichased offices between for M o in Home Gases by Region	20
APS Facility Investigations	24
Adult Protective Services Facility Investigations Overview	
Legal Responsibility for Adult Protective Services	
Source of Report for Completed Facility Investigations	
Average Length of Completed Facility Investigations by Region	
Number of Facility Investigations Referred to Law Enforcement by Setting	
Characteristics of Victims in Confirmed Facility Investigations	
Characteristics of Perpetrators in Confirmed Facility Investigations	
Completed Facility Investigations	
Completed Facility Investigations by Setting	
Disposition of Completed Facility Investigations by Setting	
Child Protective Services	
Child Protective Services Overview	27
Legal Responsibility for Child Protective Services	
Concepts Guiding Risk Determination	
Notes about CPS Data	

Map - Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 17 Years	33
Map - Child Protective Services Completed Investigations	
CPS Average Daily Caseload FY 2014	
CPS Average Daily Caseload FY 2013	
CPS Total Initial Intakes and Screened Out Cases	
Risk Assessment Finding of Completed Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations	
Number of Completed Investigations During Fiscal Year Where Family Violence Was Indicated in the Risk Assessm	
Case Action for Risk Indicated Completed Investigations	
Source of Report for Completed Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations by Fiscal Year	
Child Abuse/Neglect Allegation Dispositions	
Number of Child Abuse/Neglect Completed Investigations	
Case Action for Families in Completed Investigations	
Children in Cases Opened for Services as a Result of a Completed Investigation	
Confirmed Allegations of Child Abuse/Neglect by Type of Abuse	
Race/Ethnicity of Selected CPS Statistics Compared to Texas Child Population	
Map - Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	
Profile of Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Victims	
Alleged and Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	
Annual Number of Families Receiving Preservation Services	
Characteristics Of Perpetrators In Confirmed Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect	
Map - Children in Foster Care by County	
Number of Children Removed from Home by Fiscal Year	
Point of Prevalence for Children Entering Substitute Care per 1,000 Children in Texas Population by Region	
Where are Children in DFPS Care?	
Demographics of Children in Foster Care on August 31 by Fiscal Year	
Point of Prevalence for Children in Substitute Care per 1,000 Children in Texas Population by Region on August 31,	1451
Legal Status of Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility on August 31, 2014	51
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility, in Substitute Care or in Foster Care Placements on August 31, 2014	
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility, in Substitute Care or in Foster Care Placements during Fiscal Year	52
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility by Living Arrangement on August 31, by Fiscal Year	53
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility in Non-Foster Care Placements on August 31, by Fiscal Year	
Children in Substitute Care Placements by Living Arrangement Categories on August 31, 2014	
Permanency Goal of Children in Substitute Care for Whom DFPS had Legal Responsibility on August 31, 2014	
Number of DFPS Foster, Foster/Adoptive and Adoptive Homes on August 31, 2014	
CPS Outcomes Based on Data from Fiscal Year 2014	
Length of Time in Substitute Care for Children In DFPS Custody on August 31, 2014	
Children Placed In Adoptive Homes By Region	
Demographics of 5,451 Children Placed in Adoptive Homes	
Children with Disabling Conditions Placed in Adoptive Homes	
Children with a Consummated Adoption by Type of Agency by Fiscal Year	
Children with a Consummated Adoption by Region	
Demographics of 5,364 Children with a Consummated Adoption	
Race/Ethnicity of Children and Adoptive Parents for Adoptions Consummated by Fiscal Year	
Status of Children Who Exited DFPS Legal Custody	
Average Length of Time in Months for Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Type of Exit, Race/Ethnicity	
Average Length of Time in Months for Children Who Exited DFPS Custody by Type of Exit, Race/ Edithicity	
Average Number of Out-of-Home Placements for Children Who Exited DFPS Custody	
Length of Time in Care for Children Who Exited DFPS Custody to a Permanent Home	
Average Monthly Number of Children and Young Adults, FTEs in Paid Foster Care by Service Levels	
Foster Care Expenditures by Source	
Monthly Average Number of Children and Young Adults in Paid Foster Care by Payment Source	
Percent of Change in the Monthly Average Number of Children and Young Adults in Paid Foster Care by Fiscal Yea	
Monthly Average Number of Children and Young Adults in Paid Foster Care by Payment Source and Region	
Families and Children Receiving Kinship Caregiver Monetary Assistance	
Kinship Caregiver Monetary Assistance Payments	
Number of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy by Payment Source and Fiscal Year	
Percent Change Children Provided Adoption Subsidy Monthly Total by Fiscal Year	
Number of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy by Region	
Number of Children Provided Permanency Care Assistance by Fiscal Year	67

Number of Children Provided Permanency Care Assistance by Region	67
Average Number of Children and Families Receiving Purchased Services per Month	68
Average Monthly Number and Percent of Children Receiving CPS Purchased Services by Region	68
Family Team Meetings Conducted by Race/Ethnicity	69
Family Group Conferences Conducted by Race/Ethnicity	69
Circles of Support Conducted by Race/Ethnicity	
Preparation for Adult Living (PAL) Services Youth Ages 16 through 20	70
DFPS Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities	71
DFPS Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities by Region	71
Child Day Care Licensing	
Child Day Care Licensing Overview	
Legal Responsibility for Child-Care Licensing	
Map - Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 13 Years	
Child Day Care Operations in Texas on August 31, 2014	
Child Day Care Operations in Texas on August 31, 2014	
Regional Count of Child Day Care Operations by Fiscal Year	
Licensing of Child Day Care Operations by Region	
Licensing of Child Day Care Operations by Region	
Inspections by Operation Type	
Inspections in Child Day Care Operations by Type of Inspection	
Investigations in Child Day Care Operations	
Investigations in Child Day Care Operations Fiscal Year 2014	
Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Child Day Care Operations	
Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Child Day Care Operations	
Illegal Operations Identified and Resolution	
Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions Initiated in Child Day Care Operations by Region	
Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions Initiated in Child Day Care Operations by Region	
Background Checks for Day Care Licensing Child Care Operations	
State's Top 10 Standards Deficiencies for Child Day Care Operations	88
Residential Child Care Licensing	
Residential Child Care Licensing Overview	
Legal Responsibility for Child-Care Licensing	
Map - Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 17 Years	
Residential Child Care Licensing Operations in Texas as of August 31	
Residential Child Care Licensing Operations in Texas on August 31, by Fiscal Year	
Region Count of Residential Child Care Operations by Fiscal Year	
Region Count of Residential Child Care Operations by Fiscal Year	
Licensing of Residential Child Care Operations by Region	
Inspections by Operation Type	
Inspections in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations by Type of Inspection	
Inspections in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations by Type of Inspection	
Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	
Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	
Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	
Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	
Adverse and Corrective Actions in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations by Region	
Background Checks for Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	
Child Care Administrator Credential Exam	
State's Top 10 Standards Deficiencies for Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	103
Division of Prevention and Early Intervention Legal Responsibility for the Division of Prevention and Early intervention	100
Prevention and Early Intervention - Expenditures and Staffing as of 1/11/2014	
STAR (Services To At-Risk) Youth Who are Registered and Received a Service by Fiscal Year	
STAR (Services To At-Risk) Youth by Presenting Problem	
Community Youth Development (CYD) Youth Served by Fiscal Year	
Community Youth Development (CYD) Average Monthly Clients Served Fiscal Year 2014	
Texas Youth and Runaway Hotlines Incoming Calls by Fiscal Year	
- CHAN - COLL ALL TRAITA TAY I TO CHILO THO CHILD DY I TOUR I CALL TOUR TOUR CONTROL C	, 1 1 <i>(</i>

Characteristics of Hottine Callers	
Number of Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in the Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs	
Characteristics of Families Served in the Child/Abuse Prevention Programs	
Number of Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs	112
Characteristics of Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs	112
Prevention and Early Intervention Performance Outcomes, Outputs and Efficiencies by Fiscal Year	113
Finance	
Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Agency Funding Summary	115
Categorization of Client Services Contracts	
Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Cost per Service by Fiscal Year	
Purchased Client Services Delivered in APS In-Home Validated Cases by Region	
CPS Expenditures Fiscal Year 2014	
CPS Children in Residential Child Care Facilities Fiscal Year 2014	
Prevention and Early Intervention Expenditures Fiscal Year 2014	
Trevention and Early Intervention Experientales risear real 2014	122
County Charts	
APS In-Home Population And Case-Related Statistics	
Population with a Disability Ages 18-64	
Population Ages 65 Plus	
Total APS Intakes	
Total APS Completed Investigations	
Validated APS Investigations	
Closed Service Delivery Stages	
APS Facility Investigations	129
Intakes	
Complete Investigation	
Confirmed Investigations	
CPS Calls, Reports, Intake or Investigation Workers, and Response Time	
Initial Intakes Alleging Abuse/ Neglect	
Child Abuse/ Neglect Reports Assigned for Investigation	
CPS Workers Responsible for Intake or Investigation by Office Location	
Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations	
Completed CPS Abuse/Neglect Investigations	
Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	
Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	
Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations	
Alleged Victims Provided Services	
Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	
Children Removed From Home	
Number of Child Abuse/Neglect Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2014	
Case Action for Families in Completed Investigations: Fiscal Year 2014	
Confirmed CPS Victims and Investigations	
Child Population	
Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	
Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	
Total CPS Completed Investigations	
Confirmed CPS Investigations	162
Percent Investigations Confirmed	162
Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities Fiscal Year 2014	
Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities	174
Child/Abuse Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time	
5 Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in FBSS	
Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2007	
Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2007 - 2014	181
CPS Children in Care During Fiscal Year 2014	
Child Population	
Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility	184
Point of Prevalence	
Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	184

Children in Substitute Care	
Children in Foster Care	
Legal Statuses Granted for Children in Fiscal Year 2014	
Unique Children	214
Possessory CVS	214
TMC	214
PMC: Not Free For Adoption	214
PMC: Free For Adoption	
Adoption Finalized	
DFPS Responsibility Terminated	
Foster Care Expenditures	
Paid Foster Care Client	223
Foster Care Expenditures	
DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes	220
Foster Homes	220
Foster/Adoptive Homes	
Adoptive Homes	220
CPS Purchased Services For Children	
Children Receiving Sub-Care Purchased Services	
Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	
Children In Substitute Care	
Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	
Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	
Children Receiving In-Home Services	
Annual Number of Families Receiving Preservation Services Fiscal Year 2014	
Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity	
Removals by Race/Ethnicity	
Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/Ethnicity	
Children Waiting for Adoption by Race/Ethnicity	
Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Exit Type	
Average Length of Time in Months for Children Who Exit DFPS Legal Custody by Type of Exit: Fiscal Year 2014	208
Child Day Care Licensing Statistics	
Child Population Age 0-13	
Licensed Child Care Centers	
Total Child Care Centers Capacity	
Licensed Child Care Homes	
Licensed Child Care Homes Capacity	
Listed Family Homes	
Registered Child Care Homes	
Residential Child Care Licensing Statistics	
Child Population Age 0-17	
Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	
Homes Verified by CPAs	
General Residential Operations	238
Independent Foster and Group Homes	
Residential Treatment Centers	
Maternity Homes	
Total Residential	
Total Residential Capacity	
Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs	
Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs	247
Definitions	
Adult Protective Services Program	
Child Protective Services Program	
Child Care Licensing Program	
Texas Family Code References	268

DFPS Mission, Vision and Values

DFPS Mission

The mission of The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is to protect children, the elderly, and people with disabilities from abuse, neglect, and exploitation by involving clients, families and communities.

DFPS Vision


The Department of Family and Protective Services:

- Is recognized for innovative, effective services;
- Builds strong, effective partnerships with clients, communities and state leaders;
- Provides effective leadership that is accountable for its actions and communicates openly with clients and stakeholders; and
- Supports staff who are highly motivated, diverse, ethical, well trained, and professional.

DFPS Values

- We protect the unprotected.
- We involve clients, families and communities in decision-making.
- We provide quality services.
- We are innovative and strive for excellence.
- We are ethical and accountable.
- We promote diversity.
- We value our staff.

DFPS Regional Boundaries and Regional Office Locations



SWI

Statewide Intake Overview

Statewide Intake serves as the "front door to the front line" for all DFPS programs. As the central point of contact for reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation of vulnerable Texans, SWI staff are available 24 hours a day, 7 days per week, 365 days per year.

Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff*

Intake Specialists	311.8
Supervisors	34.2
Other Staff	71.1
Total SWI Staff	417.1

Intake Specialist Demographics

Turnover Rate	16.7%
Agency Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	15.8%
1 - 3 Years	24.8%
Greater than 3 Years	59.4%
Entry Salary*	\$30,532.92
Average Age	38.3
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	16.1%
Anglo	57.9%
Hispanic	24.1%
Other	1.9%

Su

upervisor Demographics	
Turnover Rate	11.3%
Tenure as Supervisor:	
Less Than 1 Year	9.4%
1 - 3 Years	21.9%
Greater than 3 Years	68.8%
Entry Salary*	\$41,416.08
Average Age	46.6
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	6.3%
Anglo	62.5%
Hispanic	25.0%
Other	6.3%

SWI Expenditures*

SWI Staff	\$20,009,687

^{*} Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay)

Contact with Statewide Intake

(Phone, Fax, Mail, Internet, Walk-in/Other)

Interview & Assessment Information about the caregiver

- History/ability of caregiver
- History of abuse/neglect or exploitation

Information about the victim

- Mental, physical, or medical disability
- Age
- Ability to protect self
- Access of alleged perpetrator to the alleged
- Location

Information about the alleged abuse/neglect or exploitation

- Duration/severity of problem
- Bodily injury or substantial risk of bodily injury
- Type, location and degree of injury
- Length of time victim unattended
- Safety of surroundings

Resources available to the family General dynamics of family – strength & weaknesses

Meets Statutory Definition of Abuse / **Neglect / Exploitation** (49.0% of calls)

Actions Taken

- Determine DFPS Program
- Determine Priority
- Notify Law
- Enforcement * Notify Field Office
- **Does not Meet Statutory Definition of** Abuse / Neglect / Exploitation

(51.0% of calls)

Actions Taken

- Refer to other Agency
- Provide Resource information

Appropriate Referral Made

Report of Abuse/ Neglect or Exploitation to APS, CPS or CCL

Legal Responsibility for Statewide Intake Statutory References

Federal: Social Security Act
State: Texas Family Code, Human Resource Code

Major Functions

- Centralized point of intake for child abuse and neglect, abuse, neglect or exploitation of people age 65 or older or adults with disabilities, clients served by DSHS or DADS employees in State Hospitals or State Supported Living Centers, and children in licensed child-care facilities or treatment centers for the entire State of Texas.
- Open 365 days a year, twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.
- Able to receive information via phone, internet reporting system, fax, or mailed correspondence.
- Responsibility to assess information received to the definitions of possible abuse, neglect or exploitation for each program served and to prioritize and route the information to the correct destination workload.
- Information and Referral Service as warranted or when information received does not meet statutory definitions.
- Generate Law Enforcement Notifications and route to the correct law enforcement jurisdiction for CPS and CCL programs.
- Ensure confidentiality of IMPACT history and reporter identity.
- Provide background check function for CPS caseworkers after office hours if caseworkers do not have system access to complete their own check for emergency removals.
- Quality Assurance unit to review complaints, random call monitors and assist in development of policy, procedure and best practice.

- Point program for identifying problems with IMPACT rollouts.
- Provide daily reports on call volume per application; hold times per application, etc.
- Integrate hardware and software upgrades to phone and computer systems to reduce hold times and improve efficiency.
- Use of an IEX Workforce Management System to schedule shifts, breaks and meal times for intake workers in order to maximize efficiency.
- Telstrat Engage call recording system utilized to record phone calls for quality assurance and legal requirements.
- Employee Development Unit leads all training for new and tenured staff

Challenges

- Respond to ever increasing number of phone calls, Internet reports, fax and mailed correspondence while keeping hold times to 8.7 minutes or less while maintaining a high quality of intakes.
- Increase number of bilingual staff.
- Reduce turnover.

Number of Contacts* Received

Fiscal Year	Hold Time	# of Contacts	% Change
2010	8.9	748,278	8.4%
2011	7.3	780,023	4.2%
2012	8.5	773,580	-0.8%
2013	8.1	731,163	-5.5%
2014	6.5	769,905	5.3%


Note: Hold time is for the English phone queue only.

Update to the code reflects a more accurate count of entries recorded in IMPACT and may not reflect information in past Data Books.

Total Number of Contacts* Received by Method of Receipt Fiscal Year 2014

Contact Type	Count	%
Phone	608,990	79.1%
Internet	130,568	16.9%
Mail/Fax	25,243	3.3%
CPS/ICPC	3,679	0.5%
Other	877	0.1%
Data Match	489	0.1%
Walk-in	59	0.0%
Total	769 905	

Number of Contacts* Over Time



Number of Contacts* by Type of Contact Fiscal Year 2014

	APS In-Home	APS Facility	CPS	RCCL	DCL	Total	% of Total
Reports of Alleged Abuse/Neglect	103,019	12,314	254,537	4,039	3,332	377,241	49.0%
Case Related Special Requests	20	0	6,327	0	0	6,347	0.8%
Non Case Related Special Requests						94	0.0%
Information and Referral - Related to Protective Services		Data not available by program				327,129	42.5%
Information and Referral - Not Related to Protective Services						59,094	7.7%
Grand Total	103,039	12,314	260,864	4,039	3,332	769,905	

^{*}The term "contacts" represents information received by DFPS and then entered into IMPACT system. Information may be received in the form of a telephone call, via Internet reporting system, regular mail or via fax. Contacts may not match number of Abuse/Neglect Intakes in respective program sections.

Source of Reports of Abuse/Neglect by Program Fiscal Year 2014

	To	tal		CPS	APS In	-Home
Source of Report	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Medical Personnel	69,742	18.5%	45,387	17.8%	22,057	21.4%
School	47,765	12.7%	46,563	18.3%	611	0.6%
Relative	45,491	12.0%	27,945	11.0%	17,125	16.6%
Law Enforcement	42,143	11.2%	36,313	14.3%	5,181	5.0%
Other	28,232	7.5%	18,764	7.4%	8,000	7.8%
Parent	26,024	6.9%	23,376	9.2%	1,319	1.3%
Community Agency	24,383	6.5%	8,863	3.5%	13,805	13.4%
Friend-Neighbor	19,554	5.2%	13,374	5.2%	5,968	5.8%
Anonymous	17,749	4.7%	13,815	5.4%	2,997	2.9%
Victim	16,483	4.4%	795	0.3%	12,830	12.5%
DFPS Staff	10,877	2.9%	7,569	3.0%	1,716	1.7%
Provider	8,057	2.1%	984	0.4%	6,648	6.4%
Legal/Court	4,634	1.2%	3,994	1.6%	420	0.4%
Institutional Personnel	4,230	1.1%	332	0.1%	336	0.3%
Day Care Provider	2,791	0.7%	1,941	0.8%	181	0.2%
State Agency	2,729	0.7%	1,643	0.6%	832	0.8%
Financial Institution	2,337	0.6%	23	0.0%	2,308	2.2%
Parent's Paramour	1,198	0.3%	1,162	0.4%	25	0.0%
Unrelated Home Member	1,125	0.3%	582	0.2%	401	0.4%
24 Hour Care Provider	821	0.2%	502	0.2%	25	0.0%
Religious Entity	646	0.2%	468	0.2%	171	0.2%
Blank/Unknown	266	0.1%	175	0.1%	66	0.1%
Grand Total	377,277		254,570		103,022	

	APS F	acility	C	CL	RCCL	
Source of Report	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Medical Personnel	1,354	11.0%	389	11.7%	555	13.7%
School	135	1.1%	89	2.7%	367	9.1%
Relative	221	1.8%	130	3.9%	70	1.7%
Law Enforcement	142	1.1%	352	10.6%	155	3.8%
Other	847	6.9%	301	9.0%	320	7.9%
Parent	347	2.8%	795	23.8%	187	4.6%
Community Agency	1,052	8.5%	92	2.7%	571	14.1%
Friend-Neighbor	99	0.8%	75	2.2%	38	0.9%
Anonymous	699	5.7%	153	4.6%	85	2.1%
Victim	2,815	22.9%	0	0.0%	43	1.1%
DFPS Staff	393	3.2%	287	8.6%	912	22.6%
Provider	335	2.7%	11	0.3%	79	2.0%
Legal/Court	108	0.9%	12	0.4%	100	2.5%
Institutional Personnel	3,357	27.3%	1	0.0%	204	5.0%
Day Care Provider	23	0.2%	602	18.1%	44	1.1%
State Agency	223	1.8%	11	0.3%	20	0.5%
Financial Institution	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Parent's Paramour	0	0.0%	9	0.3%	2	0.1%
Unrelated Home Member	131	1.1%	2	0.1%	9	0.2%
24 Hour Care Provider	11	0.1%	16	0.5%	267	6.6%
Religious Entity	3	0.0%	2	0.1%	2	0.1%
Blank/Unknown	15	0.1%	3	0.1%	7	0.2%
Grand Total	12,314		3,332		4,039	

Total Phone Calls - English Queue

Fiscal Year	Total Calls	Calls Handled	Calls Abandoned*	Average Time to Abandon	% Abandoned
2010	442,383	297,381	145,002	6.7	32.8%
2011	435,622	317,938	117,684	5.9	27.0%
2012	442,221	310,614	131,607	6.5	29.8%
2013	426,366	308,989	117,377	6.2	27.5%
2014	433,963	335,384	98,579	5.3	22.7%

Total Phone Calls - All Calls

Fiscal Year	Total Calls	Calls Handled	Calls Abandoned*	Average Time to Abandon	% Abandoned
2010	647,537	468,272	179,265	6.2	27.7%
2011	642,320	492,885	149,435	5.4	23.3%
2012	651,244	484,312	166,932	6.0	25.6%
2013	600,957	455,501	145,456	5.7	24.2%
2014	598,130	475,732	122,398	4.9	20.5%

^{*}An abandoned call is a call that disconnects after completing navigation of the recorded message, but prior to being answered by an intake specialist.

NOTE: Total Phone Calls - All Calls includes Abuse Hotline (English and Spanish), Community Center, EBC, Law Enforcement, Re-Entry, and Support Staff.

Case Closed

APS

Adult Protective Services In-Home Overview

The mission of Adult Protective Services is to protect people age 65 and older and adults with disabilities from abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation by investigating and providing or arranging for services necessary to alleviate or prevent further maltreatment.

APS serves persons who are reported to be abused, neglected, or financially exploited, and are age 65 or older or age 18-64 with a disability.

Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff*

Caseworkers	538.7
Supervisors	85.5
Other Staff	135.6
APS Program Support	75.0
Total APS In-Home Staff	834.8

W

Vorker Demographics	
Turnover Rate	25.2%
Agency Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	21.6%
1 - 3 Years	19.0%
Greater than 3 Years	59.5%
Entry Salary*	\$30,532.92
Average Age	41.0
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	34.0%
Anglo	36.1%
Hispanic	28.3%
Other	1.7%

Supervisor Demographics

opervisor bernographics	
Turnover Rate	8.0%
Tenure as Supervisor:	
Less Than 1 Year	21.2%
1 - 3 Years	23.5%
Greater than 3 Years	55.3%
Entry Salary*	\$41,416.08
Average Age	46.6
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	34.1%
Anglo	38.8%
Hispanic	25.9%
Other	1.2%

APS Expenditures*

7 11 0 12xp 0 11 a 11 0 1 0 0	
APS In-Home Staff	\$44,338,990
Purchased Client Services	\$7,688,766
Total APS Expenditures	\$52,027,756

^{*} Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay)

Report Assigned for Investigation

Investigation/Assessment Activities

- 24 hour initiation
- Immediate intervention
- Initial face-to-face visit
- Client risk assessment
- Collateral contacts
- Evidence collection
- Referral to law enforcement

Investigation Findings

- Validity of allegations
- Need for protective services
- Referral for guardianship or legal services under Chapter 48, Human Resources Code

Service Delivery

- Rent/utility restoration
- Health services
- Legal services

represent the flow of a case.

- Social services **Emergency placement**
- Note: The chart is for reference only and does not necessarily

Statistics FY 2014

Completed In-Home Investigations	81,681
Validated In-Home Investigations	54,731
Completed In-Home Service Delivery Stages	s 41,496

Most Common...

Person reporting abuse/neglect/financial exploitation

Medical Personnel (21.7%)

Allegation validated

Physical Neglect (69.0%)

Validated perpetrator

Relationship: Adult Children (36.8%)

Gender: Female (52.8%) Age: Over 45 (58.0%)

Characteristic of client

Gender: Female (59.8%) Age: 65 and Older (60.4%)

Legal Responsibility for Adult Protective Services

Statutory References:

Federal: Title XX, Social Security Act State: Human Resources Code, Chapters 40 and 48

Texas Family Code, Title V

Health and Safety Code, Chapter 532 and Chapters 591-595

Major Provisions:

- Mandatory reporting of abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation of adults who are age 65 or older or adults with disabilities
- Receipt and investigation of all reports (unless patently false); initiation of investigations within 24 hours of receipt of report
- Responsibility for referring reports to other state agencies when DFPS is not the appropriate investigating agency
- Provision or arrangement of services needed to prevent or alleviate abuse, neglect, and/or financial exploitation
- Enhancing and developing community resources in an effort to increase awareness of abuse, neglect and financial exploitation, and address increasing needs of APS clients
- Responsibility for referring adult victims of abuse, neglect and/or financial exploitation to the Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) for guardianship services when these persons appear to lack the capacity to consent to services, there is no other potential guardian available and guardianship is the least restrictive alternative that will ensure the person's safety and well-being
- Assessment of factors that may indicate an adult's possible lack of capacity to consent to services and pursuit of a medical or mental health evaluation, if indicated
- Using the least restrictive alternative in the provision of protective services
- Authority to seek court orders when necessary to gain
 access to the individual, to prevent interference with the
 provision of voluntary protective services, to access records
 or documents, and to initiate and provide emergency
 protective services (e.g., a removal), including after-hours
 and on holidays, without a court order
- Requirement to notify law enforcement if APS removes a person from their home under a court order and their home will be left unattended
- Requirement to notify law enforcement if APS suspects that a person has been abused, neglected, or financially exploited in a manner that constitutes a criminal offense
- · Confidentiality of case records
- Requirement to make referrals to the Employee Misconduct Registry for certain validated perpetrators

Other Programmatic Information:

Factors Contributing to Abuse, Neglect, and Financial Exploitation:


- Rapidly growing population of older adults
- · Growing number of younger adults with disabilities
- · Alcohol and drug dependency
- Poverty
- · Lack of affordable housing and high costs of utility bills
- Inadequate access to health care and costly medications
- Toxic family relationships
- Dependence of family or others on the income of older adults and adults with disabilities
- Violence as a coping mechanism in society
- Physical and mental stress of caregiving in traditionally nonviolent, caring households
- Denial of benefits, such as SSI and Medicaid, to some immigrants

Challenges:

- · Affordable and safe housing
- Waiting lists and other limitations in the availability of inhome care and home health care
- Shortage of resources to serve persons denied long-term care and other benefits
- Gaps in surrogate decision-making processes for incapacitated persons in hospitals, nursing homes, and community-based settings
- Inadequate community services for persons with a mental illness, including those discharged from state hospitals
- Lack of statewide access to preventative or early intervention services, such as long-term case management, for older adults and adults with disabilities who are at risk, but not yet experiencing abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation
- Hiring and maintaining skilled frontline caseworkers and supervisors
- Specialized geriatric social work training is not keeping pace with the ever-increasing number of older Americans

Texas Adult Population Age 65 and Older Fiscal Year 2014


State Total: 3,086,103



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.


Texas Adult Population with a Disability Ages 18 to 64 Years Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 1,724,408



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.


APS In-Home Intake Reports* by Priority Fiscal Year 2014



Priority	Intakes	%
P1	15,165	14.7%
P2	60,185	58.4%
P3	20,687	20.1%
P4	6,987	6.8%
Total	103 024	

^{*} Intakes included by the date intake closed. Refer to the definitions section for priority definitions.

Source of Report for APS In-Home Intake* Reports Fiscal Year 2014




State Total: 104,883

Note: A report of abuse/neglect/financial exploitation may come from multiple sources making the source total higher than the total number of intakes.

APS In-Home Intake Reports* by Region Fiscal Year 2014

State Total 103,024




Note: 77 Reports did not have a region identified.

^{*} Intakes included by the date intake closed.


^{*} Intakes included by the date intake closed.

APS In-Home Intakes, Completed and Validated Investigations Fiscal Years 2012 - 2014



^{*} Intakes included by date intake closed.


Incidence of Maltreatment per 1,000 Adults in Texas Adult Population by Region Fiscal Year 2014



Note: Calculations are based on the percent of validated APS In-Home investigations. Unreported incidences are not reflected.


Adult Protective Services
Validated In-Home Investigations
Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 54,731



Note: 26 validated investigations did not have a county designated.

Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region Fiscal Year 2014




^{* &}quot;Other" category refers to those investigations that workers could not complete for some reason, e.g. clients died or cases were misclassified.

Note: 36 investigations had an "unknown" region. Of those, 26 were Validated, 5 were Invalid, 3 were Unable to Determine and 2 were listed as Other.

APS In-Home Daily Caseload

Fiscal Year 2014




Completed APS In-Home Investigations by Region and Disposition Fiscal Year 2014


Region	Average Length (Days)	Invalid	Valid Progressed*	Valid Not Progressed	Unable to Determine	Other**	Region Subtotal	0 5	Tho	ousands 15
1 Lubbock	28.7	810	3,714	424	261	106	5,315			
2 Abilene	30.2	735	2,901	943	219	88	4,886			
3 Arlington	37.6	3,107	7,781	3,726	1,730	376	16,720			
4 Tyler	37.5	908	2,685	686	378	104	4,761			
5 Beaumont	45.6	716	2,360	391	212	208	3,887			
6 Houston	44.8	3,193	8,411	1,767	1,295	379	15,045			
7 Austin	42.9	2,288	3,665	1,407	692	302	8,354			
8 San Antonio	52.0	2,916	3,864	1,324	623	463	9,190			
9 Midland	42.2	487	1,597	456	148	33	2,721			
10 El Paso	43.6	669	1,045	824	243	106	2,887			
11 Edinburg	51.8	2,405	3,633	1,101	473	267	7,879			
Unknown	40.4	5	6	20	3	2	36			
Statewide	42.2	18,239	41,662	13,069	6,277	2,434	81,681			

^{*} Valid investigations in which the client requires services are "progressed" into the service delivery stage.

Recidivism* of APS In-Home Cases Fiscal Years 2010-2014



Recidivism* of APS In-Home Cases by Region Fiscal Year 2014





^{*}Recidivism is a measure of the percentage of APS clients referred to the APS system more than once during the fiscal year, including clients who refused services and had a subsequent APS referral.

^{** &}quot;Other" category refers to those investigations that workers could not complete for some reason, e.g. clients died or cases were misclassified.

Characteristics of Validated APS Victims in Completed In-Home Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

		Female		Male	Unknown		Subtotal	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Race/Ethnicity *								
Anglo	17,338	31.7%	11,438	20.9%	48	0.1%	28,824	52.7%
African American	7,209	13.2%	4,400	8.1%	12	0.0%	11,621	21.3%
Hispanic	7,049	12.9%	5,377	9.8%	17	0.0%	12,443	22.7%
Native American	78	0.1%	47	0.1%	0	0.0%	125	0.2%
Asian	220	0.4%	124	0.2%	1	0.0%	345	0.6%
Other	823	1.5%	535	1.0%	14	0.0%	1,372	2.5%
Total Victims	32,717	59.8%	21,921	40.1%	92	0.1%	54,730	





Age	Count	%
Age 18-64 with a Disability	21,657	39.6%
Age 65 and older	33,073	60.4%
Total	54,730	

Gender	Count	%
Female	32,717	59.8%
Male	21,921	40.1%
Unknown	92	0.1%
Total	54.730	

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Perpetrator Characteristics In Validated APS In-Home Investigations (Characteristic as % of Total Validated Perpetrators*) Fiscal Year 2014

		Female		Male	Unkr	nown	Subt	otal
Characteristic	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Age	1	•		-			1	
Under 18	63	1.4%	90	2.0%	0	0.0%	153	3.4%
18-25	152	3.4%	176	4.0%	1	0.0%	329	7.4%
26-35	337	7.6%	269	6.0%	2	0.1%	608	13.7%
36-45	443	9.9%	334	7.5%	3	0.1%	780	17.5%
Over 45	1,358	30.5%	1,217	27.3%	11	0.2%	2,586	58.0%
Unknown	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	5	0.0%
Race/Ethnicity ** Anglo	1,082	24.2%	1,037	23.2%	5	0.1%	2,124	47.5%
•	1.000	24.2%	1 027	23.2%		0 197	2.124	A7 507
African American	462	10.3%	332	7.4%	3	0.1%	797	17.8%
Hispanic	624	14.0%	565	12.7%	3	0.1%	1,192	26.8%
Native American	3	0.1%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%
Asian	25	0.6%	14	0.3%	0	0.0%	39	0.9%
Other	159	3.6%	137	3.1%	7	0.1%	303	6.8%
Marital Status								
Child, Not Applicable	58	1.3%	85	1.9%	0	0.0%	143	3.2%
Divorced	204	4.6%	129	2.9%	0	0.0%	333	7.5%
Married	568	12.7%	506	11.3%	2	0.0%	1,076	24.0%
Separated	69	1.5%	49	1.1%	0	0.0%	118	2.6%
Single, Never Married	295	6.6%	372	8.3%	0	0.0%	667	14.9%
Widowed	132	3.0%	35	0.8%	0	0.0%	167	3.8%
Unknown	1,029	23.1%	912	20.4%	16	0.4%	1,957	44.0%

^{*}Does not include self as perpetrator investigations (i.e. a finding of self-neglect).

52.8%

2,355

Total

2,088

46.8%

18


0.4%

4,461

Note: Each victim may have more than one perpetrator at the end of an investigation.

^{**} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Perpetrators* in Validated In-Home Investigations Fiscal Year 2014



*Does not include self as perpetrator investigations (i.e. a finding of self-neglect).


Note: Each victim may have more than one perpetrator at the end of an investigation.

Number of Referrals Made to Law Enforcement in Completed APS In-Home Cases by Region Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Referrals	0	1,000	2,000
1 Lubbock	190			
2 Abilene	160			
3 Arlington	1,581			
4 Tyler	449			
5 Beaumont	152			
6 Houston	1,980			
7 Austin	894			
8 San Antonio	175			
9 Midland	153			
10 El Paso	209			
11 Edinburg	212			
Unknown	4			
State Total	6,159			

Note: Referral may have been made in previous fiscal year.

APS Victims of Family Violence in Validated In-Home Investigations by Region Fiscal Year 2014



Validated Allegations in APS In-Home Investigations by Type of Abuse/Neglect/Financial Exploitation Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Emotional/ Verbal Abuse	Financial Exploitation	Medical Neglect	Mental Health Neglect	Physical Abuse	Physical Neglect
1 Lubbock	22	53	1,063	479	66	3,891
2 Abilene	43	63	974	268	72	3,553
3 Arlington	282	239	2,959	1,326	293	10,473
4 Tyler	63	58	552	187	42	3,123
5 Beaumont	33	45	542	164	34	2,571
6 Houston	133	200	2,313	1,037	192	9,432
7 Austin	115	123	1,299	756	160	4,417
8 San Antonio	138	182	1,027	330	157	4,646
9 Midland	16	20	681	356	16	1,899
10 El Paso	44	35	594	309	52	1,641
11 Edinburg	96	89	1,020	790	135	4,211
Unknown	1	1	9	8	0	24
State Total	986	1,108	13,033	6,010	1,219	49,881

Region	Suicidal Threat	Sexual Abuse	Total	% by Region	Unduplicated Validated Victims*	% Unduplicated by Region
1 Lubbock	0	1	5,575	7.7%	4,137	7.6%
2 Abilene	0	1	4,974	6.9%	3,844	7.0%
3 Arlington	0	7	15,579	21.5%	11,507	21.0%
4 Tyler	0	1	4,026	5.6%	3,371	6.2%
5 Beaumont	0	3	3,392	4.7%	2,751	5.0%
6 Houston	0	5	13,312	18.4%	10,178	18.6%
7 Austin	0	5	6,875	9.5%	5,072	9.3%
8 San Antonio	0	7	6,487	9.0%	5,188	9.5%
9 Midland	0	1	2,989	4.1%	2,053	3.8%
10 El Paso	0	3	2,678	3.7%	1,869	3.4%
11 Edinburg	0	7	6,348	8.8%	4,734	8.6%
Unknown	0	0	43	0.1%	26	0.0%
State Total	0	41	72.278		54,730	

^{*} Victims have been unduplicated by investigation stage.

Duration of Service Delivery Stages for APS In-Home Cases During Fiscal Year 2014

Days	Cases	%
Under 30	22,910	55.2%
31-60	10,236	24.7%
61-90	4,340	10.4%
91-120	2,017	4.9%
121-180	1,360	3.3%
181-365	571	1.4%
Over 1 Year	62	0.1%
Total	41,496	

Completed Service Delivery Stages in APS In-Home Cases During Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Cases	%
1 Lubbock	3,621	8.7%
2 Abilene	3,012	7.3%
3 Arlington	7,821	18.8%
4 Tyler	2,725	6.6%
5 Beaumont	2,333	5.6%
6 Houston	8,052	19.4%
7 Austin	3,656	8.8%
8 San Antonio	3,842	9.3%
9 Midland	1,454	3.5%
10 El Paso	1,078	2.6%
11 Edinburg	3,882	9.4%
Unknown	20	0.0%
State Total	41,496	

Non-Purchased Client Services Delivered for APS In-Home Cases by Region Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Social Casework	Other Government Agency	Legal	Total
1 Lubbock	4,263	79	3	4,345
2 Abilene	2,916	89	5	3,010
3 Arlington	8,830	195	58	9,083
4 Tyler	3,351	110	1	3,462
5 Beaumont	2,598	147	0	2,745
6 Houston	10,027	309	9	10,345
7 Austin	3,861	177	10	4,048
8 San Antonio	4,892	151	10	5,053
9 Midland	1,639	27	2	1,668
10 El Paso	1,489	29	29	1,547
11 Edinburg	4,365	88	17	4,470
Unknown	11	1	0	12
State Total	48,242	1,402	144	49,788

Note: Clients in validated cases may receive more than one service.

Social Casework - Actions taken by the caseworker to provide assistance to a victim of abuse, neglect or financial exploitation, in such areas as counseling/education, assistance with benefits, and mediation. These actions may include referrals to community organizations that provide direct services to the client.

Other Government Agency - This term is used to describe services that were provided by another government agency. For example, the client was referred to the Social Security Administration, or the Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services in order to resolve abuse, neglect or financial exploitation.

Legal - Legal actions that are taken as a result of Adult Protective Services involvement. An example would be Emergency Order for Protective Services.

APS

Adult Protective Services Facility Investigations Overview

The Adult Protective Services Facility Investigations Program investigates reports of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of persons with disabilities (children and adults) receiving services in state operated and/or contracted settings that serve adults and children with mental illness, intellectual disabilities, or developmental disabilities. Investigation results are sent to the facility or program administrator for appropriate action.

Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff*

128.9
22.7
24.3
175.9

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	22.0%
Agency Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	17.5%
1 - 3 Years	23.0%
Greater than 3 Years	59.5%
Entry Salary*	\$30,532.92
Average Age	42.0
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	19.8%
Anglo	56.3%
Hispanic	23.0%
Other	0.8%

Supervisor Demographics

upervisor Demographics	
Turnover Rate	13.0%
Tenure as Supervisor:	
Less Than 1 Year	26.1%
1 - 3 Years	21.7%
Greater than 3 Years	52.2%
Entry Salary*	\$41,416.08
Average Age	43.1
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	4.3%
Anglo	82.6%
Hispanic	13.0%
Other	0.0%

APS Facility Expenditures*

APS Facility Staff \$9,837,712

Report Assigned for Investigation

Notifications

The following persons are notified within one hour of the receipt of the intake:

- Facility administrator
- Law enforcement if the allegation is believed to constitute a criminal offense.
- Law enforcement of any allegation involving a child

Investigation Activities

- Face to face contact with alleged victims
- Interview of witnesses and alleged perpetrator
- Collect electronic statements and documentary evidence
- Photograph of injuries
- Photograph/diagram scene of incident
- Gather other relevant evidence

Investigation Findings

- Analyze evidence
- Determine finding(s)
- Generate investigative report
- Provide report to facility administrator
- Provide report to law enforcement and OIG if previously notified them during the investigation of a potential criminal offense

Note: This chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2014

Completed APS Facility Investigations 11,387 Confirmed APS Facility Investigations 1,259

Most Common...

Person reporting abuse/neglect/exploitation

Institutional Personnel (27.3%)

Allegation confirmed

Neglect (60.8%)

Facility setting investigated

HCS (31.2%)

^{*} Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay)

Legal Responsibility for Adult Protective Services

Statutory References:

Federal: Title XX, Social Security Act State: Human Resources Code, Chapters 40 and 48 Texas Family Code, Title V Health and Safety Code, Chapter 532 and Chapters 591-595

Major Provisions:

- Mandatory reporting of abuse, neglect, and exploitation of persons receiving services in state-operated mental health and intellectual and developmental disability facilities (State Hospitals, State Supported Living Centers, and Rio Grande State Center) and/or state contracted settings (Community Centers, Home and Community-based Services programs (HCS), Texas Home Living Waiver Program, and privatelyoperated Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF-IID))
- After an allegation is reported to the DFPS Abuse Hotline, APS initiates investigations by notifying the facility or provider agency within one hour
- APS notifies law enforcement and the Health and Human Services Commission Office of the Inspector General (OIG) within one hour, in certain settings
- Notify OIG (Office of Inspector General) and local law enforcement if APS has cause to believe a crime may have been committed
- Refer reports to other state agencies when DFPS is not the appropriate investigating agency
- Report findings to the facility or provider
- Make referrals to the Employee Misconduct Registry of certain confirmed perpetrators
- Confidentiality of case records

Other Programmatic Information:


Factors Contributing to Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation:

- · Physical conditions/quality of care
- Lack of facility provider training, policy or procedures
- · Staff burnout
- · Staff shortages that lead to staff stress
- Inadequate case manager planning for behavioral concerns or plans of care
- Physical and mental stress of familial care giving in foster care settings

Challenges:

- Meeting Department of Justice requirements including commencement of investigations, prior case history searches, and 10 day investigation timeframes in State Supported Living Centers and Rio Grande State Center
- Requests by law enforcement or OIG that APS stop its investigation until they have finished
- Staff shortages in facilities affecting access to staff for interviews
- Lack of training and policy in place for community providers
- · Inability to find private space to conduct interviews
- Increase in number of intakes with fewer investigators to conduct investigations
- Reviewing and documenting video surveillance

Source of Report for Completed Facility Investigations Fiscal Year 2014



Statewide 11,460

Note: A report of abuse/neglect/exploitation may come from multiple sources.

Number of Facility Investigations Referred to Law Enforcement by Setting Fiscal Year 2014

	Provided to Law Enforcement				
Setting	Notification* of Investigation	Investigation Report**			
State Supported Living Centers	2,284	1,916			
State Hospitals	1,649	1,075			
HCS	1,483	1,039			
Private ICF-IID	439	277			
Community Centers	244	142			
State Center	137	104			
Other	20	1			
Total	6,256	4,554			


^{*} Notification sent on investigations which involve a child or serious physical injury, sexual abuse, or death of an adult person served.

** This data includes all cases in which a final investigation report was sent regardless of investigation disposition.

Average Length of Completed Facility Investigations by Region Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Investigations	Average Days
1 Lubbock	441	14.0
2 Abilene	1,231	9.4
3 Arlington	1,453	12.3
4 Tyler	731	9.2
5 Beaumont	353	11.2
6 Houston	911	24.3
7 Austin	2,260	12.0
8 San Antonio	1,434	15.8
9 Midland	1,313	9.2
10 El Paso	340	9.8
11 Edinburg	920	11.3
Statewide	11,387	12.6

Types of Confirmed Allegations in Facility Investigations Fiscal Year 2014



Characteristics of Victims in Confirmed Facility Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

		Female		Male	Un	known	Subtotal	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Race/Ethnicity*								
Anglo	329	19.4%	627	37.1%	0	0.0%	956	56.5%
African American	84	4.9%	218	12.9%	0	0.0%	302	17.8%
Hispanic	138	8.2%	247	14.6%	1	0.1%	386	22.9%
Native American	1	0.1%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%
Asian	2	0.1%	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	7	0.4%
Other	14	0.8%	22	1.3%	1	0.1%	37	2.2%
Total Victims	568	33.5%	1,121	66.3%	2	0.2%	1,691	

Note: A completed investigation may have more than one victim.

Characteristics of Perpetrators in Confirmed Facility Investigations Fiscal Year 2014


	Female			Male		Unknown	Subtotal	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Race/Ethnicity*								
Anglo	146	11.7%	106	8.5%	0	0.0%	252	20.2%
African American	350	28.0%	226	18.1%	6	0.5%	582	46.6%
Hispanic	152	12.1%	97	7.7%	3	0.2%	252	20.0%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Asian	6	0.5%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.6%
Other	56	4.5%	24	1.9%	76	6.1%	156	12.5%
Age								
Under 18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
18-25	171	13.7%	151	12.1%	5	0.4%	327	26.2%
26-35	193	15.4%	131	10.5%	27	2.2%	351	28.1%
36-45	137	11.0%	67	5.3%	18	1.4%	222	17.7%
Over45	208	16.6%	106	8.5%	7	0.6%	321	25.7%
Unknown	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	28	2.2%	29	2.3%
Total Perpetrators	710	56.8%	455	36.4%	85	6.8%	1,250	

^{*}As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.


Note: Each victim may have more than one perpetrator.

Each perpetrator may have more than one victim.

Completed Facility Investigations by Fiscal Year



Completed Facility Investigations by Setting Fiscal Year 2014



Disposition of Completed Facility Investigations by Setting and Region Fiscal Year 2014

Setting	Con	firmed	Unco	nfirmed	Incon	clusive	Unfo	ounded	0	ther	В	lank	Sub	total
sening	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Community														
Centers	67	0.6%	225	2.0%	83	0.7%	0	0.0%	137	1.2%	61	0.5%	573	5.0%
HCS	516	4.5%	1,646	14.5%	467	4.1%	20	0.2%	653	5.7%	250	2.2%	3,552	31.2%
Private ICF-IID	133	1.2%	411	3.6%	122	1.1%	3	0.0%	223	2.0%	119	1.0%	1,011	8.9%
State Center	7	0.1%	140	1.2%	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	35	0.3%	1	0.0%	199	1.8%
State Hospitals	158	1.4%	1,368	12.0%	171	1.5%	80	0.7%	604	5.3%	329	2.9%	2,710	23.8%
SSLCs	378	3.3%	1,819	16.0%	210	1.8%	327	2.8%	454	4.0%	154	1.4%	3,342	29.3%
State Total	1,259	11.1%	5.609	49.3%	1,061	9.3%	438	3.8%	2.106	18.5%	914	8.0%	11.387	

Danian	Con	firmed	Unco	nfirmed	Incon	clusive	Unfo	ounded	0	ther	В	lank	Sub	total
Region	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1 Lubbock	90	0.8%	190	1.7%	63	0.5%	10	0.1%	84	0.7%	4	0.0%	441	3.8%
2 Abilene	122	1.1%	594	5.2%	95	0.8%	51	0.5%	295	2.6%	74	0.7%	1,231	10.9%
3 Arlington	151	1.3%	633	5.6%	149	1.3%	27	0.2%	167	1.5%	326	2.9%	1,453	12.8%
4 Tyler	59	0.5%	349	3.1%	44	0.4%	2	0.0%	24	0.2%	253	2.2%	731	6.4%
5 Beaumont	76	0.7%	182	1.6%	36	0.3%	7	0.1%	19	0.2%	33	0.3%	353	3.2%
6 Houston	109	1.0%	481	4.2%	100	0.9%	15	0.1%	91	0.8%	115	1.0%	911	8.0%
7 Austin	275	2.4%	1,199	10.5%	167	1.5%	183	1.6%	371	3.3%	65	0.6%	2,260	19.9%
8 San Antonio	133	1.2%	659	5.8%	224	2.0%	3	0.0%	390	3.4%	25	0.2%	1,434	12.6%
9 Midland	121	1.0%	738	6.5%	74	0.6%	19	0.2%	358	3.1%	3	0.0%	1,313	11.4%
10 El Paso	45	0.4%	166	1.4%	54	0.5%	5	0.0%	66	0.6%	4	0.0%	340	2.9%
11 Edinburg	78	0.7%	418	3.7%	55	0.5%	116	1.0%	241	2.1%	12	0.1%	920	8.1%
State Total	1,259	11.1%	5,609	49.3%	1,061	9.3%	438	3.8%	2,106	18.5%	914	8.0%	11,387	

Note: "Other" and "Blank" include cases referred back to the provider or closed at intake because they do not meet the definitions of abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation and therefore are not investigated.

CPS

Caseworkers:

Child Protective Services Overview

Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff*

Investigation	2,030.7
Family-Based Safety Services	863.6
Conservatorship	1,758.5
FAD	187.5
Kinship	179.6
Other Workers	168.2
Supervisors	809.7
Program Directors/Administrator	191.9
Admin/Clerical	826.7
Case Aides	561.1
Other Staff	831.5
CPS Program Support	522.3
Total CPS Staff	8,931.3

Worker Demographics

Turnovar Pata

Turnover Rate	25.2%
Agency Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	34.5%
1 - 3 Years	28.0%
Greater than 3 Years	37.5%
Entry Salary (INV)*	\$37,328.96
Entry Salary (Non INV)*	\$32,328.96
Average Age	36.1
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	32.7%
Anglo	37.6%
Hispanic	28.1%
Other	1.6%

25 297

6.3%

Supervisor Demographics Turnover Rate

Tenure as Supervisor:	
Less Than 1 Year	24.2%
1 - 3 Years	20.0%
Greater than 3 Years	55.8%
Entry Salary*	\$41,416.08
Average Age	41.3
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	26.3%
Anglo	47.0%
Hispanic	24.9%
Other	1 7%

CPS Expenditures*

Crs expenditures	
CPS Staff	\$525,145,929
Purchased Client Services	\$102,420,898
Foster Care Payments	\$399,426,761
Adoption Subsidy Payments	\$218,382,136
Permanency Care Assistance	\$7,097,917
Relative/Other Designated Caregi	ver
Reimbursement Program	\$10,124,858
Other Client Services	\$6,893,494
Total CPS Expenditures	\$1,269,491,993

Note: This chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2014

Parents

Texas State Child Population	7,266,760
Children, Alleged Victims	273,091
Children in Confirmed Investigations	106,318
Children Removed	17,378

Relative

FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay)

Report Assigned for Investigation Investigation / Risk No Risk -Case Closed **Assessment** Risk Indicated **Family Provided** Child Safe at Home? Yes Services/Referrals Νo Child Placed Seek Safe Emergency Relative Placement with Relative Available Relative Not Available **DFPS Petitions Court for** Denied **Custody of Child** Granted Child Placed in **Substitute Care** (Out of home care) Services Provided to Family **Court Approves Permanent Permanency for Child** Custody to DFPS Permanent Child Reunified with Adoption Custody to

^{*} Source: DFPS Office of Finance and

The Child Protective Services Vision is "Children First: Protected and Connected".

The Mission of Child Protective Services is to protect children and to act in the children's best interest. To seek active involvement of the children's parents and other family members to solve problems that lead to abuse and neglect.

The Child Protective Service Values are

- Respect for culture
- Inclusiveness of families, youth and community
- Integrity in decision making
- Compassion for all
- Commitment to reducing disproportionality

Most Common...

Person reporting abuse/neglect for completed investigations

School (18.6%)

Allegation confirmed

Neglectful Supervision (67.3%)

Confirmed perpetrator of abuse/neglect

Relationship: Parent (78.9%) Gender: Female (56.6%) Age: Age 26-35 (40.6%)

Characteristic of confirmed victim

Age: Age 1 to 3 (22.7%) Gender: Female (51.1%)

Legal Responsibility for Child Protective Services

Statutory References

Social Security Act Texas Family Code Human Resources Code Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act Indian Child Welfare Act Adam Walsh Act

Major Provisions

- Definitions of abuse and neglect of children
- Mandatory reporting of suspected abuse or neglect of children
- Prepare and disseminate statistics by county relating to CPS in an annual report made available to the legislature and general public
- Responsibility for receiving reports of suspected abuse or neglect of children
- Responsibility for thorough investigation of a report of child abuse or neglect allegedly committed by a person responsible for a child's care, custody or welfare

- Responsibility to assign priorities and prescribe investigative procedures for investigations based on the severity and immediacy of the alleged harm to the child
- Take action to protect abused and neglected children from further harm
- Establish review teams to evaluate department casework and decision-making related to investigations of child abuse or neglect
- Employ Child Safety Specialists to conduct staff reviews and evaluations of cases determined to involve high risk, monitor cases with multiple referrals, and approve decisions and assessments related to investigations that involve a high risk to the health or safety of a child
- Work with children and their families, providing services
 to prevent further abuse, help alleviate the effects of
 the abuse suffered, prevent removal of the child from
 the home, and provide reunification services when
 appropriate for the return of the child to the home
- When necessary, secure appropriate court orders and take possession of a child if there is an immediate danger

to the physical health or safety of the child or the child has been a victim of neglect or sexual abuse and that continuation in the home would be contrary to the child's welfare

- Make reasonable efforts to secure the return of the child
- Develop a service plan in conference with the child's
 parents to determine return of the child to the child's
 parents, termination of parental rights and placement of
 the child for adoption, or because of the child's special
 needs or exceptional circumstances continue the child's
 care out of the child's home
- Provide substitute care for children until the problems have been sufficiently resolved
- Provide permanent placement for children who cannot safely return to their home
- Establish a database of all verified foster homes willing to accept foster care placement of a child in care
- Recruit potential adoptive parents for children whose parents have had their parental rights terminated
- Requirements for frequency and location of contact with children in substitute care
- Requirements for conducting criminal background and central registry checks of foster and adoptive parents

The federal Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008, requires DFPS to:

- Provide written notification to maternal and paternal grandparents and other adult relatives regarding a child's removal and placement in state custody and support options
- Ensure youth aging out of state care have a Transition Plan developed within 90 days of turning 18 or the date leaving CPS extended foster care
- Seek to have education stability for children in DFPS custody
- Have a health oversight and coordination plan
- Keep siblings in custody placed together. If this is not
 possible, the state must provide for frequent visitation or
 other ongoing interaction between the siblings, unless the
 state shows frequent visits or other interaction would be
 contrary to the safety or well-being of any of the siblings
- Make eligibility changes for the Title IV-E adoption assistance program to promote adoption of children with special needs
- Provide information about Adoption Tax Credits during training for adoptive parents

The federal Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act allows and the Texas Legislature supports:

- Establishing a relative guardianship subsidy program. For
 Texas, this is a subsidy program called the Permanency
 Care Assistance program for relatives taking permanent
 managing conservatorship of a child. This program is
 intended to provide an additional option for children and
 youth who might otherwise remain in kinship foster care.
 It is not intended to be a long term foster care program.
- Allowing youth aging out of care to stay in extended foster care for a variety of reasons until they turn 21.
- Extending adoption assistance benefits and Permanency Care Assistance benefits until the youth turns 21 if the adoption assistance agreement or Permanency Care Assistance agreement was signed after the youth turns 16.
- Authorizing federally recognized tribes to apply for IV-E funding directly.

Concepts Guiding Risk Determination

Child Vulnerability	Home & Social Environment	Caregiver Capability	Quality of Care
Child fragility	Stressors	Knowledge	Quality of connection
Child behavior	Dangerous exposure	Skills	Emotional care
	Social Climate	Capacity	Physical care

Maltreatment PatternResponse to CPSProtective CapacitiesChronicityAttitudeProtective CapacitiesCurrent SeverityDeception

Social Violence

Trends

Federal Outcomes Used to Assess Child Welfare Services Safety Outcomes

- Safety Outcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.
- Safety Outcome 2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Permanency Outcomes

- Permanency Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.
- Permanency Outcome 2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.

Well-Being Outcomes

- Well-Being Outcome 1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.
- Well-Being Outcome 2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.
- Well-Being Outcome 3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

Notes About CPS Data Caseloads

Average daily caseloads are calculated using the methodology set forth by the Legislative Budget Board (LBB). For each type of caseworker, (Investigation, Family-Based Safety Services, Substitute Care, Foster/Adoptive Home Development and Kinship), the LBB methodology counts the number of open stages. Caseworkers may have more than one type of stage on their workload.

Intake and Investigation

An intake or investigation represents a report of abuse or neglect and can involve multiple children.

- The data on completed investigations does not include investigative stages that were administratively closed or merged into another investigation.
- All completed investigations have a case disposition and a risk finding.
- A case disposition reflects the finding on the allegations of abuse or neglect and can include any of the following:

Confirmed Investigations

 Reason to believe – Based on preponderance of evidence, staff concluded that abuse or neglect occurred.

Unconfirmed Investigations

- Ruled out Staff determined, based on available information, that it is reasonable to conclude that abuse or neglect has not occurred.
- Unable to complete Before staff could reach a conclusion, the persons involved in the report moved, could not be located or refused to cooperate.
- Unable to determine Staff concluded that none of the other dispositions were appropriate.
- A risk finding reflects whether there is a reasonable likelihood of abuse or neglect in the immediate or foreseeable future. Only those investigations that are identified as risk indicated are eligible to be opened for family preservation or conservatorship services.

Children in DFPS Custody, Substitute Care and Foster Care

- DFPS custody includes all children under the age of 18 over whom DFPS has legal custody, regardless of their placement. This includes children living with relatives, in foster care or on a trial home visit with their parents.
- Substitute care includes all children who are living in a DFPS out of home placement. It does not include children in DFPS custody who are living with their parents on a trial home visit. But unless specifically noted, it does include youth over 18 who are in extended foster care but are not in DFPS custody (because they are legal adults).
- Foster care is a subset of substitute care and includes all children living in a verified foster care placement. It includes children living with relatives who are verified foster parents but does not include children living with relative caregivers who have not been verified as foster parents.
- Paid foster care is a subset of foster care and includes all children living in a verified foster care placement where the state is making foster care payments.

Race and Ethnicity

As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012 DFPS adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race and ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race and ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Page References for Data Related to Child Protective Services


Prevention and Early Intervention:	Child Fatalities:
State and Region:105-113, 115, 118, 120, 122	State and Region:
County:244-249	County:
Staff and Caseloads:	Family-Based Safety Services:
State and Region:	State and Region:45
Cross Subject Summaries:	County:175-180, 181-183
State and Region:42, 56-57	Family Group Decision Making Services:
County:150-155, 181-183	Notes on data:69
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports and Investigations:	State and Region: 69-70
State and Region:	Removals:
County:138-143, 144-149, 156-161, 162-167	State and region:
Child Abuse and Neglect Victims and Perpetrators:	County:184-189, 190-195
State and Region:42-45, 46	
County:156-161, 162-167, 168-173	

Children and V	South in DEDS Createdry Substitute
	outh in DFPS Custody, Substitute
Care or Foster	
Number of C	Children and Youth:
State and Re	gion:49, 51, 52
County:	184-189
Legal Status	of Children in DFPS Legal Custody:
State:	52
County:	214-219
	gements for Children and Youth in
Substitute an	d Foster Care:
State and Re	gion:49, 53-54, 58-59
County:	184-189
Characteristic	cs of Children and Youth in Foster
Care	
State and Re	gion: 50, 58-59, 60
County:	190-201
	its for Children and Youth
State and Re	gion:55, 61-62
County:	202-207, 208-213

Paid Placements and Services
State and Region:63-68, 115, 117, 119 (FCR), 120-122
County:
Preparation for Adult Living Services
Notes on data:70
State:
Race and ethnicity data:
State and Region:
County:
Residential Child Care Licensing:
Number and Type of Available Residential Child
Care Licensing Placements, including Foster Care
State and Region:
County:
Inspections and Investigations of Residential Child
Care Licensing Operations, including Foster Care
Placements
State and Region:96-103

Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 17 Years Fiscal Year 2014


State Total: 7,266,760



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.


Child Protective Services Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 168,164




Note: 32 investigations did not have a Texas county designated.


CPS Average Daily Caseload Fiscal Year 2014



CPS Average Daily Caseload Fiscal Year 2013



CPS Total Initial Intakes and Screened Out Cases Fiscal Year 2014



Note: When a case is a P2, a formal screening occurs when all alleged victims are age 6 or older and there is not currently an open case. The purpose of the formal screening is to determine if CPS intervention is warranted. There may be P2s that are eligible for formal screening but were not assigned to screeners. A PN is assessed when abuse or neglect is suspected and a key piece of information is needed in order to determine if an assignable allegation exists, or when a situation involves past abuse/neglect. As of Feb. 1 2013, all PNs are being formally screened.

Risk Assessment Finding of Completed Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

Disposition of Investigation	No Signific Identi		Risk Controlled		Risk Indicated		Risk Not Applicable Blank/Invalid		Total
investigation	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Confirmed	62	0.2%	17,586	43.6%	22,550	55.9%	171	0.4%	40,369
Unconfirmed	8,125	6.4%	83,148	65.1%	6,890	5.4%	29,632	23.2%	127,795
Statewide	8,187	4.9%	100,734	59.9%	29,440	17.5%	29,803	17.7%	168,164

Number of Completed Investigations During Fiscal Year Where Family Violence* Was Indicated in the Risk Assessment

Fiscal Year	Completed Investigations	Family Violence Indicated	Family Violence Not Indicated
2011	175,421	56,068	119,353
2012	166,211	53,705	112,505
2013	160,240	55,754	104,486
2014	168,164	57,624	110,540

^{*} Family violence risk is determined by a positive response to one of two questions on the risk assessment: (1) Has any person in the home ever been a victim of family violence, and (2) Has any person in the home ever been a perpetrator of family violence.

Case Action for Risk Indicated Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

Total	29 440	
Not Opened for Services	1,644	5.6%
Opened for Services	27,796	94.4%

Note: Only investigations with a risk finding of "Risk Indicated" can be opened for further services. Opened for services is defined as services provided after the investigation was completed. Reasons for an investigation to be risk indicated but not opened for ongoing services include the family was unwilling to participate in family preservation services and formal legal intervention was not an option, or the family moved and, despite CPS' best efforts, CPS could not locate them to provide services.

Source of Report for Completed Child Abuse/Neglect Investigations by Fiscal Year

Sources	2012	2	2013	3	2014	1
3001063	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Medical Personnel	33,787	16.9%	33,464	17.6%	35,111	17.5%
School	35,100	17.6%	33,146	17.5%	37,440	18.6%
Law Enforcement	31,949	16.0%	30,853	16.3%	32,070	16.0%
Relative	23,386	11.7%	21,408	11.3%	22,525	11.2%
Parent	16,267	8.2%	15,329	8.1%	16,211	8.1%
Other	14,577	7.3%	13,482	7.1%	14,273	7.1%
Friend-Neighbor	12,638	6.3%	11,601	6.1%	11,264	5.6%
Anonymous	10,751	5.4%	10,214	5.4%	10,876	5.4%
Community Agency	6,647	3.3%	6,237	3.3%	6,551	3.2%
DFPS Staff	5,804	2.9%	5,688	3.0%	5,583	2.8%
Legal/Court	2,500	1.3%	2,323	1.2%	2,739	1.3%
Day Care Provider	1,804	0.9%	1,769	0.9%	1,772	0.9%
Parent's Paramour	960	0.5%	902	0.5%	968	0.5%
State Agency	789	0.4%	809	0.4%	998	0.5%
Provider	706	0.4%	771	0.4%	750	0.4%
Victim	560	0.3%	507	0.3%	532	0.3%
Unrelated Home Member	451	0.2%	434	0.2%	463	0.2%
Religious Entity	338	0.2%	390	0.2%	348	0.2%
24 Hour Care Provider	280	0.1%	277	0.1%	280	0.1%
Institutional Personnel	148	0.1%	121	0.1%	141	0.1%
Blank/Unknown	18	0.0%	10	0.0%	14	0.0%
Financial Institution	14	0.0%	13	0.0%	12	0.0%
State Total	199,474		189,748		200,921	

Note: A report of abuse/neglect may come from multiple sources.

Child Abuse/Neglect Allegation Dispositions

The categories used to record the findings of initial assessment/investigation of child abuse neglect are defined as:


Confirmed Investigations

· Reason to believe — Based on preponderance of evidence, staff concluded that abuse or neglect occurred. Unconfirmed Investigations

- **Ruled out** Staff determined, based on available information, that it is reasonable to conclude that abuse or neglect has not occurred.
- Unable to complete Before staff could reach a conclusion, the persons involved in the report moved, could not be located or refused to cooperate.
- Unable to determine Staff concluded that none of the other dispositions were appropriate.

Number of Child Abuse/Neglect Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

		Confirmed I	nvestigations		Unc	onfirmed Inves	tigations	
Region	Total Completed	Total Confirmed	%	Ruled Out	Unable To Determine	Unable To Complete	Total Unconfirmed	%
1 Lubbock	6,885	2,061	29.9%	4,105	544	175	4,824	70.1%
2 Abilene	5,475	1,627	29.7%	3,268	517	63	3,848	70.3%
3 Arlington	42,229	11,203	26.5%	24,055	6,063	908	31,026	73.5%
4 Tyler	8,369	2,257	27.0%	5,158	791	163	6,112	73.0%
5 Beaumont	6,239	1,369	21.9%	4,161	605	104	4,870	78.1%
6 Houston	30,873	5,901	19.1%	20,459	3,433	1,080	24,972	80.9%
7 Austin	21,323	4,752	22.3%	15,102	1,198	271	16,571	77.7%
8 San Antonio	19,873	4,660	23.4%	13,756	1,307	150	15,213	76.6%
9 Midland	4,613	1,224	26.5%	2,964	326	99	3,389	73.5%
10 El Paso	4,979	1,339	26.9%	3,124	453	63	3,640	73.1%
11 Edinburg	17,274	3,970	23.0%	11,631	1,383	290	13,304	77.0%
Out of State	32	6	18.8%	14	2	10	26	81.3%
Statewide	168,164	40,369	24.0%	107,797	16,622	3,376	127,795	76.0%



Case Action for Families in Completed Investigations Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Not Opened For Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
1 Lubbock	5,518	1,010	357	6,885
2 Abilene	4,266	963	246	5,475
3 Arlington	35,738	4,442	2,049	42,229
4 Tyler	7,091	716	562	8,369
5 Beaumont	5,604	327	308	6,239
6 Houston	26,172	3,427	1,274	30,873
7 Austin	18,841	1,249	1,233	21,323
8 San Antonio	15,805	2,964	1,104	19,873
9 Midland	3,750	606	257	4,613
10 El Paso	4,046	822	111	4,979
11 Edinburg	13,506	3,191	577	17,274
Other	31	0	1	32
Statewide	140,368	19,717	8,079	168,164


^{*}Investigations that resulted in at least one child (not necessarily all children in the home) being removed. Does not equal number of children removed.

Children in Cases Opened for Services as a Result of a Completed Investigation Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Family Preservation	% Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
1 Lubbock	2,685	74.6%	914	3,599
2 Abilene	2,500	80.7%	599	3,099
3 Arlington	11,639	68.7%	5,305	16,944
4 Tyler	1,805	56.1%	1,415	3,220
5 Beaumont	858	53.3%	751	1,609
6 Houston	9,578	74.4%	3,298	12,876
7 Austin	3,303	50.2%	3,272	6,575
8 San Antonio	8,173	72.1%	3,161	11,334
9 Midland	1,569	72.1%	608	2,177
10 El Paso	2,247	88.4%	295	2,542
11 Edinburg	9,733	85.1%	1,699	11,432
Other	0	0.0%	1	1
Statewide	54,090	71.7%	21,318	75,408

^{*}Includes all children in the case regardless of victimization. Does not equal the number of children removed.

Point Prevalence* Rate of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children in Texas Population per Region Fiscal Year 2014



^{*} Point Prevalence is the number of children who are alleged/confirmed victims per 1,000 children in the region.

Confirmed Allegations of Child Abuse/Neglect by Type of Abuse Fiscal Year 2014


Region	Physical Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Emotional Abuse	Abandonment	Medical Neglect	Physical Neglect
1 Lubbock	667	293	38	7	73	351
2 Abilene	427	180	29	11	49	233
3 Arlington	3,409	1,422	82	42	416	1,198
4 Tyler	692	257	20	12	102	340
5 Beaumont	411	148	6	7	50	234
6 Houston	1,823	949	61	47	298	695
7 Austin	1,086	606	35	16	125	328
8 San Antonio	1,157	613	44	19	204	554
9 Midland	424	176	37	6	82	217
10 El Paso	379	166	23	0	70	186
11 Edinburg	1,037	750	56	12	230	521
Unknown	2	3	0	0	0	0
State Total	11,514	5,563	431	179	1,699	4,857

Region	Neglectful Supervision	Refusal to Accept Parental Responsibility	Total Confirmed Allegations of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Percent of Child Abuse/ Neglect	*Unduplicated Confirmed Victims
1 Lubbock	2,914	22	4,365	5.8%	3,702
2 Abilene	2,160	24	3,113	4.2%	2,721
3 Arlington	14,139	164	20,872	27.5%	18,318
4 Tyler	2,967	35	4,425	5.8%	3,696
5 Beaumont	1,661	17	2,534	3.3%	2,183
6 Houston	6,305	102	10,280	13.5%	9,020
7 Austin	5,984	86	8,266	10.9%	7,509
8 San Antonio	6,271	56	8,918	11.7%	8,012
9 Midland	1,540	10	2,492	3.3%	2,038
10 El Paso	1,830	16	2,670	3.5%	2,313
11 Edinburg	5,419	58	8,083	10.6%	7,050
Unknown	7	0	12	0.0%	10
State Total	51,197	590	76,030		66,572


^{*} Victims have been unduplicated by investigation stage.

Race/Ethnicity * by Selected CPS Statistics Compared to Texas Child Population Fiscal Year 2014


Texas Child Population - 7,266,760




CPS Confirmed Victims - 66,572



Children in Cases Opened for Services - 75,408




Number of Removals - 17.378




Includes removals from all stages of service.

Number of Children Awaiting Adoption on August 31, 2014 - **6,595**

of victimization.



Median Time Children Have Been Awaiting Adoption on August 31, 2014 in Months - **10.5**




Note: Other includes anyone not categorized as Anglo, African-American, Hispanic. or Native American

* As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 66,572



Note: 10 Confirmed victims did not have a Texas county designated.

Profile of Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Victims * Fiscal Year 2014

Age	Gender	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
Under 1								
	Female	1,627	1,079	1,867	4	9	421	5,007
	Male	1,885	1,180	2,076	9	23	426	5,599
	Unknown	11	8	12	0	0	10	41
1.0.	Total	3,523	2,267	3,955	13	32	857	10,647
1-3 Years	Female	2,360	1,173	3,267	6	30	427	7,263
	Male	2,587	1,322	3,327	9	35	521	7,801
	Unknown	13	8	20	0	1	13	55
	Total	4,960	2,503	6,614	15	66	961	15,119
4-6 Years		.,	_,,,,,	3,011				10,111
	Female	2,198	1,151	3,071	6	32	359	6,817
	Male	2,247	1,094	3,182	7	32	370	6,932
	Unknown	4	3	9	0	0	5	21
7-9 Years	Total	4,449	2,248	6,262	13	64	734	13,770
7-7 ICUIS	Female	1,745	776	2,450	10	30	231	5,242
	Male	1,731	862	2,379	9	30	262	5,273
	Unknown	8	3	5	0	0	2	18
	Total	3,484	1,641	4,834	19	60	495	10,533
10-12 Years								
	Female	1,322	597	2,055	5	22	182	4,183
	Male	1,223	589	1,538	5	16	146	3,517
	Unknown	1	2	5	0	0	0	8
13-17 Years	Total	2,546	1,188	3,598	10	38	328	7,708
	Female	1,835	803	2,606	13	33	226	5,516
	Male	1,176	522	1,389	4	17	142	3,250
	Unknown	1	1	3	0	0	3	8
Age Unknow	Total wn	3,012	1,326	3,998	17	50	371	8,774
	Female	2	3	2	0	0	2	9
	Male	1	3	3	0	1	0	8
	Unknown	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
	Total	4	7	5	0	1	4	21
Total Victim	s							
	Female	11,089	5,582	15,318	44	156	1,848	34,037
	Male	10,850	5,572	13,894	43	154	1,867	32,380
	Unknown	39	26	54	0	1	35	155
Grand Total	I	21,978	11,180	29,266	87	311	3,750	66,572

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Alleged and Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Alleged Victims	Unconfirmed Victims	Confirmed Victims	Percent Confirmed
1 Lubbock	11,590	7,888	3,702	31.9%
2 Abilene	9,119	6,398	2,721	29.8%
3 Arlington	68,023	49,705	18,318	26.9%
4 Tyler	13,692	9,996	3,696	27.0%
5 Beaumont	10,028	7,845	2,183	21.8%
6 Houston	48,398	39,378	9,020	18.6%
7 Austin	33,723	26,214	7,509	22.3%
8 San Antonio	32,841	24,829	8,012	24.4%
9 Midland	7,473	5,435	2,038	27.3%
10 El Paso	8,219	5,906	2,313	28.1%
11 Edinburg	29,934	22,884	7,050	23.6%
Out of State	51	41	10	19.6%
State	273,091	206,519	66,572	24.4%

Annual Number of Families and Children Receiving Family Preservation Services Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Families	Children	Children* Removed From Home	% Removed
1 Lubbock	1,707	4,524	272	6.0%
2 Abilene	1,313	3,366	122	3.6%
3 Arlington	6,484	17,128	663	3.9%
4 Tyler	1,208	3,141	233	7.4%
5 Beaumont	552	1,470	142	9.7%
6 Houston	5,823	17,035	617	3.6%
7 Austin	2,084	5,535	375	6.8%
8 San Antonio	4,516	12,891	1,091	8.5%
9 Midland	861	2,298	164	7.1%
10 El Paso	1,184	3,245	140	4.3%
11 Edinburg	4,897	15,039	528	3.5%
Out of State	5	22	1	4.5%
Total	30,634	85,694	4,348	5.1%

NOTE: Family Preservation Services is under the umbrella of Family Based Safety Services (FBSS).

Family Preservation Services are services provided to the child and the family where the caregiver retains legal custody.

*Children removed from home during Family Preservation. Does not equal total children removed during fiscal year.


Characteristics of Perpetrators in Confirmed Investigations of Child Abuse/Neglect Fiscal Year 2014

	Female			Male		Unknown		Total	
Characteristic	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	
Age									
Under 18	592	1.1%	1,414	2.6%	5	0.0%	2,011	3.7%	
18-25	10,211	19.0%	5,280	9.8%	13	0.0%	15,504	28.8%	
26-35	12,889	23.9%	8,956	16.6%	25	0.1%	21,870	40.6%	
36-45	4,753	8.8%	4,838	9.0%	12	0.0%	9,603	17.8%	
Over 45	2,059	3.8%	2,827	5.3%	8	0.0%	4,894	9.1%	
Invalid	1	0.0%	15	0.0%	18	0.0%	34	0.0%	
Marital Status									
Married	6,960	12.9%	7,251	13.5%	10	0.0%	14,221	26.4%	
Widowed	272	0.5%	141	0.3%	0	0.0%	413	0.8%	
Separated	1,750	3.3%	1,186	2.2%	3	0.0%	2,939	5.5%	
Divorced	2,084	3.9%	1,297	2.4%	2	0.0%	3,383	6.3%	
Single	10,898	20.2%	5,678	10.5%	10	0.0%	16,586	30.7%	
Unknown	7,875	14.6%	6,275	11.6%	55	0.1%	14,205	26.3%	
Not Applicable (Under 18)	666	1.2%	1,502	2.8%	1	0.0%	2,169	4.0%	
Race/Ethnicity *									
Anglo	13,088	24.3%	8,611	16.0%	20	0.0%	21,719	40.3%	
African American	5,492	10.2%	4,056	7.5%	5	0.0%	9,553	17.7%	
Hispanic	10,879	20.2%	9,418	17.5%	15	0.0%	20,312	37.7%	
Native American	58	0.1%	21	0.0%	0	0.0%	79	0.1%	
Asian	163	0.3%	148	0.3%	0	0.0%	311	0.6%	
Other	825	1.5%	1,076	2.0%	41	0.1%	1,942	3.6%	
Relation to Oldest Victin	n								
Parent	27,335	50.7%	15,204	28.2%	31	0.0%	42,570	78.9%	
Grandparent	1,334	2.5%	811	1.5%	4	0.0%	2,149	4.0%	
Sibling/Other Relative	379	0.7%	1,799	3.3%	2	0.0%	2,180	4.0%	
Aunt/Uncle	529	1.0%	1,007	1.9%	1	0.0%	1,537	2.9%	
Parent's Paramour	379	0.7%	3,452	6.4%	3	0.0%	3,834	7.1%	
Other	549	1.0%	1,057	2.0%	40	0.1%	1,646	3.1%	
Total Perpetrators	30,505	56.6%	23,330	43.3%	81	0.1%	53,916		

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Children in Foster Care by County Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 31,176




Number of Children Removed from Home by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	As a Result of an Abuse/Neglect Investigation	From an Open Service Stage*	Total	
2010	11,266	5,081	16,347	
2011	12,148	4,960	17,108	
2012	12,538	4,434	16,972	
2013	12,629	4,393	17,022	_
2014	13,175	4,203	17,378	_
0	4,000	8,000	12,000	16,000
2010		11,266		5,081
2011		12,148		4,960
2012		12,538		4,434
2013		12,629		4,393
-				

[■] Children removed as a result of an A/N Investigation

Point Prevalence* for Children Entering Substitute Care per 1,000 Children in Texas Population by Region Fiscal Year 2014



^{*} Point prevalence is the number of children entering substitute care per 1,000 children in the region.

[■] Children removed from an Open Service Stage

^{*}Removals from open service stages include Family Preservation, Family Substitute Care and Family Reunification. Note: The data presented in this chart have been modified to more accurately report where a removal occurred and therefore will not match prior data books.

Where are children in DFPS care?

....of the 28,523 children in DFPS substitute care on August 31, 2014...

16,961 children were in Foster Care

11,882

children were placed in

Child Placing Agency (CPA) Foster Homes

Foster homes are families who accept foster children into their homes. These foster homes are recruited, trained, verified and managed by private CPAs. DFPS has contracts with over 100 CPAs.

The majority of CPA foster homes are verified to provide therapeutic foster care services.

695 of these children were placed in Kinship Verified Foster Homes

1.582

children were placed in **DFPS Foster Homes**

These are families who accept foster children into their homes and are recruited, trained, verified and managed by DFPS. The majority provide basic foster care services.

400 of these children were placed in Kinship Verified Foster Homes

755

children were placed in

Basic Child Care

These are typically cottage and campus type settings meeting basic child needs

1,635

children were placed in

Residential Treatment Centers

An RTC is a very structured setting for children with serious emotional disturbance or mental health issues.

640

children were placed in

Emergency Shelters

These are intended for stays of less than 30 days.

467

children were placed in

Other types of foster care

such as camps, maternity homes hospitals, juvenile detention, ICF-IID, HCS homes, state schools & hospitals.

11,562 children were in other types of Substitute Care

10.415

children were placed in Kinship Care

DFPS supports relative caregivers by assisting with initial costs of accepting a child and through ongoing case management.

485

children were in pending adoptions in

CPA Adoptive Homes

197

children were in pending adoptions in

DFPS Adoptive Homes

465

children were placed in

Other Substitute Care

which includes independent living programs, unauthorized absences and court ordered placements.

Notes:

A. The 28,523 children includes 615 youth over the age of 18 in foster care, but who have "aged-out" of the legal conservatorship of DFPS.


B. There are a total of 30,153 children in DFPS legal responsibility. 2,245 are in the legal conservatorship of DFPS but not in substitute care; the majority of these children are in a reunification stage and are living with their families of origin.

Demographics of Children in Foster Care on August 31 by Fiscal Year

	20	11	20	12	201	3	201	4
Characteristic	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Age								
Birth - 2	3,797	22.1%	3,614	21.6%	3,634	21.8%	3,882	22.9%
3 - 5	2,903	16.9%	2,766	16.6%	2,837	17.0%	2,851	16.8%
6 - 9	2,948	17.2%	2,948	17.7%	3,050	18.3%	3,221	19.0%
10 - 13	2,972	17.3%	2,820	16.9%	2,774	16.6%	2,778	16.4%
14 - 17	3,976	23.1%	3,947	23.6%	3,747	22.5%	3,614	21.3%
18 - 21	587	3.4%	602	3.6%	634	3.8%	615	3.6%
Gender								
Male	9,308	54.2%	9,030	54.1%	8,886	53.3%	9,033	53.3%
Female	7,874	45.8%	7,667	45.9%	7,790	46.7%	7,928	46.7%
Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Race/Ethnicity *								
Anglo	5,044	29.3%	5,048	30.3%	4,970	29.8%	5,333	31.4%
African American	4,126	24.0%	3,825	22.9%	3,858	23.1%	3,670	21.6%
Hispanic	6,995	40.7%	6,813	40.8%	6,882	41.3%	6,952	41.0%
Native American	31	0.2%	22	0.1%	17	0.1%	17	0.1%
Asian	44	0.3%	38	0.2%	47	0.3%	42	0.3%
Other	943	5.5%	951	5.7%	902	5.4%	947	5.6%
Total	17,183		16,697		16,676		16,961	
Age								
Birth - 2	3,882	22.9%	-					
3 - 5	2,851	16.8%	-					
6 - 9	3,221	19.0%	_					
10 - 13	2,778	16.4%						
14 - 17	3,614	21.3%	_					
18 - 21	615	3.6%						
Gender			-					
Male	9,033	53.3%	-					_
Female	7,928	46.7%			1 1	1 1	1	
Race/Ethnicity								1
Anglo	5,333	31.4%						8 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
African American	3,670	21.6%	-			l		
Hispanic	6,952	41.0%						
Native American	17	0.1%	_					
Asian	42	0.3%						
Other	947	5.6%						

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Point Prevalence for Children in Substitute Care per 1,000 Children in Texas Population by Region on August 31, 2014



Note: Point prevalence is the number of children (ages 0-17) in substitute care per 1,000 children in the region.

Legal Status of Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility on August 31, by Fiscal Year

	201:	2	2013		2014	
Legal Status	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Care, Custody & Control*	49	0.2%	65	0.2%	55	0.2%
Temporary Managing Conservatorship**	17,332	58.2%	17,572	59.5%	18,214	60.4%
Permanent Managing Conservatorship***						
Parental Rights Not Terminated	2,863	9.6%	2,732	9.3%	2,829	9.4%
Parental Rights Terminated (All)	9,105	30.6%	8,772	29.7%	8,695	28.8%
Parental Rights Terminated (One Parent)	400	1.3%	366	1.2%	341	1.1%
Possessory Conservatorship****	26	0.1%	16	0.1%	19	0.1%
Total	29,775		29,523		30,153	

^{*} Care, Custody and Control - In some counties in Texas, this type of custody is given at an Ex Parte Hearing rather than appointing a temporary managing conservator. This provides legal authority for DFPS to ensure a child's safety and meet a child's basic needs for shelter, food, and education.

^{**} Temporary Managing Conservatorship (TMC) - is a court ordered legal relationship between a child and a parent or nonparent. If a judge appoints DFPS as Temporary Managing Conservator, the court will order DFPS to exercise specific rights and duties, which include but are not limited to the right to have physical possession of the child, the duty of care, control, and protection of the child, the right to designate the primary residence of the child, and the right to make decisions concerning the child's health-care and education. Generally, TMC continues for up to 12 to 18 months at which time the judge issues a final order returning the child home, appointing an individual or DFPS as a Permanent Managing Conservator, and/or terminating parental rights thereby making the child eligible for adoption.

^{***} Permanent Managing Conservatorship (PMC) - is the status a court awards to DFPS in a final order. DFPS can be awarded PMC with or without termination of the rights of the child's parents. The rights and duties of a PMC are typically the same as those of the TMC; however, as the PMC, DFPS continues to exercise those rights until the child is adopted, until PMC is transferred to a suitable individual, or the child becomes a legal adult at age 18.

^{****} Possessory Conservatorship - Although uncommon, DFPS may be appointed Possessory Conservator (PC) in certain situations. As PC, DFPS has more limited rights and duties with respect to the child, as enumerated in the court's order naming DFPS as PC.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility, in Substitute Care or in Foster Care Placements on August 31, 2014

Region	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Substitute Care	Foster Care*
1 Lubbock	1,719	1,582	1,038
2 Abilene	843	765	533
3 Arlington	6,405	6,003	3,679
4 Tyler	1,845	1,753	1,026
5 Beaumont	1,009	941	612
6 Houston	5,555	5,381	3,203
7 Austin	3,639	3,437	1,738
8 San Antonio	4,998	4,796	2,647
9 Midland	1,189	1,127	656
10 El Paso	520	482	342
11 Edinburg	2,431	2,256	1,487
Statewide	30,153	28,523	16,961

Note: Includes youth who have aged out of DFPS legal responsibility but remain in substitute care.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility, in Substitute Care or in Foster Care Placements during Fiscal Year

Region	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Substitute Care	Foster Care*
1 Lubbock	2,545	2,469	1,779
2 Abilene	1,249	1,211	958
3 Arlington	9,976	9,683	6,937
4 Tyler	2,984	2,875	1,883
5 Beaumont	1,477	1,438	1,121
6 Houston	8,568	8,408	5,707
7 Austin	5,865	5,677	3,423
8 San Antonio	7,766	7,610	4,816
9 Midland	1,749	1,703	1,097
10 El Paso	870	842	655
11 Edinburg	3,774	3,709	2,800
Total	46,823	45,625	31,176

Note: Includes youth who have aged out of DFPS legal responsibility but remain in substitute care.

^{*} Foster Care is a subset of Substitute Care

^{*} Foster Care is a subset of Substitute Care.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility by Living Arrangement on August 31, by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Non-Foster Care	Foster Care	Total	% Change
2011	13,608	16,596	30,204	4.5%
2012	13,680	16,095	29,775	-1.4%
2013	13,481	16,042	29,523	-0.8%
2014	13,807	16,346	30,153	2.1%

2011	13,608	16,596
2012	13,680	16,095
2013	13,481	16,042
2014	13,807	16,346
	= Non Foster Care	= Foster Care


■ Non-Foster Care ■ Foster Care

Note: Foster care totals exclude youth over 18 who remain in foster care but have aged out of DFPS legal responsibility.

Non-foster care placements include adoption, relative, own home and other.

Children in DFPS Legal Responsibility in Non-Foster Care Placements on August 31, by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Total Non-Foster Care	Other*	Adoption	Relative	Own Home
2011	13,608	543	868	9,858	2,339
2012	13,680	484	756	9,982	2,458
2013	13,481	465	722	10,059	2,235
2014	13,807	465	682	10,415	2,245



^{*} Other includes independent living, hospitals, nursing homes, correctional facilities and unauthorized absences.

Children* in Substitute Care Placements by Living Arrangement Categories on August 31, 2014

Region	CPA Foster - Group Homes & Independent Homes	DFPS Foster & Foster Group Homes	DFPS Adoptive Homes	Private Adoptive Homes	Kinship
1 Lubbock	555	166	6	32	475
2 Abilene	386	24	0	5	216
3 Arlington	2,792	313	19	76	2,135
4 Tyler	592	171	11	21	676
5 Beaumont	315	189	6	11	293
6 Houston	2,329	195	76	240	1,789
7 Austin	1,117	217	27	24	1,603
8 San Antonio	1,673	144	28	47	1,970
9 Midland	475	16	7	15	431
10 El Paso	213	54	3	6	123
11 Edinburg	1,116	82	14	8	704
Out of State	0	0	0	0	0
Total	11,563	1,571	197	485	10,415

Region	General Residential Operation	Emergency Shelters	Residential Treatment	Other**	Total
1 Lubbock	130	30	110	50	1,554
2 Abilene	6	23	74	15	749
3 Arlington	83	73	237	160	5,888
4 Tyler	67	37	97	49	1,721
5 Beaumont	33	15	36	28	926
6 Houston	50	70	342	133	5,224
7 Austin	34	49	218	81	3,370
8 San Antonio	220	187	255	157	4,681
9 Midland	21	46	67	33	1,111
10 El Paso	8	8	37	18	470
11 Edinburg	39	82	113	56	2,214
Out of State	0	0	0	0	0
Total	691	620	1,586	780	27,908

^{*} Excludes 615 young adults over 18 who have aged out of DFPS conservatorship but remain in DFPS care.


^{**} Other living arrangement types include: Camps, maternity homes, hospitals, juvenile detention, ICF-IID, HSC homes, state schools, hospitals, independent living programs, unauthorized absences, and court ordered placements.

Permanency Goal of Children in Substitute Care for Whom DFPS had Legal Responsibility on August 31, 2014

Total Children: 22,110

Goal	#	%
Adoption	11,102	50.2%
Reunification	7,767	35.1%
Permanent Placement with Relatives and Other Caregivers	2,253	10.2%
Alternative Long-Term Living Arrangement	463	2.1%
Adult Living	525	2.4%
Total Children	22 110	





Number of DFPS Foster, Foster/Adoptive and Adoptive Homes on August 31, 2014

Dogion	Foster Homes*	Foster/Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***
Region			
1 Lubbock	3	109	15
2 Abilene	0	15	6
3 Arlington	31	192	66
4 Tyler	5	100	35
5 Beaumont	14	108	22
6 Houston	21	160	95
7 Austin	11	130	106
8 San Antonio	4	98	203
9 Midland	0	1	14
10 El Paso	1	32	11
11 Edinburg	4	35	25
Out of State	42	77	9
Total	136	1,057	607

^{*}Includes 67 verified kinship homes.

^{**}Includes 3 legal risk homes and 354 verified kinship homes.

^{***} This number does not include homes open only for receipt of adoption subsidy.

CPS Outcomes Based on Data from Fiscal Year 2014

Child Safety

Percentage of children who remained safe in substitute care

(children in care during FY14 who did not experience a confirmed incident of maltreatment)

99.9%

Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

(child victims without a subsequent confirmed allegation within 6 months of the prior confirmed allegation)

97.1%

Initial Placement Stability

Percentage of children in substitute care 12 months or less with 2 or fewer placements

84.3%

Family Preservation

(measured from start of services to end of services)

Average length of service 7.4 months

Family Reunification

(measured from removal to placement in own home)

Percentage of children returned to own home 30.7%

Average number of placements per child 1.9 placements

Average length of service 13.2 months

Median length of service 12.2 months

Percentage of children reunified with family, with DFPS conservatorship terminated,

within 12 months of removal 62.1%

Adoption Services

(measured from removal to adoption consummation)

Percentage of children who left DFPS legal responsibility with an adoption consummation

30.6%

Average number of placements per child

2.6 placements

Average length of service

From removal to final order

From final order to adoption placement

13.6 months

From placement to adoption consummated

1.3 months

Median length of service

24.2 months

Percentage of children adopted within 24 months of removal 49.0%

Relative As Permanent Managing Conservator

(measured from removal to date Relative takes legal custody as Permanent Managing Conservator)

Percentage of children who left DFPS legal responsibility to a relative placement 30.1%

Average number of placements per child

1.9 placements

Average length of service

14.4 months

Median length of service 11.7 months

CPS Outcomes Based on Data from Fiscal Year 2014

Long-term Substitute Care Outcomes

Emancipation (includes children who left DFPS legal responsibility by emancipation or turning 18)

(measured from removal to date DFPS legal responsibility ended or date child turns 18 years of age)

Percentage of children who left DFPS legal responsibility

7.4%

Average number of placements per child

6.4 placements

Average length of service

54.0 months

Median length of service

38.9 months

Other Long-term Substitute Care

(measured from removal to date DFPS legal responsibility ended. Includes children/youth who left DFPS legal responsibility due to one of the following reasons: runaway, death, an individual taking legal custody that is not included in one of the other exit categories, and transfer to another state agency.)

Percentage of children who left DFPS legal responsibility

1.2%

Average number of placements per child

1.5 placements

Average length of service Median length of service 13.2 months

6.0 months

Fiscal Year 2014 Recidivism Outcomes

Percentage of children re-entering foster care within 12 months of discharge from a previous episode of foster care.

5.7%

For All Stages:

(measured as a new confirmed reason to believe allegation within 12 months of the end of services or a return to substitute care or new Family Preservation services provided)

8.0%

For Family Preservation:

(measured as a new confirmed reason to believe allegation within 12 months of the end Family Preservation services or new Family Preservation services provided)

7.4%

For Reunification:


(measured as a new confirmed reason to believe allegation or a return to substitute care within 12 months of the end of Family Reunification)

16.1%

Length of Time in Substitute Care for children in DFPS Custody on August 31, 2014

Average length of service for children in temporary managing conservatorship	6.9 months
Median length of service for children in temporary managing conservatorship	5.8 months
Average length of service for children in permanent managing conservatorship	37.0 months
Median length of service for children in permanent managing conservatorship	25.6 months

Children Placed in Adoptive Homes by Region Fiscal Year 2014



Demographics of 5,224 Children Placed in Adoptive Homes Fiscal Year 2014

Age		Count		
Under 1 year		82	Under 1 year 📕 1.6%	
1 - 5 years		2,933	1 - 5 years	56.1%
6 - 12 years		1,718	6 - 12 years 32.9%	
13 years and over		491	13 years and over 9.4%	
	Total	5,224		1
Race/Ethnicity *		Count		
Anglo		1,438	Anglo 27.5%	
African American		1,110	African American 21.2%	
Hispanic		2,341	Hispanic	44.8%
Native American		3	Native American 0.1%	
Asian		11	Asian 0.2%	
Other		321	Other 6.1%	
	Total	5,224		
Child Characteristics		Count		
Disabling Condition		1,623	Disabling Condition 31.1%	
No Special Characteristics		3,601	No Special Characteristics	68.99
	Total	5,224		
Gender			7	
Female		2,571	Female 49.2%	
Male		2,653	Male 50.8%	
	Total	5,224		

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Children with Disabling Conditions Placed in Adoptive Homes Fiscal Year 2014


Total number of children placed in adoptive homes 5,224

Number of unique children with

disabling conditions placed in adoptive homes 1,623

Disabling Condition	% of all Children Placed in Adoptive Homes	Children with Disabling Condition
Learning Disabilities	13.8%	720
Drug/Alcohol*	13.2%	692
Emotionally Disturbed	7.7%	402
Medically Involved	5.1%	267
Physical	0.9%	48
Other**	0.1%	4

Children with Disabling Condition



^{*} Drug/Alcohol disabling condition can either be due to self-abuse or exposure to an individual with the condition.


Note: Children may be duplicated across categories because some may have more than one disabling condition.

Children with a Consummated Adoption by Type of Agency by Fiscal Year

	2011		20)12	2013		20	14
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DFPS	2,563	55.3%	2,823	56.0%	2,770	51.6%	2,337	45.2%
Non DFPS*	2,072	44.7%	2,217	44.0%	2,594	48.4%	2,838	54.8%
Total Consummations	4,635		5,040		5,364		5,175	

^{*} Non DFPS includes private agency adoptions, relative adoptions and out of state adoptions.

Children with a Consummated Adoption by Region Fiscal Year 2014



^{**} Other includes teen parent or pregnant.

Demographics of 5,175 Children With A Consummated Adoption Fiscal Year 2014

Age	Count		7		
Under 1 year	69	Under 1 year	1.3%		
1 - 5 years	2,848	1 - 5 years			55.0%
6 - 12 years	1,758	6 - 12 years		34.0%	
13 years and over	500	13 years and over	9.7%		
Toto	5,175			1	1
Gender	Count		7		
Male	2,661	Male			51.4%
Female	2,514	Female			48.6%
Toto	5,175				
Race/Ethnicity *	Count				
Anglo	1,426	Anglo		27.6%	
African American	1,093	African American		21.1%	
Hispanic	2,330	Hispanic			45.0%
Native American	3	Native American	0.1%		
Asian	13	Asian	0.2%		
Other	310	Other	6.0%		
Toto	5,175 la			1	1 1

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Race/Ethnicity* of Children and Adoptive Parents for Adoptions Consummated by Fiscal Year

	2011		20	12	2013		2014	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Race/Ethnicity of parent(s) is same as child	2,718	58.6%	3,071	60.9%	3,295	61.4%	3,141	60.7%
Race/Ethnicity of one or both parents differs from child's (Multiracial)**	1,917	41.4%	1,969	39.1%	2,069	38.6%	2,034	39.3%
Total Adoptions	4,635		5,040		5,364		5,175	


^{*}As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and afer is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.


^{**}Includes when Race/Ethnicity was not determined.

Status of Children Who Exited DFPS Legal Custody Fiscal Year 2014

Outcome	#	%
Family Reunification	5,192	30.7%
Custody to Relatives with PCA	601	3.6%
Custody to Relatives without PCA	4,488	26.5%
Relative Adoption Consummated	2,528	14.9%
Non-Relative Adoption Consummated	2,647	15.7%
Youth Emancipated*	1,246	7.4%
Other**	210	1.2%
Total Children	16,912	

^{*} Of the 1,246 youth emancipated in FY14, 929 were emancipated from paid foster care.





Average Length of Time in Months for Children Leaving DFPS Responsibility by Type of Exit, Race/Ethnicity*** Fiscal Year 2014

	Earmailte	Relative Care		Adoption		Long Term Care	
	Family Reunification	With PCA	Without PCA	By Relative	By Non- Relative	Emancipation	Other
Anglo	12.4	23.1	12.4	24.6	28.0	53.8	11.1
African American	13.5	26.1	15.0	30.6	35.6	57.0	17.0
Hispanic	13.7	23.5	13.0	25.3	31.4	52.8	12.2
Native American	44.6	0.0	0.6	21.0	59.0	19.5	24.7
Asian	10.2	0.0	11.8	34.1	35.5	72.6	0.2
Other	12.6	25.9	12.2	29.5	26.2	47.4	15.4
Statewide	13.2	24.2	13.1	26.6	30.8	54.0	13.2

Average Length of Time in Months for Children Who Exited DFPS Custody by Type of Exit and Region Fiscal Year 2014

		Relative Care		Adoption		Long Term Care	
Region	Family Reunification	With PCA	Without PCA	By Relative	By Non- Relative	Emancipation	Other
1 Lubbock	15.1	34.4	16.5	29.1	31.3	66.8	30.9
2 Abilene	14.6	24.2	16.3	30.9	30.2	55.3	17.0
3 Arlington	12.9	27.0	12.2	24.9	28.2	49.0	9.9
4 Tyler	12.5	21.2	9.8	24.0	30.1	54.2	11.8
5 Beaumont	11.2	21.4	12.7	23.7	29.3	71.6	9.0
6 Houston	13.7	22.3	15.5	32.5	34.9	61.3	13.8
7 Austin	12.2	23.2	12.1	25.8	29.6	47.3	14.4
8 San Antonio	14.6	23.7	13.7	23.3	28.0	49.1	7.2
9 Midland	14.2	29.2	16.1	27.9	33.9	50.2	14.7
10 El Paso	12.0	23.3	12.0	25.8	32.3	53.2	1.5
11 Edinburg	12.7	19.6	11.7	30.4	51.1	50.5	10.6
Statewide	13.2	24.2	13.1	26.6	30.8	54.0	13.2

^{***} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Average Number of Out-of-Home Placements for Children Who Exited DFPS Legal Custody* Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Family Reunification	Relative Care With PCA	Relative Care Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non- Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
1 Lubbock	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.7	3.0	8.2	1.4
2 Abilene	2.0	1.5	2.4	2.3	2.8	5.6	2.5
3 Arlington	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.8	6.3	1.1
4 Tyler	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.1	3.0	6.1	2.0
5 Beaumont	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.9	6.0	1.0
6 Houston	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.3	2.8	6.8	1.8
7 Austin	1.7	2.2	1.8	2.2	2.7	5.9	1.8
8 San Antonio	2.1	1.7	1.7	2.2	3.1	6.3	0.9
9 Midland	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.8	5.3	1.8
10 El Paso	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.4	3.1	5.9	1.0
11 Edinburg	2.0	1.8	2.3	2.5	4.0	6.2	1.4
Statewide	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.9	6.4	1.5

Note: The average number of placements per child in substitute care for all children who attained permanency is 2.4 placements.

Length of Time in Care for Children Who Exited DFPS Legal Custody* to a Permanent Home** by Fiscal Year

Length of Time in Care	% of Total Children
2014	
0 - 12 Months	52.9%
13 to 24 months	29.2%
25+ months	17.9%
2013	
0 - 12 Months	52.9%
13 to 24 months	29.8%
25+ months	17.3%
2012	
0 - 12 Months	55.1%
13 to 24 months	28.8%
25+ months	16.1%
2011	
0 - 12 Months	57.1%
13 to 24 months	25.6%
25+ months	17.2%
2010	
0 - 12 Months	51.1%
13 to 24 months	26.1%
25+ months	22.8%

^{*}Children who left substitute care via own home, permanent relative placement or adoption consummation and DFPS legal responsibility was ended.

^{**}Permanent home means an exit to reunification, an individual taking custody as a permanent managing conservator, or adoption.

Average Monthly Number of Children and Young Adults, FTEs* in Paid Foster Care Fiscal Year 2014


State Payment Rate	Children & Young Adults	FTEs
Basic	10,948	9,654
Moderate	2,909	2,630
Specialized	2,575	2,311
Intense	350	301
Child Specific**	34	30
Emergency Shelter	864	543
Psychiatric Transition	39	27
Blended Rate (Single Stream Continuum Contract - SSCC)	910	752
Exceptional Rate (SSCC)	3	2
Supported Independent Living (SSCC and Legacy)	32	28
Total Average Monthly Counts***	18,664	16,278
Unduplicated Count	18,038	

Note: Calculations exclude children where cost of care was not covered by Title IV-E or state paid foster care. *Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) are calculated by dividing the number of paid foster care days in the month by the number of days in a month.

Note: For HHSC 24-Hour Residential Child Care Facilities Rates go to http://www.dfps.state.tx.us/PCS/rates_childcare_reimbursement.asp

Foster Care Expenditures by Source Fiscal Year 2014

Total Expenditures: \$397,462,117



Title IV-E Foster Care Program Description

The Foster Care Program helps States to provide safe and stable out-of-home care for children until the children are safely returned home, placed permanently with adoptive families or placed in other planned arrangements for permanency. Funds are available for: monthly maintenance payments to eligible foster care providers; administrative costs to manage the program; training staff and foster parents; foster parent recruitment; and other related expenses.

State Paid Foster Care may be funded with Federal Block Grant (TANF).


^{**} Child Specific, Emergency Shelters, SIL and Blended Rate contracts do not have an actual level of care.

^{***} Duplicated count due to changes in service levels during the month.

Monthly Average Number of Children and Young Adults in Paid Foster Care by Payment Source

Fiscal	State Paid Foster Care**		Title IV-E Pai	d Foster Care	Total Monthly	% Annual
Year	Children	Young Adults*	Children	Young Adults*	Average***	Change
2010	4,308	783	11,936	558	17,585	3.0%
2011	4,562	821	12,569	509	18,461	5.0%
2012	4,649	726	12,283	525	18,183	-1.5%
2013	5,357	703	11,192	524	17,776	-2.2%
2014	6,403	714	10,506	431	18,054	1.6%

Percent Annual Change in Monthly Average Number of Children and Young Adults in Paid Foster Care by Fiscal Year



Monthly Average Number of Children and Young Adults in Paid Foster Care by Payment Source and Region Fiscal Year 2014

	State Paid Foster Care**		Title IV-E Pa	Total Monthly		
Region	Children	Young Adults*	Children	Young Adults*	Average	
1 Lubbock	394	38	670	33	1,135	
2 Abilene	164	20	388	14	586	
3 Arlington	1,333	137	2,230	81	3,781	
4 Tyler	463	40	515	20	1,038	
5 Beaumont	267	22	319	10	618	
6 Houston	1,011	145	2,281	124	3,561	
7 Austin	908	108	772	25	1,813	
8 San Antonio	1,021	111	1,682	67	2,881	
9 Midland	230	24	453	13	720	
10 El Paso	67	10	291	10	378	
11 Edinburg	548	59	911	35	1,553	
Statewide	6,406	714	10,512	432	18,064	
		_				

^{*}A young adult is any person in foster care who was 18 to 21 years of age at any time during the fiscal year.


18,038

Unduplicated Monthly Average***

^{**} State Paid Foster Care may be funded with Federal Block Grant (TANF).

^{***} Some children are served in more than one region and/or eligibility type in a month.

Families and Children Receiving Kinship Caregiver Monetary Assistance Fiscal Year 2014



^{*}Many of the Additional Integration Payments, which were introduced in FY14, were processed outside of IMPACT with no region captured.

Kinship Caregiver Monetary Assistance Payments Fiscal Year 2014


Region	Integration Payments	Flexible Support Payments	Total Relative Caregiver Support
1 Lubbock	\$146,880.00	\$202,500.00	\$349,380.00
2 Abilene	110,900.00	132,500.00	243,400.00
3 Arlington	1,040,475.00	1,342,000.00	2,382,475.00
4 Tyler	195,395.00	258,000.00	453,395.00
5 Beaumont	127,405.00	146,500.00	273,905.00
6 Houston	441,050.00	1,075,500.00	1,516,550.00
7 Austin	663,895.00	876,000.00	1,539,895.00
8 San Antonio	814,140.00	463,500.00	1,277,640.00
9 Midland	108,405.00	167,000.00	275,405.00
10 El Paso	83,910.00	82,500.00	166,410.00
11 Edinburg	208,770.00	532,500.00	741,270.00
Unknown*	834,120.00	88,000.00	922,120.00
Statewide	\$4,775,345.00	\$5,366,500.00	\$10,141,845.00

^{*}Many of the Additional Integration Payments, which were introduced in FY14, were processed outside of IMPACT with no region captured.

Number of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy* by Payment Source and Fiscal Year


Fiscal Year	State Paid Adoption Subsidies	Title IV-E Adoption Subsidies	Total Children Provided Adoption Subsidy	% Change from Previous Fiscal Year
2010	7,146	26,558	33,704	9.8%
2011	7,296	28,994	36,290	7.7%
2012	7,550	31,506	39,056	7.6%
2013	7,795	34,284	42,079	7.7%
2014	7,987	37,034	45,021	7.0%

Percent Change of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy Monthly Total by Fiscal Year



Number of Children Provided Adoption Subsidy* by Region Fiscal Year 2014





^{*}Subsidy includes financial payments only, not medical and non-recurring subsidies.


Number of Children Provided Permanency Care Assistance* by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	State Paid	Title IV-E	Total Children	% Change
2011	33	168	201	N/A
2012	118	417	535	166.2%
2013	123	459	582	8.8%
2014	194	407	601	3.3%

Note: The Permanency Care Assistance program began in Fiscal Year 2011.

Number of Children Provided Permanency Care Assistance* by Region Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 601



^{*}Does not include non-recurring payments.

For children who cannot reunify and for who adoption has been ruled out, the Permanency Care Assistance (PCA) Program provides a monthly subsidy to relatives and fictive kin that take legal custody as a permanent managing conservator and have been a verified foster care provider for the child for at least 6 months. The PCA subsidy is similar to an adoption subsidy.

Average Number of Children and Families Receiving Purchased Services per Month Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Living at Home	Living Out of Home	Post Adoption	Children	Adults	Total Clients
1 Lubbock	153	394	13	560	1,014	1,574
2 Abilene	57	195	9	261	533	794
3 Arlington	274	1,793	83	2,150	2,442	4,592
4 Tyler	159	338	8	505	1,337	1,842
5 Beaumont	58	194	67	319	521	840
6 Houston	352	1,203	147	1,702	3,419	5,121
7 Austin	447	791	26	1,264	2,280	3,544
8 San Antonio	420	978	18	1,416	1,983	3,399
9 Midland	105	214	18	337	570	907
10 El Paso	26	142	10	178	211	389
11 Edinburg	239	376	3	618	2,383	3,001
Unknown	0	0	3	3	0	3
Total	2,290	6,618	405	9,313	16,693	26,006

Note: Averages are rounded to the nearest whole number.

Average Monthly Number and Percent of Children Receiving CPS Purchased Services by Region Fiscal Year 2014

	In	-Home Childrer	1	Children in Substitute Care			
Region	Total	_	Receiving Purchased Services		Receiving Purchased Services		
		#	%		#	%	
1 Lubbock	2,386	153	6.4%	1,689	394	23.3%	
2 Abilene	1,812	57	3.1%	828	195	23.5%	
3 Arlington	7,879	274	3.5%	6,098	1,793	29.4%	
4 Tyler	1,640	159	9.7%	1,719	338	19.7%	
5 Beaumont	715	58	8.1%	913	194	21.3%	
6 Houston	8,426	352	4.2%	5,816	1,203	20.7%	
7 Austin	2,979	447	15.0%	3,473	791	22.8%	
8 San Antonio	6,158	420	6.8%	5,102	978	19.2%	
9 Midland	1,106	105	9.5%	1,176	214	18.2%	
10 El Paso	1,666	26	1.6%	548	142	25.9%	
11 Edinburg	6,466	239	3.7%	2,293	376	16.4%	
Unknown	8	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	
Statewide	41.243	2.290	5.6%	29.654	6.618	22.3%	

Family Group Decision Making (FGDM) is a part of the CPS process to be family-centered. The goal is to enhance safety, permanency, and well-being for children by providing direct services and support services to their caregivers, whether biological or through affinity. FGDM describes a variety of practices to work with and engage families in problem solving, including Family Team Meetings (FTM), Family Group Conferences (FGC), and Circles of Support (COS):

- **Family Team Meeting (FTM)** is designed as a rapid response to child safety and placement concerns, and is used to achieve positive outcomes for children in the earliest stages of interaction between CPS and families.
- Family Group Conference (FGC) is a process where families join with relatives, friends, and others in the community to develop a plan to ensure children are cared for and protected from future harm. This broader constellation of "family" convenes with information providers/community supports and CPS caseworkers in a unique partnership that empowers the "family group" with a high degree of decision-making authority and responsibility.
- **Circles of Support (COS)** is a youth-focused, youth-driven meeting with the primary purpose of developing a plan for older youth to transition from foster care to adulthood. It may be used for other purposes as well. It includes broader participation of the youth's support network.

Family Team Meetings Conducted By Race/Ethnicity Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
1 Lubbock	178	37	224	1	1	39	480
2 Abilene	312	34	114	0	0	19	479
3 Arlington	887	334	415	0	4	148	1,788
4 Tyler	442	113	61	0	1	65	682
5 Beaumont	113	75	16	0	0	19	223
6 Houston	367	326	291	2	6	69	1,061
7 Austin	681	263	614	1	2	127	1,688
8 San Antonio	309	75	1,016	0	3	57	1,460
9 Midland	75	11	118	0	0	6	210
10 El Paso	24	6	214	4	0	5	253
11 Edinburg	117	11	946	0	0	28	1,102
Other/Unknown	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	3,507	1,285	4,029	8	17	582	9,428

Family Group Conferences Conducted By Race/Ethnicity Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
1 Lubbock	160	21	210	0	1	38	430
2 Abilene	318	28	111	2	0	43	502
3 Arlington	458	202	232	2	5	81	980
4 Tyler	426	90	73	0	1	82	672
5 Beaumont	156	72	36	2	0	35	301
6 Houston	310	438	344	2	3	102	1,199
7 Austin	351	125	258	0	1	99	834
8 San Antonio	295	76	903	0	2	69	1,345
9 Midland	140	31	177	0	0	25	373
10 El Paso	8	11	108	1	0	6	134
11 Edinburg	202	14	1,491	1	1	58	1,767
Total	2,824	1,108	3,943	10	14	638	8,537


Circles of Support Conducted by Race/Ethnicity* Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Anglo	African American	Hispanic	Native American	Asian	Other	Total
1 Lubbock	51	18	28	0	0	9	106
2 Abilene	30	9	18	0	0	8	65
3 Arlington	206	205	122	0	4	49	586
4 Tyler	88	52	32	0	0	18	190
5 Beaumont	39	21	6	0	0	10	76
6 Houston	154	269	170	0	3	68	664
7 Austin	101	104	86	0	2	47	340
8 San Antonio	60	56	207	0	0	33	356
9 Midland	43	4	20	0	0	4	71
10 El Paso	2	3	35	0	0	3	43
11 Edinburg	18	1	184	0	0	26	229
Total	792	742	908	0	9	275	2,726

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Preparation for Adult Living (PAL) Services Youth Ages 16 through 20

The Transitional Services Program includes Preparation for Adult Living (PAL) program services to help youth aging out of foster care prepare for adult life, and assist with the initial transition to adult living. PAL services ensure that DFPS foster youth and those aging out of care receive the tools, resources, supports, and personal and community connections they need to become self-sufficient adults. Supportive services and benefits are provided to eligible youth ages 16 to 21, and in some cases up to age 23 for certain educational/vocational needs, to assist when they leave foster care.



Note: 907 youth who were not served in FY 2014 received services prior to FY 2014.

DFPS Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities Fiscal Year 2014

Statewide	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Texas Child Population*	6,584,709	6,663,942	7,054,634	7,159,172	7,266,760
DFPS Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities**	227	231	212	156	151
Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities per 100,000 Texas children	3.4	3.5	3.0	2.2	2.1

DFPS Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities by Region Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Texas Child Population (ages 0-17)*	DFPS Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities**	Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities per 100,000 Texas children
1 Lubbock	230,711	3	1.3
2 Abilene	131,517	8	6.1
3 Arlington	1,979,195	37	1.9
4 Tyler	277,731	13	4.7
5 Beaumont	188,473	12	6.4
6 Houston	1,797,315	27	1.5
7 Austin	818,321	22	2.7
8 San Antonio	731,727	17	2.3
9 Midland	158,368	3	1.9
10 El Paso	254,213	4	1.6
11 Edinburg	699,189	5	0.7
Statewide	7,266,760	151	2.1

^{*} Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio).

^{**} Includes child fatalities investigated and confirmed by Child Protective Services (143), Adult Protective Services (0), Child Day Care Licensing (4) and Residential Child Care Licensing (4). Fatality information does not include corrections or updates, if any, that may subsequently be made to DFPS data after fiscal year end.

DCL Child Day Care Licensing Overview

The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is granted its authority to regulate child-care facilities and child-placing agencies by the Texas Legislature in Chapter 42 of the Texas Human Resources Code.

The purpose of regulation is to protect a group or class of children by establishing and enforcing statewide minimum standards.

Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff*

Caseworkers	270.4
Supervisors	40.8
Other Staff	119.1
Total CCL Staff	430.3

Worker Demographics

9	
Turnover Rate	19.4%
Agency Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	27.9%
1 - 3 Years	15.9%
Greater than 3 Years	56.2%
Entry Salary (Inv)*	\$32,328.96
Entry Salary (Non Inv)*	\$28,839.00
Average Age	39.0
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	29.0%
Anglo	39.9%
Hispanic	25.4%
Other	5.8%

Supervisor Demographics

-	
Turnover Rate	7.2%
Tenure as Supervisor:	
Less Than 1 Year	31.0%
1 - 3 Years	11.9%
Greater than 3 Years	57.1%
Entry Salary*	\$47,331.00
Average Age	45.8
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	28.6%
Anglo	38.1%
Hispanic	31.0%
Other	2.4%

CCL Expenditures*

CCL Staff \$26,732,845

Application/Permit Issuance

- Orientation
- Inspection
- Background Check
- Technical Assistance
- Initial License
- Fees
- Initial Permit
- Non-expiring Permit

Conduct Monitoring/Investigation

Routine Investigation
Action Based Action

Monitoring

- Assess Risk
- Unannounced Inspections
- Biennial Background Checks
- Technical assistance

Investigation

- Assess Risk
- Abuse, Neglect, or Exploitation
- Minimum Standards violations, including serious incidents

Deficiencies Found? Reports Validated?

Due Process

Enforcement

- Share results with operation and the public via the CLASS application database
- Require correction to reduce risk
- Verify compliance
- Adjust monitoring frequency as necessary

Remedial Action

- Corrective Action
- Evaluation/Probation
- Adverse Action
- Denial
- Suspension
- Revocation

Due Process

Note: The chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2014

Daycare Operations/Homes:	21,612
Capacity:	1,095,721
Abuse/Neglect Investigations:	1,633
Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations:	24,444
Inspections:	35,643
Adverse Actions:	102

^{*} Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay)

Legal Responsibility for Child-Care Licensing

Day Care and Residential Care: Statutory References Social Security Act Human Resources Code, Chapters 40, 42, and 43 Texas Family Code, Title 5 Texas Government Code Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 249 Texas Administrative Code, Title 40

Major Provisions:


- Develop minimum standards to promote the health, safety, and well-being of children in out-of-home care.
- Inspect child-care operations to ensure they maintain compliance with minimum standards.
- Investigate allegations of abuse/neglect, investigate violations of standards or the law and ensure appropriate enforcement actions are taken.
- Conduct criminal background checks and DFPS
 Central Registry checks on all adult staff or
 caregivers, other adults and youth ages 14 to 18 who
 will be in regular or frequent contact with children in
 child-care operations.
- Take corrective and adverse actions when necessary.
- Offer consultation to potential applicants and permit holders about meeting and maintaining compliance with Licensing standards.
- Educate the general public about choosing regulated child-care and inform them of the child-care options in Texas through media campaigns and by maintaining an online database of child-care providers, including information regarding each operation's compliance history.
- Enforce regulatory requirements for all child-care providers, including illegally operating child-care providers.

Other Programmatic Information: Challenges

- Consistently enforcing adherence to minimum standards across the state.
- Developing cooperative, professional, and effective relationships with child-care operations resulting in increased compliance and stronger protection for children.
- Providing technical assistance to all child care operations, especially focusing on those struggling to maintain compliance with standards.
- Providing thorough, efficient and timely background check results to over a quarter of a million people each year seeking to work in child care, provide foster care or adopt a child.
- Maximizing technology resources to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of regulatory practices and allow licensing staff to become an increasingly mobile workforce.
- Creating standards that protect the health and safety of children in care without impacting the affordability and availability of that care.

Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 13 Years Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 5,653,304



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.

Child Day Care Operations in Texas on August 31

Year	Licensed Child Care Centers	Licensed Child Care Homes	Registered Child Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Small Employer Based Child Care	Temporary Shelter	Total Day Care Operations
2010	9,436	1,684	6,537	7,589	4	0	25,250
2011	9,517	1,743	6,302	7,477	4	2	25,045
2012	9,595	1,774	5,837	6,774	6	6	23,992
2013	9,533	1,756	5,266	5,411	6	8	21,980
2014	9,495	1,740	5,079	5,285	5	8	21,612

Note: Counts do not include applicants. Prior to 9/1/2011, temporary shelters were licensed and were included in the number of licensed centers. Since 9/1/2011, temporary shelters have been issued a certificate of compliance rather than a license and therefore have been counted separately from licensed centers.

Child Day Care Operations in Texas on August 31, by Fiscal Year

	2013		20	14
Day Care Licensing Operation Type	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
Licensed Child Care Centers				
Before/After School Program	721	54,527	699	52,802
Child Care Program	7,976	856,362	7,941	868,187
School Age Program	835	75,331	855	78,367
No Care Type	1	22	0	0
Subtotal	9,533	986,242	9,495	999,356
Licensed Child Care Homes	1,756	20,969	1,740	20,761
Registered Child Care Homes	5,266	61,488	5,079	59,313
Listed Family Homes	5,411	16,226	5,285	15,862
Small Employer Based Child Care	6	72	5	60
Temporary Shelter	8	369	8	369
Total Child Day Care Operations	21,980	1,085,366	21,612	1,095,721

Note: Prior to 9/1/2011, temporary shelters were licensed and were included in the number of licensed centers. Since 9/1/2011, temporary shelters have been issued a certificate of compliance rather than a license and therefore have been counted separately from licensed centers.

The operations without a "Care Type" are operations that were placed on adverse action prior to 9/1/2010. While in the adverse action, a new permit is not being issued for the specified care type.

Regional Count of Child Day Care Operations by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year 2013

Region	Licensed Centers	Licensed Homes	Registered Homes	Listed Family Homes	Small Employer Based Child Care	Temporary Shelter Program
1 Lubbock	287	68	137	242	0	0
2 Abilene	212	57	127	123	0	0
3 Arlington	2,371	498	1,717	1,782	3	0
4 Tyler	335	59	63	203	0	1
5 Beaumont	212	30	55	57	0	0
6 Houston	2,380	280	1,785	1,069	0	6
7 Austin	1,346	271	507	736	1	0
8 San Antonio	862	112	489	381	2	0
9 Midland	217	41	53	120	0	0
10 El Paso	273	135	82	281	0	1
11 Edinburg	1,038	205	251	417	0	0
Unknown/ Out of State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Statewide	9,533	1,756	5,266	5,411	6	8

Fiscal Year 2014

iscui reui 2014						
Region	Licensed Centers	Licensed Homes	Registered Homes	Listed Family Homes	Small Employer Based Child Care	Temporary Shelter Program
1 Lubbock	273	65	131	256	0	0
2 Abilene	193	53	120	120	0	0
3 Arlington	2,390	508	1,672	1,850	2	0
4 Tyler	331	54	63	169	0	1
5 Beaumont	208	26	58	72	0	0
6 Houston	2,382	287	1,726	988	1	6
7 Austin	1,364	273	494	711	1	0
8 San Antonio	847	111	462	363	1	0
9 Midland	212	40	46	127	0	0
10 El Paso	271	128	81	273	0	1
11 Edinburg	1,024	195	226	356	0	0
Unknown/ Out of State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Statewide	9.495	1.740	5,079	5,285	5	8


Note: Prior to 9/1/2011, temporary shelters were licensed and were included in the number of licensed centers. Since 9/1/2011, temporary shelters have been issued a certificate of compliance rather than a license and therefore have been counted separately from licensed centers.

Licensing of Child Day Care Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2014

Licensed Child Care Centers


Region	Applications Accepted	Initial Permits Issued	0	100	200
1 Lubbock	11	9			
2 Abilene	17	15			
3 Arlington	253	223			
4 Tyler	35	32			
5 Beaumont	18	18			
6 Houston	247	216			
7 Austin	142	128			
8 San Antonio	95	85			Applications Accepted
9 Midland	25	19			■ Initial Permits
10 El Paso	25	26			Issued
11 Edinburg	130	111			
State Total	998	882			

Licensed Child Care Homes




Licensing of Child Day Care Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2014


Listed Family Homes



Registered Child Care Homes



Inspections* by Operation Type



	2013			2014		
Operation Type	Operations ¹	Inspections	% of Total Inspections	Operations ¹	Inspections	% of Total Inspections
Licensed Child Care Centers	10,855	25,149	67.7%	10,632	24,710	69.3%
Licensed Child Care Homes	2,419	3,790	10.2%	2,340	3,722	10.5%
Registered Child Care Homes	7,376	5,702	15.4%	6,741	5,198	14.6%
Listed Family Homes	9,297	2,037	5.5%	8,009	1,468	4.1%
Small Employer Based Child Care	10	1	0.0%	10	3	0.0%
Temporary Shelter Programs	9	8	0.0%	8	4	0.0%
Exemption Requests	668	22	0.1%	686	27	0.1%
Illegal Operations	1,757	419	1.1%	3,639	511	1.4%
Total ²	32,131	37,128		31,864	35,643	

^{*}Beginning in FY12 the LBB Performance Measure definition of Inspections does not include Inspections completed as part of an Investigation. The inspection counts are based on the operation type at the time of the inspection.

Inspections to Exemption Requests are conducted to assess the program to determine if it is exempt from Licensing's regulation.

¹ Operations that are open any time during the fiscal year. Operations may have multiple inspections.


² Beginning FY 2013, Total Number of Operations During Year counts unique operations only. Some operations are counted more than once due to Facility Type changes during the Fiscal Year.

Inspections* in Child Day Care Operations by Type of Inspection Fiscal Year 2014

Total Inspections: 35,643


Child Day Care Operations

Cima bay care operanoris				
Inspection Type	Count			
Monitoring	23,174			
Follow-up	10,731			
Other	1,200			
Total	35.105			




Illegal Operations

Inspection Type	Count
Monitoring	3
Follow-up	424
Other	84
Total	511



Exemption Requests

Inspection Type	Count
Monitoring	0
Follow-up	18
Other	9
Total	27



^{*}Beginning in FY12 the LBB Performance Measure definition of Inspections does not include Inspections completed as part of an Investigation.

The inspection counts are based on the operation type at the time of the inspection.

Inspections to Exemption Requests are conducted to assess the program to determine if it is exempt from Licensing's regulation.

Investigations in Child Day Care Operations

Day Care Licensing Operations Type	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Licensed Child Care Centers	11,469	12,395	11,136	12,586	14,350
Licensed Child Care Homes	557	675	473	718	1,159
Registered Child Care Homes	1,874	1,856	992	1,836	3,367
Listed Family Homes	685	520	526	2,347	4,259
Small Employer Based Child Care	1	2	0	2	1
Temporary Shelter Programs	0	0	0	2	6
Illegal Operations and Exemptions	1,022	977	987	1,125	3,252
Total	15,608	16,425	14,114	18,616	26,394

Investigations in Child Day Care Operations Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Licensed Child Care Centers	Licensed Child Care Homes	Registered Child Care Homes	Listed Family Homes	Small Employer Based Child Care	Temporary Shelter Programs	Illegal Operations & Exemptions	Day Care Total
1 Lubbock	608	41	89	169	0	0	208	1,115
2 Abilene	291	25	79	109	0	0	146	650
3 Arlington	3,833	347	1,261	1,691	0	0	587	7,719
4 Tyler	393	33	35	115	0	2	128	706
5 Beaumont	294	16	21	45	0	0	221	597
6 Houston	2,936	207	985	658	1	4	637	5,428
7 Austin	2,118	179	318	546	0	0	250	3,411
8 San Antonio	1,774	73	350	255	0	0	345	2,797
9 Midland	395	36	20	138	0	0	153	742
10 El Paso	323	50	39	172	0	0	129	713
11 Edinburg	1,385	152	170	361	0	0	441	2,509
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7
Total	14,350	1,159	3,367	4,259	1	6	3,252	26,394

Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Child Day Care Operations Fiscal Year 2014

	Op	perations ¹	Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations ²		
Operation Type	Count	With a Non-A/N Investigation	Completed	With at Least 1 Deficiency Cited ²	
Child Day Care Operations					
Licensed Child Care Centers	10,632	5,948	13,131	2,797	
Licensed Child Care Homes	2,340	814	1,108	48	
Registered Homes	6,741	2,396	3,265	90	
Listed Homes	8,009	2,911	4,210	56	
Small Employer Based Child Care	10	1	1	0	
Temporary Shelter Care	8	4	5	0	
Subtotal	27,740	12,074	21,720	2,991	
Other					
Illegal Operations³ and Exemption Requests	4,325	2,679	2,724	512	
Total ⁴	31,864	14,541	24,444	3,503	

Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Child Day Care Operations Fiscal Year 2014

	Оре	erations ¹		Abuse/Neg	jlect Investigati	ons
Operation Type	Count	With a Closed A/N Investigation	Closed	Validated	% Validated	With at Least 1 Deficiency Cited ²
Child Day Care Operations						
Licensed Child Care Centers	10,632	994	1,172	139	11.9%	605
Licensed Child Care Homes	2,340	46	50	10	20.0%	26
Registered Homes	6,741	85	87	15	17.2%	56
Listed Homes	8,009	45	48	11	22.9%	28
Small Employer Based Child Care	10	0	0	0	0.0%	0
Temporary Shelter Care	8	0	0	0	0.0%	0
Subtotal	27,740	1,170	1,357	175	12.9%	715
Other						
Illegal Operations ³ and Exemption						
Requests	4,325	274	276	77	27.9%	263
Statewide ⁴	31,864	1,444	1,633	252	15.4%	978

¹ Operations that are open any time during the fiscal year. Operations may have multiple investigations.

Note: The number of Investigations will not match the totals for the Abuse/Neglect Investigations and the totals for the Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations because the count of the numbers is collected from different stages. Exemption requests are submitted to Licensing to determine if their operation is subject to regulation.

² The deficiencies are related to the investigation allegations.

³ The number of illegal operation investigations is calculated using the operation's application date that is after the date of the intake.

⁴ Beginning FY 2013, Subtotal and Total Number of Operations During Year counts unique operations only. Some operations are counted more than once due to Facility Type changes during the Fiscal Year.

Illegal Operations Identified and Resolution Fiscal Year 2014

	Illegal		Resolutions**		Illegal Operations
Region	Operations Identified*	Not Subject/Exempt	Permit Issued	Voluntary Closures	Open-Pending Resolution
1 Lubbock	226	152	23	7	22
2 Abilene	157	106	16	11	10
3 Arlington	664	255	132	31	144
4 Tyler	124	98	12	4	6
5 Beaumont	241	133	22	10	64
6 Houston	694	410	51	32	88
7 Austin	286	135	42	24	37
8 San Antonio	381	181	30	23	104
9 Midland	167	122	14	9	20
10 El Paso	166	114	9	2	25
11 Edinburg	498	337	17	15	95
Unknown	35	16	0	0	11
Total	3,639	2,059	368	168	626

^{*}Includes 78 illegal operations identified prior to FY 2014, but not yet resolved.

^{**}Excludes other resolutions such as administrative closures and pending applications.

Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions Initiated in Child Day Care Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2014

		Licensed Child Care Centers							
		Adver	se Actions		Co	orrective Action	s		
Region	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total	Evaluation	Probation	Total		
1 Lubbock	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		
2 Abilene	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
3 Arlington	3	1	0	4	12	3	15		
4 Tyler	1	1	0	2	7	0	7		
5 Beaumont	0	0	0	0	2	0	2		
6 Houston	3	4	0	7	23	6	29		
7 Austin	0	2	0	2	22	3	25		
8 San Antonio	4	1	0	5	5	9	14		
9 Midland	0	0	0	0	1	1	2		
10 El Paso	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
11 Edinburg	2	2	0	4	19	7	26		
State Total	13	11	0	24	93	30	123		

		Licensed Child Care Homes							
		Adver	se Actions		Co	orrective Action	S		
Region	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total	Evaluation	Probation	Total		
1 Lubbock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2 Abilene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3 Arlington	0	4	0	4	1	0	1		
4 Tyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
5 Beaumont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
6 Houston	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
7 Austin	0	1	0	1	0	0	0		
8 San Antonio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
9 Midland	0	1	0	1	0	0	0		
10 El Paso	0	1	0	1	0	1	1		
11 Edinburg	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
State Total	0	7	0	7	3	1	4		

Note: Adverse actions are counted the date the permit holder was notified after the first phase of the due process when the decision was upheld or waived. Voluntary Suspensions are excluded from the count of suspensions. Corrective Actions are counted when the due process is completed. See definition of adverse actions and corrective actions in the definition section.

Adverse Actions and Corrective Actions Initiated in Child Day Care Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2014

		Listed Fa	mily Homes						
		Adverse Actions							
Region	Permits Denied								
1 Lubbock	5	0	0	5					
2 Abilene	4	0	0	4					
3 Arlington	2	2	0	4					
4 Tyler	2	0	0	2					
5 Beaumont	0	0	0	0					
6 Houston	3	0	0	3					
7 Austin	12	2	0	14					
8 San Antonio	8	1	0	9					
9 Midland	3	0	0	3					
10 El Paso	5	1	0	6					
11 Edinburg	5	4	0	9					
State Total	49	10	0	59					

Note: Beginning in FY12, due to a statutory change, suspensions related to Listed Family Homes that did not pay fees are no longer considered to be Adverse Actions.

		Registered Child Care Homes						
		Adver	se Actions		Co	orrective Action	s	
Region	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total	Evaluation	Probation	Total	
1 Lubbock	1	1	0	2	1	0	1	
2 Abilene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3 Arlington	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	
4 Tyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Beaumont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6 Houston	0	2	0	2	3	2	5	
7 Austin	0	3	0	3	1	0	1	
8 San Antonio	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
9 Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10 El Paso	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11 Edinburg	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	
State Total	1	11	0	12	6	2	8	
	Child Day Care Operations							

Note: Adverse actions are counted the date the permit holder was notified after the first phase of the due process when the decision was upheld or waived. Voluntary Suspensions are excluded from the count of suspensions. Corrective Actions are counted when the due process is completed. See the definition of adverse actions and corrective actions in the definition section.

0

102

Adverse Actions

39

63

Grand Total

Corrective Actions

33

135

102

Background Checks for Day Care Licensing Child Care Operations Fiscal Year 2014

Operation Type	Central Registry Checks	DPS Checks	FBI Checks *
Day Care Licensing			
Licensed Child Care Center	214,959	214,958	186,159
Licensed Child Care Home	5,281	5,280	1,058
Registered Child Care Home	9,358	9,357	1,303
Listed Family Home	7,316	7,315	794
Small Employer Based Child Care	19	19	17
Temporary Shelter Programs	84	84	28
Total	237,017	237,013	189,359

^{*} Effective FY 2013, this represents the number of FBI checks that were processed according to statutory requirements.

Note: Does not include background checks conducted on individuals in an illegal child-care operation or exemption request. Review the definition section for details on who is required to have Central Registry, DPS and FBI checks.

State's Top 10 Standards Deficiencies for Child Day Care Operations

Fiscal Year 2013

Rank	Standard Rule*	Description	Deficiencies	% of Total Deficiencies
1	745.625(7)	Background checks submitted - Every 24 months after each person's background check was first submitted	1,969	2.2
2	745.615(a)(2)	Required background checks - each person employed	1,697	1.9
3	746.1203(4)	Responsibilities of Caregivers- Supervision of Children	1,528	1.7
4	746.3701	Safety - Areas Free From Hazards	1,375	1.5
5	745.615(b)(2)	Required FBI check -Persons at child care center, before/after- school, or school-age program required to have name-based check unless only meet (a) (7)	1,304	1.5
6	746.3407	Maintenance of Building, Grounds and Equipment	1,242	1.4
7	746.1201(1)	Responsibilities of Employees and Caregivers -Demonstrate Competency, Good Judgment, Self-control	1,105	1.2
8	746.5101(a)	Annual Fire Inspection - Before Initial Permit Issued and Every 12 Months	1,011	1.1
9	746.1601	Child/ Caregiver Ratio - 13 or More Children	937	1.0
10	747.3501	Safety - Areas Free From Hazards	845	0.9

Fiscal Year 2014

ui 2014			
Standard Rule*	Description	Deficiencies	% of Total Deficiencies
746.1203(4)	Responsibilities of Caregivers- Supervision of Children	1,369	1.7
746.3701	Safety - Areas Free From Hazards	1,306	1.6
746.3407	Maintenance of Building, Grounds and Equipment	1,242	1.6
745.625(b)	Renewal background checks submitted - No later than two years from the date of your most recently requested initial or renewal background check	1,232	1.6
745.615(a)(2)	Required background checks-each person employed	1,021	1.3
746.1201(1)	Responsibilities of Employees and Caregivers -Demonstrate Competency, Good Judgment, Self-control	972	1.2
745.625(7)	Background checks submitted - Every 24 months after each person's background check was first submitted	946	1.2
746.5101(a)	Annual Fire Inspection - Before Initial Permit Issued and Every 12 Months	921	1.2
746.1601	Child/ Caregiver Ratio - 13 or More Children	885	1.1
747.3501	Safety - Areas Free From Hazards	778	1.0
	Standard Rule* 746.1203(4) 746.3701 746.3407 745.625(b) 745.615(a)(2) 746.1201(1) 745.625(7) 746.5101(a) 746.1601	Standard Rule*Description746.1203(4)Responsibilities of Caregivers- Supervision of Children746.3701Safety - Areas Free From Hazards746.3407Maintenance of Building, Grounds and Equipment745.625(b)Renewal background checks submitted - No later than two years from the date of your most recently requested initial or renewal background check745.615(a)(2)Required background checks-each person employed746.1201(1)Responsibilities of Employees and Caregivers -Demonstrate Competency, Good Judgment, Self-control745.625(7)Background checks submitted - Every 24 months after each person's background check was first submitted746.5101(a)Annual Fire Inspection - Before Initial Permit Issued and Every 12 Months746.1601Child/ Caregiver Ratio - 13 or More Children	Standard Rule*DescriptionDeficiencies746.1203(4)Responsibilities of Caregivers- Supervision of Children1,369746.3701Safety - Areas Free From Hazards1,306746.3407Maintenance of Building, Grounds and Equipment1,242745.625(b)Renewal background checks submitted - No later than two years from the date of your most recently requested initial or renewal background check1,232745.615(a)(2)Required background checks-each person employed1,021746.1201(1)Responsibilities of Employees and Caregivers -Demonstrate Competency, Good Judgment, Self-control972745.625(7)Background checks submitted - Every 24 months after each person's background check was first submitted946746.5101(a)Annual Fire Inspection - Before Initial Permit Issued and Every 12 Months921746.1601Child/ Caregiver Ratio - 13 or More Children885

^{*} Only includes deficiencies where administrative review was upheld or waived.

Note: Includes inspection, investigation, and assessment deficiencies.

RCCL

Residential Child Care Licensing Overview

The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services is granted its authority to regulate child care facilities and child placing agencies by the Texas Legislature in Chapter 42 of the Texas Human Resources Code.

The purpose of regulation is to protect a group or class of children by establishing and enforcing statewide minimum standards

.

Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff*

Caseworkers	90.3
Supervisors	22.6
Other Staff	58.6
Total RCCL Staff	171.5

Worker Demographics

Turnover Rate	20.4%
Agency Tenure:	
Less Than 1 Year	21.7%
1 - 3 Years	12.5%
Greater than 3 Years	65.8%
Entry Salary (Inv)*	\$36,251.04
Entry Salary (Non Inv)*	\$32,328.96
Average Age	38.7
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	36.7%
Anglo	32.5%
Hispanic	27.5%
Other	3.3%

Supervisor Demographics

opervisor bernographics	
Turnover Rate	0.0%
Tenure as Supervisor:	
Less Than 1 Year	13.6%
1 - 3 Years	40.9%
Greater than 3 Years	45.5%
Entry Salary*	\$47,331.00
Average Age	41.5
Race/Ethnicity:	
African American	45.5%
Anglo	31.8%
Hispanic	22.7%
Other	0.0%

RCCL Expenditures*

RCCL Staff \$9,246,528

Application/Permit Issuance

- Orientation
- Inspection
- Background Check
- Technical Assistance
- Initial License
- Fees
- Initial Permit
- Non-expiring Permit

Conduct Monitoring/Investigation

Routine ____ Investigation Action Based Action

Monitoring

- Assess Risk
- Unannounced Inspections
- Biennial Background Checks
- Random Sampling of CPA Foster Homes
- Technical assistance

Investigation

- Assess Risk
- Abuse, Neglect, or exploitation
- Minimum Standards violations, including serious incidents

Deficiencies Found? Reports Validated?

Due Process

Enforcement

- Share results with operation and the public via CLASS application database
- Require correction to reduce risk
- Verify compliance
- Adjust monitoring frequency as necessary

Remedial Action

- Corrective Action
- Evaluation/Probation
- Adverse Action
- Denial
- Suspension
- Revocation

Due Process

Note: The chart is for reference only and does not necessarily represent the flow of a case.

Statistics FY 2014

24-Hour Care Facilities	10,307
Capacity of Facilities	40,525
Abuse/Neglect Investigations	2,165
Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations	3,851
Inspections	4,543
Adverse Actions	0

^{*} Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay)

Legal Responsibility for Child-Care Licensing

Day Care and Residential Care: Statutory References Social Security Act Human Resources Code, Chapters 40, 42, and 43 Texas Family Code, Title 5 Texas Government Code Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 249 Texas Administrative Code, Title 40

Major Provisions:


- Develop minimum standards to promote the health, safety, and well-being of children in out-of-home
- Inspect child-care operations to ensure they maintain compliance with minimum standards.
- Investigate allegations of abuse/neglect, investigate violations of standards or the law and ensure appropriate enforcement actions are taken.
- Conduct criminal background checks and DFPS
 Central Registry checks on all adult staff or
 caregivers, other adults and youth ages 14 to 18 who
 will be in regular or frequent contact with children in
 child-care operations.
- Take corrective and adverse actions when necessary.
- Offer consultation to potential applicants and permit holders about meeting and maintaining compliance with licensing standards.
- Educate the general public about choosing regulated child-care and inform them of the child-care options in Texas through media campaigns and by maintaining an online database of child-care providers, including information regarding each operation's compliance history.
- Enforce regulatory requirements for all child-care providers, including illegally operating child-care providers.
- Conduct inspections of a random sample of agency foster homes.
- Conduct annual enforcement team conferences to thoroughly review operations.

Other Programmatic Information: Challenges

- Consistently enforcing adherence to minimum standards across the state.
- Developing cooperative, professional, and effective relationships with operations resulting in increased compliance and stronger protection for children.
- Providing technical assistance to all child care operations, especially focusing on those struggling to maintain compliance with standards.
- Providing thorough, efficient and timely background check results to over a quarter of a million people each year seeking to work in child care, provide foster care or adopt a child.
- Maximizing technology resources to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of regulatory practices and allow licensing staff to become an increasingly mobile workforce.
- Creating standards that protect the health and safety of children in care without impacting the affordability and availability of that care.

Texas Child Population Ages Birth through 17 Years Fiscal Year 2014

State Total: 7,266,760



Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.

Residential Child Care Licensing Operations* in Texas on August 31 by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Ag	d Placing jencies CPA)**	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Residential Treatment Centers	Independent Foster Family and Group Homes	Maternity Homes***	Total
2010		341	9,731	154	79	7	10	10,322
2011		350	10,167	160	85	4	8	10,774
2012		362	9,849	157	80	5	6	10,459
2013		370	9,676	161	74	4	0	10,285
2014		381	9,692	156	75	3	0	10,307

^{*}Counts do not include applicants.

Residential Child Care Licensing Operations in Texas on August 31 by Fiscal Year

	20	2013		2014	
Child Placing Agencies*	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity	
Main Offices	217	N/A	221	N/A	
Branch Offices**	153	N/A	160	N/A	
Subtotal	370		381		

Homes Verified by Child Placing Agencies	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
Agency Foster Homes ***	7,216	21,731	7,550	22,003
Agency Group Homes ***	364	3,199	342	3,004
CPS Foster Family Homes	1,366	3,877	1,181	3,363
CPS Foster Group Homes	6	36	12	73
CPS Adoptive Homes	724	NA	607	NA
Subtotal	9,676	28,843	9,692	28,443

Licensed Residential Operations	Count	Capacity	Count	Capacity
General Residential Operations	161	8,464	156	8,533
Residential Treatment Centers ****	74	3,498	75	3,520
Independent Foster Homes	0	0	0	0
Independent Foster Group Homes	4	38	3	29
Subtotal	239	12,000	234	12,082
Total Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	10.285	40.843	10.307	40.525

^{*} Includes 11 DFPS Regional Child Placing Agencies

^{**}Counts include Branch Offices

^{***}See definition of maternity homes for more information.

^{**} Branch Offices operate under the license authority of the main office.

^{***} Adoptive only homes are not included in the number of private agency homes.

^{****} Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) are a care type of General Residential Operations (GRO). To determine the total number for the GROs, add the counts for RTCs to the counts for GROs.

Region Count of Residential Child Care Operations by Fiscal Year

Child Placing Agencies

Pagian	Main Offices		Branch	Offices	Total CPAs	
Region	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1 Lubbock	10	11	11	11	21	22
2 Abilene	7	7	13	11	20	18
3 Arlington	67	67	26	26	93	93
4 Tyler	6	6	8	11	14	17
5 Beaumont	4	4	6	6	10	10
6 Houston	43	44	26	25	69	69
7 Austin	37	38	17	18	54	56
8 San Antonio	31	32	15	15	46	47
9 Midland	4	4	4	5	8	9
10 El Paso	3	3	6	6	9	9
11 Edinburg	5	5	21	26	26	31
Unknown/Out Of State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	217	221	153	160	370	381

Homes Verified by Child Placing Agencies


Do minu	Agency Fo	ster Homes	Agency Gr	oup Homes	CPS Foster Homes	
Region	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1 Lubbock	299	353	16	12	126	110
2 Abilene	205	253	15	12	52	15
3 Arlington	1,815	1,886	81	89	267	220
4 Tyler	291	297	13	11	99	105
5 Beaumont	160	174	14	12	116	120
6 Houston	1,642	1,701	64	57	228	181
7 Austin	1,034	986	41	39	153	139
8 San Antonio	1,033	1,079	63	56	134	101
9 Midland	147	160	9	9	31	1
10 El Paso	130	141	3	1	42	33
11 Edinburg	459	511	45	44	46	37
Unknown/Out Of State	1	9	0	0	72	119
Total	7,216	7,550	364	342	1,366	1,181

Region	CPS Foster Group Homes		CPS Adoptive Homes		Subtotal - Total Homes Verified by CPAs	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014
1 Lubbock	2	2	25	15	468	492
2 Abilene	0	0	13	6	285	286
3 Arlington	2	3	72	66	2,237	2,264
4 Tyler	0	0	50	35	453	448
5 Beaumont	1	2	38	22	329	330
6 Houston	0	0	132	95	2,066	2,034
7 Austin	0	2	107	106	1,335	1,272
8 San Antonio	1	1	241	203	1,472	1,440
9 Midland	0	0	3	14	190	184
10 El Paso	0	0	11	11	186	186
11 Edinburg	0	2	24	25	574	619
Unknown/Out Of State	0	0	8	9	81	137
Total	6	12	724	607	9,676	9,692


Region Count of Residential Child Care Operations by Fiscal Year Licensed Residential Operations

Region	General Reside	General Residential Operations		Residential Treatment Centers		Independent Foster Family Homes	
	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	
1 Lubbock	10	10	3	3	0	0	
2 Abilene	6	6	0	0	0	0	
3 Arlington	19	18	7	7	0	0	
4 Tyler	6	6	4	4	0	0	
5 Beaumont	5	5	2	1	0	0	
6 Houston	32	31	29	32	0	0	
7 Austin	25	23	14	13	0	0	
8 San Antonio	29	28	13	13	0	0	
9 Midland	4	4	0	0	0	0	
10 El Paso	6	6	1	1	0	0	
11 Edinburg	19	19	1	1	0	0	
Total	161	156	74	75	0	0	

Region	Independent Hon	•	Subtotal - Licensed Residential Operations		
	2013	2014	2013	2014	
1 Lubbock	0	0	13	13	
2 Abilene	0	0	6	6	
3 Arlington	0	0	26	25	
4 Tyler	0	0	10	10	
5 Beaumont	0	0	7	6	
6 Houston	4	3	65	66	
7 Austin	0	0	39	36	
8 San Antonio	0	0	42	41	
9 Midland	0	0	4	4	
10 El Paso	0	0	7	7	
11 Edinburg	0	0	20	20	
Total	4	3	239	234	




Licensing of Residential Child Care Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2014



Note: Does not include homes verified by CPA's. Includes Child Placing Agencies, General Residential Operations (including Residential Treatment Centers a care type of the General Residential Operations), Independent Foster Group Homes, and Independent Foster Family Homes.

Inspections* by Operation Type



		FY 2013			FY 2014		
Operation Type	Operations ¹	Inspections	% of Total Inspections	Operations ¹	Inspections	% of Total Inspections	
Child Placing Agencies	408	1,268	27.0%	434	1,266	27.9%	
CPA Foster Family & Group Homes	9,920	2,046	43.6%	10,258	2,062	45.4%	
CPS Foster Family & Grp & Adoptive Homes	4,356	343	7.3%	3,949	270	5.9%	
General Residential Operation	182	523	11.2%	178	482	10.6%	
Residential Treatment Center	86	485	10.3%	83	454	10.0%	
Maternity Homes	5	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	
Independent Foster/Foster Group Homes	7	19	0.4%	5	5	0.1%	
Illegal Operations	63	7	0.2%	54	4	0.1%	
Total ²	15,005	4,691		14,941	4,543		

Note: Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) are a care type of General Residential Operations (GRO). To determine total number of GROs, add the counts of RTCs to the count of GROs. Inspections to Exemption Requests are conducted to assess the program to determine if it is exempt from Licensing Regulation.

RCCL inspects agency foster homes for two reasons (1) to conduct an investigation; or (2) to determine whether the CPA managing the foster homes is compliant in its verification, monitoring and management of the foster home and the children in care. Foster home inspections for the latter purpose are periodically selected at random from across the state from the DFPS database for inspection.

^{*} Beginning in FY12 the LBB Performance Measure definition of Inspections does not include Inspections completed as part of an Investigation.

The inspection counts are based on the operation type at the time of the inspection.

¹ Operations that are open any time during the fiscal year. Operations may have multiple inspections.


² Beginning FY 2013, Total Number of Operations During Year counts unique operations only. Some operations are counted more than once due to Facility Type changes during the Fiscal Year.

Inspections* in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations by Type of Inspection Fiscal Year 2014

Total Inspections: 4,543


Child Placing Agencies

5 5	
Inspection Type	Count
Agency Home Sample Inspections	2,332
Monitoring	756
Follow-up	117
Other	393
Total	3,598




General Residential Operations

Inspection Type	Count
Monitoring	288
Follow-up	86
Other	108
Total	482



Residential Treatment Centers

Inspection Type	Count
Monitoring	220
Follow-up	76
Other	158
Total	454



Note: RCCL inspects agency foster homes for two reasons (1) to conduct an investigation; or (2) to determine whether the CPA managing the foster homes is compliant in its verification, monitoring and management of the foster home and the children in care. Foster home inspections for the latter purpose are periodically selected at random from across the state from the DFPS database for inspection.

* Beginning in FY12 the LBB Performance Measure definition of Inspections does not include Inspections completed as part of an Investigation. The inspection counts are based on the operation type at the time of the inspection.

Inspections to Exemption Requests are conducted to assess the program to determine if it is exempt from Licensing's regulation.

Inspections* in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations by Type of Inspection Fiscal Year 2014

Independent Foster/Foster Group Home

Inspection Type	Count	%
Monitoring	4	80.0%
Follow-up	1	20.0%
Other	0	0.0%
Total	5	

Illegal Operations

Inspection Type	Count	%
Monitoring	2	50.0%
Follow-up	1	25.0%
Other	1	25.0%
Total	4	


Exemption Requests

Inspection Type	Count	%
Follow-up	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%
Total	0	

Note: RCCL inspects agency foster homes for two reasons (1) to conduct an investigation; or (2) to determine whether the CPA managing the foster homes is compliant in its verification, monitoring and management of the foster home and the children in care. Foster home inspections for the latter purpose are periodically selected at random from across the state from the DFPS database for inspection.

Inspections to Exemption Requests are conducted to assess the program to determine if it is exempt from Licensing's regulation.

Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations



^{*} Totals include investigations of Illegal Operations

^{*} Beginning in FY12 the LBB Performance Measure definition of Inspections does not include Inspections completed as part of an Investigation.

The inspection counts are based on the operation type at the time of the inspection.

^{**}Includes homes regulated by Child Placing Agencies

[■] Child Placing Agencies (CPA)**

[■] Licensed Residential Operations

Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations Fiscal Year 2014

Region	Child Placing Agencies	Licensed Residential Operations	Exemption Requests	Illegal Operations	Total Investigations
1 Lubbock	228	180	0	0	408
2 Abilene	167	21	0	0	188
3 Arlington	1,103	162	0	4	1,269
4 Tyler	101	129	0	0	230
5 Beaumont	127	44	0	2	173
6 Houston	763	677	0	4	1,444
7 Austin	374	360	0	4	738
8 San Antonio	434	444	0	2	880
9 Midland	94	21	0	0	115
10 El Paso	66	24	0	2	92
11 Edinburg	352	154	0	0	506
Unknown/Out of State	0	0	0	11	1
Total	3,809	2,216	0	19	6,044

Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations Fiscal Year 2014

Operation Type	Ор	erations ¹	Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations ²		
	Count	With a Non-A/N Investigation	Completed	With at Least 1 Deficiency Cited ²	
Licensed Residential Child Care Operations					
Child Placing Agencies (CPA)⁴	434	255	2,481	524	
General Residential Operations (GRO)	178	136	739	158	
Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) ³	83	61	612	124	
Independent Foster/Foster Group Homes	5	2	2	1	
Subtotal	700	454	3,834	807	
Other					
Illegal Operations ⁶ and Exemption Requests	62	16	17	4	
Total ⁵	761	470	3,851	811	

¹ Operations that are open any time during the fiscal year. Operations may have multiple investigations.

Note: The number of Investigations will not match the totals for the Abuse/Neglect Investigations and the totals for the Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations because the count of the numbers is collected from different stages. Exemption Requests are submitted to Licensing to determine if their operation is subject to regulation.

² The deficiencies are related to the investigation allegations.

³ RTCs are a Care Type of the GROs. To determine the GRO totals, add the RTC numbers to the GRO totals.

⁴ Includes CPA branch offices

⁵ Beginning FY 2013, Subtotal and Total Number of Operations During Year counts unique operations only. Some operations are counted more than once due to Facility Type changes during the Fiscal Year.

⁶ The number of illegal operation investigations is calculated using the operation's application date that is after the intake date.

Abuse/Neglect Investigations in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations Fiscal Year 2014

	Ope	Operations ¹		Abuse/Neglect Investigations			
Operation Type	Count	With Closed A/N Investigation	Closed	Validated	Percent Validated	With at Least 1 Deficiency Cited ²	
Licensed Residential Child Care Operations							
Child Placing Agencies (CPA)⁴	434	219	1,319	60	4.5%	483	
General Residential Operations (GRO)	178	98	279	9	3.2%	99	
Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) ³	83	59	565	18	3.2%	174	
Independent Foster/Foster Group Homes	5	1	1	0	0.0%	0	
Subtotal ^e	700	375	2,164	87	4.0%	756	
Other							
Illegal Operations ⁵ and Exemption Requests	62	1	1	0	0.0%	0	
Statewide ⁶	761	376	2,165	87	4.0%	756	

¹ Operations that are open any time during the fiscal year. Operations may have multiple investigations.

Note: The number of Investigations will not match the totals for the Abuse/Neglect Investigations and the totals for the Non-Abuse/Neglect Investigations because the count of the numbers is collected from different stages. Exemption requests are submitted to Licensing to determine if their operation is subject to regulation.

² The deficiencies are related to the investigation allegations.

³ RTCs are a Care Type of the GROs. To determine the GRO totals, add the RTC numbers to the GRO totals.

⁴ Includes CPA branch offices

⁵ The number of illegal operation investigations is calculated using the operation's application date that is after the date of the intake.

⁶ Beginning FY 2013, Subtotal and Total Number of Operations During Year counts unique operations only. Some operations are counted more than once due to Facility Type changes during the Fiscal Year.

Adverse and Corrective Actions in Residential Child Care Licensing Operations by Region Fiscal Year 2014

		Adverse Actions			
Region	Permits Denied	Permits Revoked	Permits Suspended	Total	
1 Lubbock	0	0	0	0	
2 Abilene	0	0	0	0	
3 Arlington	0	0	0	0	
4 Tyler	0	0	0	0	
5 Beaumont	0	0	0	0	
6 Houston	0	0	0	0	
7 Austin	0	0	0	0	
8 San Antonio	0	0	0	0	
9 Midland	0	0	0	0	
10 El Paso	0	0	0	0	
11 Edinburg	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0	0	0	
State Total	0	0	0	0	

Do aria m		Corrective Actions	
Region	Evaluation	Probation	Total
1 Lubbock	2	0	2
2 Abilene	0	0	0
3 Arlington	3	0	3
4 Tyler	0	0	0
5 Beaumont	0	0	0
6 Houston	4	0	4
7 Austin	4	0	4
8 San Antonio	1	0	1
9 Midland	0	0	0
10 El Paso	0	0	0
11 Edinburg	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0
State Total	14	0	14

Note: Adverse actions are counted the date the permit holder was notified after the first phase of the due process when the decision was upheld or waived.

Voluntary Suspensions are excluded from the number of suspensions.

Corrective actions are counted when the due process is completed.

See definition of adverse actions and corrective actions in definitions section.

Background Checks for Residential Child Care Licensing Operations Fiscal Year 2014

	Background Checks		
Residential Child Care Licensing Operations	Central Registry	DPS	FBI*
CPAs, CPA Foster Agency Homes	67,197	67,197	55,973
General Residential Operations	21,583	21,583	16,952
Residential Treatment Centers**	10,178	10,178	8,866
Independent Foster/Group Homes	723	723	691
Total	99.681	99.681	82,482

^{*} Effective FY 2013 this represents the number of FBI checks that were processed according to statutory requirements.

Note: Does not include background checks conducted on individuals in illegal child-care operations or CPS Foster and CPS Adoptive homes. Review the definition section for details on who is required to have Central Registry, DPS and FBI checks.

Child Care Administrator Credential Exam Fiscal Year 2014

Licensed Child Care Administrators (LCCA)	Count	%
Passed	46	78.0%
Failed	13	22.0%
Total Exams	59	

Licensed Child Placing Agency Administrators (LCPA)	Count	%
Passed	58	86.6%
Failed	9	13.4%
Total Exams	67	

Total number of exams for both licenses for FY14 was 126.

On 8/31/2014 there were:	Active	Inactive	Total
Licensed Child Care Administrators	709	130	839
Licensed Child Placing Agency Administrators	518	37	555
Total	1,227	167	1,394

^{**}Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) are a care-type of General Residential Operations (GRO). To determine the total number for the GROs, add the counts for the RTCs to the counts for the GRO.

State's Top 10 Standards Deficiencies for Residential Child Care Licensing Operations

Fiscal Year 2013

Rank	Standard Rule*	Description	Deficiencies	% of Total
1	745.625(7)	Background checks submitted - Every 24 months after each person's background check was first submitted	142	2.3
2	749.1953(a)	Corporal Punishment-May not use/threaten corporal punishment, such as hitting/spanking, forced exercise, holding physical position, unproductive work	114	1.9
3	745.615(a)(7)	Required background checks - Persons 14 years or older, other than clients, who will regularly or frequently be present while children are in care	106	1.8
4	749.607(1)	Employee and caregiver responsibilities-Competency, prudent judgment, self-control in presence of children and when performing assigned tasks	100	1.7
5	748.507(1)	Employee general responsibilities-Demonstrate competency, prudent judgment, self-control in presence of children and when performing assigned tasks	72	1.2
6	749.1521(1)	Medication Storage-Store medication in a locked container	71	1.2
7	748.685(a)(4)	Caregiver responsibility - providing the level of supervision necessary to ensure each child's safety and well-being	70	1.2
7	749.1541(a)	Medication Record-Maintain cumulative record of prescription medications dispensed to child, include nonprescription meds for child under five yrs old	70	1.2
8	749.2593(a)(3)	Supervision-The caregiver is responsible for ensuring each child's safety and well being, including auditory and/or visual awareness of the child	65	1.1
9	749.1417(a)	TB exam-Persons over 1 yr old who live, work, volunteer at operation have exam w/in 30 days, unless person had previous exam that meets requirements	60	1.0
10	749.2593(a)(2)	Supervision-The caregiver is responsible for being aware of and accountable for each child's on-going activity	53	0.9

Fiscal Year 2014

Rank	Standard Rule*	Description	Count	% of Total
1	749.1953(a)	Corporal Punishment-May not use/threaten corporal punishment, such as hitting/spanking, forced exercise, holding physical position, unproductive work.	118	2.3
2	749.607(1)	Employee and caregiver responsibilities-Competency, prudent judgment, self-control in presence of children and when performing assigned tasks	97	1.9
3	745.615(a)(7)	Required background checks-Persons 14 years or older, other than clients, who will regularly or frequently be present while children are in care	87	1.7
4	748.507(1)	Employee general responsibilities-Demonstrate competency, prudent judgment, self-control in presence of children and when performing assigned tasks	77	1.5
5	749.1521(1)	Medication Storage-Store medication in a locked container	72	1.4
6	749.2593(a)(3)	Supervision-The caregiver is responsible for ensuring each child's safety and well being, including auditory and/or visual awareness of the child	64	1.3
7	748.685(a)(4)	Caregiver responsibility - providing the level of supervision necessary to ensure each child's safety and well-being	61	1.2
8	745.625(b)	Renewal background checks submitted - No later than two years from the date of your most recently requested initial or renewal background check	59	1.2
9	749.2593(a)(2)	Supervision-The caregiver is responsible for being aware of and accountable for each child's on-going activity	53	1.0
10	745.625(7)	Background checks submitted - Every 24 months after each person's background check was first submitted	51	1.0

^{*} Only includes deficiencies where administrative review was upheld or waived.

Note: Includes inspections, investigations, and assessment deficiencies.

Legal Responsibility for the Division of Prevention and Early intervention

Federal: Title XX, Social Security Act

Federal: Title IV-B, Part 2, Social Security Act State: Texas Family Code, 264.301 also Chapter

265 – created through SB1574 below State: Senate Bill 1574, 76th Legislature State: Human Resources Code, 40.0561

The Division of Prevention and Early Intervention

(PEI) was created to consolidate child abuse prevention and juvenile delinquency prevention and early intervention programs within the jurisdiction of a single state agency. Consolidation of these programs is intended to eliminate fragmentation and duplication of contracted prevention and early intervention services for at-risk children, youth, and families.

- Community Youth Development (CYD) The CYD program contracts with community-based organizations to develop juvenile delinquency prevention programs in ZIP codes with high juvenile crime rates. Approaches used by communities to prevent delinquency have included mentoring, youth employment programs, career preparation, youth leadership development and recreational activities. Communities prioritize and fund specific prevention services according to local needs. CYD services are available in 15 targeted Texas ZIP codes.
- Services to At-Risk Youth (STAR) The STAR program contracts with community agencies to offer family crisis intervention counseling, short- term emergency respite care, and individual and family counseling. Youth up to age 17 and their families are eligible if they experience conflict at home, truancy or delinquency, or a youth who runs away from home. STAR services are available in all 254 Texas counties. Each STAR contractor also provides universal child abuse prevention services, ranging from local media campaigns to informational brochures and parenting classes.
- Texas Families: Together and Safe (TFTS) The TFTS program funds evidence-based, community-based programs designed to alleviate stress and promote parental competencies and behaviors that increase the ability of families to become self-sufficient and successfully nurture their children. The goals of TFTS are to: improve and enhance access to family support services; increase the

efficiency and effectiveness of community-based family support services; enable children to remain in their own homes by providing preventative services, and to increase collaboration among local programs, government agencies, and families.

- Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP) The CBCAP program seeks to increase community awareness of existing prevention services, strengthen community and parental involvement in child abuse prevention efforts, and encourage families to engage in services that are already available. CBCAP funds a variety of contracts with community-based organizations to provide child abuse and neglect prevention services. These include the Respite/Parent Education, Basic Parent Education, Fatherhood Effects, and the Home-Visiting, Education, and Leadership (HEAL) programs, as well as various special initiatives and public awareness campaigns
- Community Based Family Services (CBFS)
 - The CBFS program serves families who were investigated by CPS but whose allegations were low-priority or unsubstantiated, through community and evidence-based services. Services include home visitation, case management, and additional social services to provide a safe and stable home environment.
- Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN) The SYSN program contracts provide community
 and evidence-based juvenile delinquency prevention
 programs focused on youth ages 10 through 17, in
 each DFPS region.
- Helping through Intervention and Prevention (HIP) The HIP program provides an extensive family assessment, home visiting that includes parent education and basic needs support to targeted families. Eligible families are those who have previously had their parental rights terminated due to child abuse and neglect in year 2011 or later who currently have a newborn child, families who have previously had a child die with the cause identified as child abuse or neglect in year 2011 or later who have a newborn child, or current foster youth who are pregnant or who have given birth in the last four months.


- Healthy Outcomes through Prevention and Early Support (HOPES) The HOPES program provides child abuse and neglect prevention services that target families with children between 0-5 years of age. Contracts have been awarded in eight counties and each include an evidence-based homevisiting component as well as other services that meet the needs of the target county. Each HOPES contract also has a focus on community collaboration that focus on early childhood and the prevention of child abuse and neglect.
- Texas Youth and Runaway Hotlines The 24-hour toll-free Texas Runaway Hotline and the Texas Youth Hotline offer crisis intervention, telephone counseling, and referrals to troubled youth and families. Volunteers answer the phones and interact with callers facing a variety of problems including family conflict, delinquency, truancy, and abuse and neglect issues. The program increases public awareness through media efforts that may include television, radio, billboards and other printed materials.

Prevention and Early Intervention Expenditures and Staffing* Fiscal Year 2014

Program Area	Expenditures
PEI Programs - Contracted	
Services to At-Risk Youth (STAR)	\$17,856,551
Community Youth Development (CYD)	5,039,300
Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)	3,110,423
Texas Families: Together and Safe (TFTS)	2,310,039
Community-Based Family Services (CBFS)	1,525,000
Healthy Outcomes through Prevention and Early Support (HOPES)	1,469,414
Helping through Intervention and Prevention (HIP)	1,382,210
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)	640,153
Sub-Total - Contracted Expenditures	\$33,333,090
PEI Staff Costs	
PEI Program Support and Training	\$1,363,175
Texas Runaway and Youth Hotline	337,066
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention	197,045
Sub-Total - Staff Expenditures	\$1,897,286
Grand Total	\$35,230,376


Total Average Filled Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Staff






*Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay).

STAR (Services To At-Risk) Youth Who are Registered and Received a Service by Fiscal Year




STAR (Services To At-Risk) Youth by Presenting Problem Fiscal Year 2014

Presenting Problem				
Family Conflict*	18,570			
Truant	3,196			
Misdemeanor Offense	1,910			
Runaway	208			
Youth Under 10 Delinquent	31			
State Jail Felony Offense	27			
Other	1			
Total	23,943			



^{*}Abuse/Neglect Prevention

Community Youth Development (CYD) Youth Served by Fiscal Year




Community Youth Development (CYD) Average Monthly Clients Served Fiscal Year 2014

City	Zip Code of Site	County	Average Unduplicated Youth Served per Month
Amarillo	79107	Potter	315
Austin	78744	Travis	398
Brownsville	78520	Cameron	569
Corpus Christi	78415	Nueces	479
Dallas	75216	Dallas	399
Dallas	75217	Dallas	443
El Paso	79924	El Paso	709
Fort Worth	76106	Tarrant	418
Galveston	77550	Galveston	406
Houston	77081	Harris	263
Lubbock	79415	Lubbock	402
McAllen	78501	Hidalgo	570
Pasadena	77506	Harris	662
San Antonio	78207	Bexar	479
Waco	76707	McLennan	402
State Total			6,914

Texas Youth and Runaway Hotlines Incoming Calls by Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Texas Youth & Runaway Hotlines
2010	12,940
2011	9,757
2012	9,011
2013	7,462
2014	7,295



Characteristics of Hotline Callers Fiscal Year 2014

Gender	Count	%
Female	4,888	67%
∧ale	2,407	33%
Total	7,295	

Age Group	Count	%		
Adult	5,398	74%		5,39
Youth	1,897	26%	1,897	
Total	7,295		J	

Number of Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in the Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs by Fiscal Year

Total Families Served 2014: 3,262

Unduplicated Families Served	20	12	20	13	20	014
Program	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)	577	21.7%	990	32.9%	837	25.7%
Community-Based Family Services (CBFS)	206	7.8%	287	9.5%	329	10.1%
Texas Families: Together and Safe (TFTS)	1,870	70.5%	1,736	57.6%	2,096	64.2%
Total	2.653		3.013		3.262	

Characteristics of Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in the Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

Ethnicity *	CBCAP	CBFS	TFTS	Total	%
African American	55	25	258	338	10.4%
Anglo	74	90	354	518	15.9%
Asian	4	4	6	14	0.4%
Hispanic	696	209	1,460	2,365	72.5%
Native American	1	0	6	7	0.2%
Other	7	1	12	20	0.6%
Total	837	329	2,096	3,262	

Gender	CBCAP	CBFS	TFTS	Total	%
Female	659	283	1,863	2,805	86.0%
Male	178	46	233	457	14.0%
Total	837	329	2,096	3,262	

Age Group	CBCAP	CBFS	TFTS	Total	%
Under 18	43	8	19	70	2.1%
18-25	180	95	220	495	15.2%
26-35	285	146	842	1,273	39.0%
36-45	217	60	665	942	28.9%
Over 45	112	20	350	482	14.8%
Total	837	329	2,096	3,262	

^{*}As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Number of Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs by Fiscal Year

Unduplicated Youth Served	20	12	20	13	20	14
Program	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Community Youth Development (CYD)	16,900	34.4%	16,767	37.4%	17,932	38.9%
Services to At Risk Youth (STAR)	26,834	54.8%	23,677	52.8%	23,943	52.0%
Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)	5,273	10.8%	4,384	9.8%	4,191	9.1%
Total	49.007		44.828		46.066	

Characteristics of Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

Race/Ethnicity *	STAR	CYD	SYSN	Total	%
					, , ,
African American	96	3,254	1,446	4,796	10.4%
Anglo	179	630	648	1,457	3.1%
Asian	0	202	20	222	0.5%
Hispanic	12,926	13,530	1,927	28,383	61.6%
Native American	0	14	10	24	0.1%
Other	10,742	302	140	11,184	24.3%
Total	23,943	17,932	4,191	46,066	
Gender	STAR	CYD	SYSN	Total	%
Female	10,876	9,494	2,294	22,664	49.2%
Male	13,065	8,415	1,897	23,377	50.7%
Unknown	2	23	0	25	0.1%
Total	23,943	17,932	4,191	46,066	
Age Group	STAR	CYD	SYSN	Total	%
Under 6	2,057	4	239	2,300	5.0%
6-9	4,828	2,972	129	7,929	17.2%
10-17	17,058	14,332	3,823	35,213	76.4%
Over 17	0	624	0	624	1.4%
Total	23,943	17,932	4,191	46,066	

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

Prevention and Early Intervention Performance Outcomes, Outputs and Efficiencies by Fiscal Year

Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	2011	2012	2013	2014
Community Youth Development (CYD)				
Percent of CYD youth not referred to juvenile probation	98.8%	98.1%	98.6%	98.8%
Annual number of youth served	19,731	16,900	16,767	17,932
Average monthly number of youth served	6,158	5,530	5,530	6,914
Average monthly cost per youth served	\$82.77	\$69.91	\$71.63	\$62.11
Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)				
Percent of SYSN youth not referred to juvenile probation	98.4%	98.3%	98.5%	98.6%
Annual number of youth served	5,720	5,273	4,384	4,191
Average monthly number of youth served	3,126	3,055	2,506	2,251
Average monthly cost per youth served	\$52.94	\$43.65	\$50.71	\$56.48

Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention	2011	2012	2013	2014
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)				
Percent of CBCAP children who remain safe	99.2%	99.1%	99.8%	99.7%
Annual number of families served	461	577	990	837
Average monthly number of families served	141	132	234	159
Community-Based Family Services (CBFS)				
Percent of CBFS children who remain safe	97.7%	96.6%	97.9%	98.9%
Annual number of families served	280	206	287	329
Average monthly number of CBFS families Served	82	58	75	83
Texas Families: Together and Safe (TFTS)				
Percent of TFTS children who remain safe	99.0%	99.0%	98.6%	99.5%
Annual number of families served	2,110	1,870	1,736	2,096
Average monthly number of families served	573	586	574	391
Average monthly cost per family served	\$433.71	\$362.54	\$334.79	\$460.56

Child Abuse/Neglect and Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	2011	2012	2013	2014
Services to At-Risk Youth (STAR)				
Percent of STAR children who remain safe	99.6%	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Percent of STAR youth not referred to juvenile probation	96.3%	96.6%	93.6%	93.8%
Percent of STAR youth with better outcomes 90 days after termination	87.7%	87.5%	86.4%	86.8%
Annual number of youth served	30,168	26,834	23,677	23,943
Average monthly number of youth served	6,438	5,863	5,351	5,629
Average monthly cost per youth served	\$246.38	\$243.84	\$255.16	\$252.48

Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Agency Funding Summary

Goal/Strategy		2013 Expended		2014 Expended	2015 Projected	
A.1.1.	Statewide Intake Services	\$ 17,909,415	\$	20,009,687	\$	20,911,633
B.1.1.	CPS Direct Delivery Staff	431,767,800		483,216,869		525,232,144
B.1.2.	CPS Program Support	44,449,130		48,822,554		51,449,059
B.1.3.	TWC Foster Day Care	12,364,149		11,621,142		12,031,851
B.1.4.	TWC Relative Day Care	9,531,907		9,771,814		10,061,087
B.1.5.	TWC Protective Day Care	25,814,024		25,238,445		25,875,481
B.1.6.	Adoption Purchased Services	7,253,079		7,357,259		8,357,259
B.1.7.	Post Adoption Purchased Services	2,674,893		3,357,750		3,618,693
B.1.8.	PAL Purchased Services	8,904,521		9,069,812		9,757,924
B.1.9.	Substance Abuse Purchased Services	5,816,675		6,886,064		6,393,352
B.1.10.	Other CPS Purchased Services	25,942,888		29,118,612		34,157,851
B.1.11.	Foster Care Payments	366,829,708		399,426,761		408,524,070
B.1.12.	Adoption Subsidy Payments	209,684,409		225,480,053		240,820,808
B.1.13.	Relative Caregiver Monetary Assistance Payments	9,550,500		10,124,858		9,687,972
C.1.1.	Services to At-Risk Youth Program	15,966,092		17,856,551		21,000,862
C.1.2.	Community Youth Development Program	4,741,202		5,039,300		6,039,300
C.1.3.	Texas Families Program	2,191,591		2,310,039		2,610,039
C.1.4.	Child Abuse Prevention Grants	3,070,301		3,307,468		3,155,257
C.1.5.	Other At-Risk Prevention Programs	1,890,179		5,016,777		11,056,612
C.1.6.	At-Risk Prevention Program Support	819,237		1,700,241		1,616,722
D.1.1.	APS Direct Delivery Staff	49,209,638		52,027,756		55,093,347
D.1.2.	APS Program Support	5,686,784		5,399,569		5,616,190
D.1.3.	APS Facility Investigations	9,118,966		9,837,712		9,872,393
E.1.1.	Child Care Regulation	33,743,638		35,979,373		38,456,293
F.1.1.	Central Administration	13,068,806		14,944,810		15,845,476
F.1.2.	Other Support Services	5,666,345		7,201,706		7,405,820
F.1.3.	Regional Administration	349,893		395,539		406,563
F.1.4.	IT Program Support	23,932,832		28,029,230		29,620,140
F.1.5.	Agency-wide Automated Systems	17,702,227		30,233,651		33,071,277
DFPS To	tal	\$ 1,365,650,829	\$	1,508,781,402	\$	1,607,745,475
Method	of Finance					
General	Revenue Fund	\$ 639,025,670	\$	737,282,885	\$	784,327,935
General	Revenue - Dedicated	5,696,520		5,685,702		5,685,701
Federal	Funds, est.	712,891,135		756,429,158		808,500,927
Other		8,037,504		9,383,657		9,230,912
Total Me	ethod of Finance	\$ 1,365,650,829	\$	1,508,781,402	\$	1,607,745,475
Number	of Positions					
(FTEs) est	timated	10,649.9		11,523.8		12,272.3

Source: DFPS Office of Finance and FY 2016-17 LAR (plus benefit replacement pay).

Categorization of Client Services Contracts Fiscal Year 2014

Program	Subject (i.e. Primary Service)	Description	Description Primary Payment Type(s)				
	Counseling	Mental health counseling for APS clients and their caretaker(s).	FS	15	15	\$	6,670.27
	Extermination	Treatment of a residence in accordance with Texas pesticide regulations to remove common household pests.	FS	6	5	\$	23,010.99
	Heavy Cleaning	Restoration of a safe living environment by clearing trash, debris, accumulated grime, and dead animals from inside or outside a client's home.	FS	11	8	\$	322,482.08
	Medical and Mental Health Assessments	Face to face or virtual assessments conducted by a physician, psychiatrist, registered nurse, or other health professional to evaluate a client's capacity to consent, mental health condition, and need for treatment.	FS; N/A	40	36	\$	498,080.69
	Money Management	Securing a representative payee, to manage the client's financial affairs of a client.	FS	2	2	\$	16,400.00
APS	On-going Service Support	Services provided to ensure the health and safety of clients and assistance with gaining access to available community services. Services include, arranging for and transporting clients to medical appointments, assisting clients with payment of bills, and financial management, contacting resources to obtain emergency assistance, and assisting clients with applications for Food Stamps, Social Security, and other benefits.					44,336.78
	Personal Assistance Care	Non-skilled, non-technical service provided in a client's home by a licensed home and community support services agency. Tasks performed for the client may involve basic tasks, which include personal care, housekeeping, meal preparation, and other activities of daily living.	FS	40	37	\$	1,057,941.21
	Program Direct Purchases (PDPs)	An emergency purchase for less than \$2,000 of a client good or service.	FP	55	51	\$	515,285.89
	Purchase Order for Placement Services (POPS)	Nursing facility care.	RBP	147	138	\$	396,475.18
	Transportation	Transportation of a client to and from medical appointments, placements, or community agency or governmental offices to secure resources. Depending on the impairment of the client, transportation may be provided by ambulance, taxi, or private automobile with escort.	FS	1	1	\$	17,189.00
APS TOTAL				324	299	\$	2,897,872.09

Payment Type Abbreviations:

CR = Cost Reimbursement

FP = Fixed Price

FS = Fee for Service

RBP = Rate Based (Rate Set) Payment

N/A = Does Not Apply

Categorization of Client Services Contracts Fiscal Year 2014

Assertion and societies of the description conformation asserts and financial disasteries, when peptidecials. Assertion and invariant and expertises of the conformation of the conformat	Program	Subject (i.e. Primary Service)	Description	Primary Payment Type(s)	Contracts	Contractors	Expenditures Paid to Date (as of 12/30/2014)
August and Services Incredit Source Second Educational and colorate in the Second Indication Bland on the Second Indication Bland Bland Blan		Adoption	placement supervision of the adoption placement; assisting the adoptive family and their attorney in	FS	127	123	\$ 9,290,500.00
Court Connected (Name 173). In the control con			Health, Social, Educational, and Genetic History Reports (HSEGH/Adoption Readiness Reports). DFPS	FS	12	5	\$ 4,883,178.75
Day feeling Day fe					34	33	<u> </u>
Dag Testing does problem and the clinical features from whater has reason to believe the client have a substantial consistent problem and the clinic direct sheet and only restudes to ordicate in substantial consistent problem and the clinical from sheet problem and the clinical from sheet problem and the clinical sheet in the clinical decision and psychological lesting and so sheet and sheet		Court Ordered (Non-E&T)	·	FS	4	4	\$ 7,048.50
bug fasting busines problem and the client denies the problem and/or includes to proficionate in substance abusines in substance abu		CPS TPASS Drug Testing	7 7	FS; N/A	5	3	\$ 3,880,679.63
Evaluations in Treatment Individual group, and family courseling. Forwaldinary in Treatment I. Court Ordered Forwaldinary in Treatment I. Court Ordered Formity Gould Declarion I. Formity Gould		Drug Testing	abuse problem and the client denies the problem and/or refuses to participate in substance abuse	FS	29	3	\$ 762,966.88
Court Graeed Court Graeed Court		Evaluation & Treatment	individual, group, and family counseling.	FS	539	485	\$ 17,220,696.16
Family Goup Backson Moking Family Goup Backson Family Go			Evaluation & treatment services purchased as the result of a court order.	FS	9	9	\$ 5,175.00
Hospital Silfer childs hospital stoy. Internitient Alteracia Card substitute of Lord Card Substitute Child State (Card Substitute Card Substitute Child State (Card Substitute Child State (Card Substitute Child State (Card Substitute Child State (Card State Child State		· · ·	plans for children. The service consists of a meeting of parents, other relatives and close friends of the family to discuss possible relative or fictive placement of the child. The process emphasizes the family's responsibility to care for their children, and encourages families to connect with others who can help	FS	2	2	\$ 1,113,696.06
Interstate Compact for Courtey Supervision Presented of Children Other		Hospital Sitter		FS	11	6	\$ 142,632.25
Pocement of Children Other Dilligent Recruitment Activilies: fatherhood Project: Intoke Case Management Services: Maximizing Ministry Intollive: Out-of-State Child Villation her Adopt Review & Approval Staffing-Program CR: FS 11 11 \$ \$80,083.20 CPS Post-adoptive Services Services provided to an adoptive family to help the adopted child and the family adjust to the adoption; case with any history of dause on neglect in the child's background; cape with mental health growing and post in the child shading and post of children from the family. Services provided to an adoptive family to help the adopted child and the family adjust to the adoption; case with any history of dause on neglect in the child's background; cape with mental health growing and the family adjust to the adoption; cape with any history of dause on neglect in the child's background; cape with mental health growing and the family adjust to the adoption; cape with any history of dause on neglect in the child's background; cape with mental health growing and the family adjust to the daught family and post post provided to child parameter of children from the family. Freparation for Adult Living resources, and supports provided to be provide to community resources they will need in adultimod. Preparation for Adult Living resources from the control of the program and the program a		Intermittent Alternate Care		FS	318	317	\$ 28,980.00
Other Caristic Initiative, Out-of-State Child Visitation, Pre-Adopt Review & Approval Staffing: Program Caristic Initiative, Out-of-State Child Visitation, Pre-Adopt Review & Approval Staffing: Program Caristic Initiative, Out-of-State Child Visitation, Pre-Adoptive Services of Evaluations and Regional PA Leve Conferences Services are provided to an adoptive family to help the adopted child and the family adoptive family adoptive and avoid permanent of long-family family and the family adoptive family and adoptions assess with any halvey, and avoid permanent of long-family family family and adoptive family family and adoptive family fam		· ·	Courtesy Supervision	FS	2	2	\$ 6,612.00
Post-adoptive Services subset the child in any have; and avoid permanent or indigent more than the child is subset that child may have; and avoid permanent or indigent more than the family. Services provided to prepare youth for adult life when they leave foster care. Services, benefits, festiveness, and supports provided to help youth become healthy, productive adults. The program makes efforts to connect youth to community resources they will need no adultation. CR 13 9 \$ 4,198,270.92		Other	Kinship Initiative; Out-of-State Child Visitation; Pre-Adopt Review & Approval Staffing; Program	CR; FS	11	11	\$ 580,083.20
Preparation for Adult Living resources, and supports provided to help youth become healthy, productive adults. The program makes efforts to connect youth to community resources they will need in adulthood. CR 13 9 \$ 4,198,270.92 CR 13 9 \$ 5,4,198,270.92 CR 15 1 9 \$ 2,983,062.57 CR 15 1 1 1 9 \$ 3,983,062.57 CR 15 1 1 1 9 \$ 3,983,062.57 CR 15 1 1 1 9 \$ 3,983,062.57 CR 15 1 1 1 9 \$ 3,983,06	CPS	Post-adoptive Services	adoption; cope with any history of abuse or neglect in the child's background; cope with mental health	CR	4	4	\$ 3,144,037.01
services. EtV is a federally-funded (Chafee) and state-administered program, Based on the cost of attendance as established by higher education, youth in foster care or other eligible young adults ages 16 up to the age of 23 may be eligible to receive up to \$5.000 in financial assistance per year to help them reach their postsecondary education goals if they meet the following scholastic eligibility criteria: - Have a high school diploma or GED or be exempt from required school effendence; or - Enrolled in a dual-credit course or other course at an institution of higher education for which the student will earn a high school diploma and college credit. A system of assessing a child's needs when he/she comes into care with DFPS. Levels of care (basic, moderate, specialized and intense) determine type of placement and daily child care reimbursement rate. DFPS staff may authorize Basic level only. For those children who need more than basic care, a third-party confractor must determine level of care. STAR Health (DC/CR) Contract for Diagnostic Consultation or Court Related Services (DC/CR) for the STAR Health Program. Substance Abuse Treatment Services		Preparation for Adult Living	resources, and supports provided to help youth become healthy, productive adults. The program	CR	13	9	\$ 4,198,270.92
Service Levels System moderate, specialized and intense) determine type of placement and daily child care reimbursement rate. DFPS staff may authorize Basic level only. For for those children who need more than basic care, a third-party contractor must determine level of care. STAR Health (DC/CR) Contract for Diagnostic Consultation or Court Related Services (DC/CR) for the STAR Health Program. A contract with a Chemical Dependency Treatment Facility (CDTF-Substance Use Disorder (SUD)) or a Licensed Chemical Dependency Counselor (LCDC-Substance Abuse Services (SAS)) providing substance obuse assessment and substance use disorder treatment in the form of individual and group counseling. Supervised Visitation Contract for supervised visitation services. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and reimburse that county for eligible Title IV-E expenditures for the child welfare board and/or foster children. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and to provide for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. Title IV-E (Legal) Funded Services Title IV-E (Legal) Funded Services of the child welfare board and to provide for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. CR 48 48 \$ 2,949,441.85 TWC Child Care Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative coregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. Contracted services for children referred by the Office of Refugee & Resettlement, including group home placement, educational assistance to include English as a s			services. ETV is a federally-funded (Chafee) and state-administered program. Based on the cost of attendance as established by higher education, youth in foster care or other eligible young adults ages 16 up to the age of 23 may be eligible to receive up to \$5,000 in financial assistance per year to help them reach their postsecondary education goals if they meet the following scholastic eligibility criteria: • Have a high school diploma or GED or be exempt from required school attendance; or • Enrolled in a dual-credit course or other course at an institution of higher education for which the	CR; FS	2	1	\$ 2,983,062.57
A contract with a Chemical Dependency Treatment Facility (CDTF-Substance Use Disorder (SUDI)) or a Licensed Chemical Dependency Counselor (LCDC-Substance Abuse Services (SASI)) providing substance abuse assessment and substance use disorder treatment in the form of individual and group counseling. Supervised Visitation Contract for supervised visitation services. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and reimburse that county for eligible Title IV-E expenditures for the child welfare board and/or foster children. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and to provide for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. CR 48 48 \$ 2,949,441.89 TWC Child Care Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. CR 2 2 \$ 3,998,550.34 Adult Living.		Service Levels System	moderate, specialized and intense) determine type of placement and daily child care reimbursement rate. DFPS staff may authorize Basic level only. For those children who need more than basic care, a	CR	2	1	\$ 1,319,420.13
Substance Abuse Treatment Services Licensed Chemical Dependency Counselor (LCDC-Substance Abuse Services (SAS)) providing substance obuse assessment and substance use disorder treatment in the form of individual and group counseling. Supervised Visitation Contract for supervised visitation services. Contract for supervised visitation services. Title IV-E (Child Welfare - Financial) Funded Services that county for eligible Title IV-E expenditures for the child welfare board and/or foster children. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and/or foster children. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and to provide for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. Title IV-E (Legal) Funded Services A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. CR 48 48 \$ 2,949,441.85 TWC Child Care Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. Contracted services for children referred by the Office of Refugee & Resettlement, including group home placement, educational assistance to include English as a second language, and Preparation for Adult Living.		STAR Health (DC/CR)	Contract for Diagnostic Consultation or Court Related Services (DC/CR) for the STAR Health Program.	FS	32	31	\$ 8,600.32
Title IV-E (Child Welfare - Financial) Funded Services A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and reimburse that county for eligible Title IV-E expenditures for the child welfare board and/or foster children. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and to provide for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. Title IV-E (Legal) Funded Services A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. CR 48 48 2,949,441.89 TWC Child Care Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. CR 1 1 \$ 38,894,297.32 Unaccompanied Refugee Minor CR 2 2 \$ 3,998,550.34			Licensed Chemical Dependency Counselor (LCDC-Substance Abuse Services (SAS)) providing substance abuse assessment and substance use disorder treatment in the form of individual and group	FS	80	73	\$ 2,118,135.54
Financial) Funded Services that county for eligible Title IV-E expenditures for the child welfare board and/or foster children. A contract with a county government to establish and maintain a child welfare board and to provide for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. Title IV-E (Legal) Funded Services A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. CR 48 48 \$ 2,949,441.89 TWC Child Care Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. CR 1 1 \$ 38,894,297.32 Unaccompanied Refugee Minor CR 2 2 \$ 3,998,550.34		Supervised Visitation	Contract for supervised visitation services.	FS	95	67	\$ 1,470,154.84
Title IV-E (Child Welfare - Non-Financial) for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state administered program of child protection. Title IV-E (Legal) Funded Services A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses. CR 48 48 \$ 2,949,441.89 TWC Child Care Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. CR 1 1 1 \$ 38,894,297.32 Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Contracted services for children referred by the Office of Refugee & Resettlement, including group home placement, educational assistance to include English as a second language, and Preparation for Adult Living.		•		CR	53	53	·
Services Interagency contract with the Texas Workforce Commission that provides protective, Title IV-E foster, other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. CR 48 48 \$ 2,949,441.85 CR 1 1 1 \$ 38,894,297.32 Unaccompanied Refugee Minor CR 2 2 \$ 3,998,550.34			for a county-wide, jointly financed (with no expenditure reimbursement component), and state	N/A	113	113	\$ -
TWC Child Care other foster, and relative caregiver day care through Child Care Development Funds. CR 1 1 \$ 38,894,297.32 Unaccompanied Refugee Minor Contracted services for children referred by the Office of Refugee & Resettlement, including group home placement, educational assistance to include English as a second language, and Preparation for Adult Living.		, -,	A contract with a county government to reimburse the county for eligible Title IV-E legal expenses.	CR	48	48	\$ 2,949,441.89
Unaccompanied Refugee Minor home placement, educational assistance to include English as a second language, and Preparation for CR 2 3,998,550.34		TWC Child Care		CR	1	1	\$ 38,894,297.32
CPS TOTAL 1,548 1,406 \$ 99,743,351.12			home placement, educational assistance to include English as a second language, and Preparation for	CR	2	2	\$ 3,998,550.34
	CPS TOT	AL		1	1,548	1,406	\$ 99,743,351.12

Categorization of Client Services Contracts Fiscal Year 2014

Program	Subject (i.e. Primary Service)	Description	Primary Payment Type(s)	Contracts	Contractors	Expenditures Paid to Date of 12/30/2014)
	Community Youth	Provides services to alleviate family and community factors that lead to juvenile delinquency in select communities that have a high incidence of juvenile crime. Approaches used by communities to prevent delinquency have included mentoring, youth employment programs, career preparation, and alternative recreation activities.	CR	14	13	\$ 5,474,326.12
	Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)	To increase community awareness of existing prevention services and to strengthen community and parental involvement in child abuse prevention efforts.	CR; FS	8	6	\$ 634,930.54
	Community-Based Family Services (CBFS)	Prevention services that are designed to prevent child abuse and neglect. Services include an initial home visit to assess needs of families, case management and an evidence-based parent education curriculum.	CR	2	2	\$ 279,122.21
	Helping through Intervention and Prevention (HIP)	Helping through Intervention and Prevention (HIP) - The HIP program provides an extensive family assessment, home visiting that includes parent education and basic needs support to targeted families. Eligible families are those who have previously had their parental rights terminated due to child abuse and neglect in year 2011 or later who currently have a newborn child, families who have previously had a child die with the cause identified as child abuse or neglect in year 2011 or later who have a newborn child, or current foster youth who are pregnant or who have given birth in the last four months.	FS	3	3	\$ 7,446.79
	Healthy Outcomes through Prevention and Early Support (HOPES)	Healthy Outcomes through Prevention and Early Support (HOPES) works to provide child abuse and neglect prevention services, such as home visiting and collaboration with child welfare and early childhood community partners, in target communities.	CR	8	8	\$ 267,071.04
	Services to At-Risk Youth (STAR)	Services include: crisis intervention, family and individual counseling, skills- based training for parent and youth, emergency short-term respite services, and universal child abuse and neglect prevention activities.	FS	29	28	\$ 16,967,495.87
	Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)	Evidence-based, prevention services that must work to prevent juvenile delinquency and create positive outcomes for youth by increasing protective factors in the population served.	FS	2	2	\$ 1,360,900.00
	Evidence-based services that are designed to alleviate stress and promote parental competencies and behaviors that will increase the ability of families to successfully nurture their children and work toward family self-sufficiency; enable families to use other resources and opportunities available in the community; and create support networks that enhance child-rearing abilities of parents.		CR	4	4	\$ 2,160,712.85
PEI TOTAL				70	66	\$ 27,152,005.42

Payment Type Abbreviations: CR = Cost Reimbursement FP = Fixed Price FS = Fee for Service RBP = Rate Based (Rate Set) Payment N/A = Does Not Apply

Categorization of Client Services Contracts Fiscal Year 2014

Program	Subject (i.e. Primary Service)	Description	Primary Payment Type(s)			(0	Expenditures Paid to Date as of 12/30/2014)
	Child Placing Agency	A residential child-care facility where a person, including an organization, other than the natural parents or guardian of a child who plans for the placement of or places a child in a child-care facility, agency foster home, agency foster group home, or adoptive home.	RBP	119	111	\$	227,881,666.77
	Child Specific Residential Contract	A contract with a residential operation that provides services to one child.	FS; RBP	108	27	\$	4,884,112.63
	General Residential Operation (GRO) Basic Child Care	A residential child-care facility that provides child care for 13 or more children or young adults. Child-Care Services are services that meet a child's basic need for shelter, nutrition, clothing, nurture, socialization, and interpersonal skills, care for personal health and hygiene, supervision, education, and service planning.	RBP	29	27	\$	8,538,449.90
	GRO Emergency Shelter	A residential child-care facility that provides child care for 13 or more children or young adults. The operation provides a specialized type of child-care services designed and offered to provide short-term child care to children who, upon admission, are in an emergency constitution an immediate danger to the physical health or safety of the child or the child's offspring.	RBP	53	52	\$	24,231,148.49
	GRO Residential Treatment Center (RTC)	A residential child-care facility, licensed by DFPS as a GRO, that exclusively provides care and treatment services for emotional disorders for 13 or more children.	RBP	58	54	\$	75,931,176.66
RCC	GRO Treatment for Emotional Disorders	A residential child-care facility that provides child care for 13 or more children or young adults. The care includes Treatment Services for Emotional Disorders, such as mood disorders, psychotic disorders, or dissociative disorders, and who demonstrate three or more of the following: (i) A Global Assessment of Functioning of 50 or below; (ii) A current DSM diagnosis; (iii) Major self-injurious actions, including recent suicide attempts; (iv) Difficulties that present a significant risk of harm to others, including frequent or unpredictable physical aggression; or (v) A primary diagnosis of substance abuse or dependency and severe impairment because of substance abuse. These operations include formerly titled emergency shelters, operations providing basic child care, operations serving children with mental retardation, and halfway houses. The operation is not licensed as a GRO Residential Treatment Center.	RBP	33	30	\$	20,491,003.04
	Intensive Psychiatric Transition Program	Provides short-term mental health treatment and placement options for DFPS children with intensive psychiatric needs at the time of release from a psychiatric hospitalization or as an alternative to an imminent psychiatric hospitalization.	RBP	10	9	\$	3,662,928.45
	Non-Financial Residential Care	A residential child-care facility, licensed by DFPS, who provides the program of services without receiving the daily reimbursement from DFPS.	RBP	7	7	\$	-
	Supervised Independent Living (SIL)	Provides independent living in Apartment, Non-College Dorm, College Dorm, Shared Housing, and Host Home Settings for Young Adults in Extended Foster Care ages 18 to 22 with minimal supervision and Case Management, allowing them to practice necessary Independent Living Skills and achieve self-sufficiency in a supportive environment.	RBP	5	5	\$	407,406.74
RCC TOTAL	Ĺ		· -	422	322	\$	366,027,892.68
FCR	SSCC Contract	Single Source Continuum Contractor (SSCC), is a performance-based service delivery model of paid foster care. The SSCC provider is community based will be responsible for ensuring the full continuum of paid foster care and purchased services for children and youth in the contracted service area who are referred to the SSCC by DFPS.	RBP	2	2	\$	18,636,800.46
FCR TOTAL			•	2	2	\$	18,636,800.46
GRAND TO	DTAL			2,366	2,095	\$	514,457,921.77

Payment Type Abbreviations:

CR = Cost Reimbursement

FP = Fixed Price

FS = Fee for Service

RBP = Rate Based (Rate Set) Payment

N/A = Does Not Apply

Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Cost per Service* by Fiscal Year

Statewide Intake Services	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Average cost per SWI Report of Abuse & Neglect	\$49.75	\$53.00	\$55.02	\$60.59	\$58.02
CPS Direct Delivery Services					
Average Daily CPS Cost (All Stages)	\$10.47	\$10.26	\$10.70	\$11.73	\$9.10
TWC Foster Day Care					
Average Daily Cost for TWC Foster Day Care Services	\$20.85	\$21.53	\$21.94	\$22.02	\$22.72
TWC Relative Day Care					
Average Daily Cost for TWC Relative Day Care Services	\$21.49	\$20.67	\$20.71	\$20.59	\$21.17
TWC Protective Day Care					
Average Daily Cost for TWC Protective Day Care Services	\$21.09	\$20.24	\$20.83	\$21.10	\$21.05
Adoption Purchased Services					
Average Monthly Cost per Child: Adoption Placement Purchased Services	\$3,322.42	\$3,276.49	\$3,133.89	\$2,488.79	\$3,406.20
Post Adoption Purchased Services					
Average Cost per Client Receiving CPS Post-Adoption Purchased Services	\$218.72	\$235.34	\$153.98	\$207.85	\$240.33
PAL Purchased Services					
Average Cost per Youth: Preparation for Adult Living Services	\$484.57	\$557.37	\$563.63	\$532.63	\$616.57
Substance Abuse Purchased Services					
Average Monthly Cost per Client: Substance Abuse Purchased Services	\$54.83	\$66.08	\$58.02	\$61.98	\$66.46
Other CPS Purchased Services					
Average Monthly Cost per Client: Other CPS Purchased Services	\$312.26	\$323.64	\$242.63	\$260.08	\$278.40
Foster Care & Relative Care Payments					
Average Monthly Payment per Child (FTE) in Paid Foster Care	\$1,934.59	\$1,898.55	\$1,899.56	\$1,903.08	\$2,035.31
Average Monthly Cost per Child: Caregiver Monetary Assistance	\$703.09	\$748.45	\$727.80	\$617.40	\$610.71
Adoption Subsidy Payments					
Average Monthly Payment per Adoption Subsidy	\$433.75	\$431.75	\$429.09	\$426.49	\$424.59
Services to At-Risk Youth Program					
Average Monthly Cost per STAR Youth Served	\$287.90	\$246.38	\$243.84	\$255.16	\$252.48
Community Youth Development Program					
Average Monthly Cost per CYD Youth Served	\$75.14	\$82.77	\$69.91	\$71.63	\$62.11
Texas Families Program					
Average Monthly Cost per Family Served in the Texas Families Program	\$249.52	\$433.71	\$362.54	\$334.79	\$460.56
APS Direct Delivery Services					
Average Daily APS Cost (All Stages)	\$7.99	\$8.30	\$9.14	\$10.65	\$9.56
APS Facility Investigations					
Average Monthly Cost per Investigation in APS Facility Settings	\$644.74	\$599.66	\$647.48	\$589.56	\$597.07
Child Care Regulation	¢2/E 0E	¢207.00	\$ E/0.00	¢5/2.10	¢
Average Cost per Inspection **	\$365.05	\$296.88	\$560.80	\$563.19	\$618.75

^{*}Note: This data reflects the estimate of the cost of service at the time of final reporting for a fiscal year. Expenditure data can continue to be reported for another two fiscal years after the final report, therefore, subsequent agency reports could be different from this data.

^{**} Beginning in FY12 the LBB Performance Measure definition of inspections does not include inspections done as part of an Abuse/Neglect investigation.

Purchased Client Services Delivered in APS In-Home Validated Cases by Region Fiscal Year 2014

Region		Assistance	Environment		Medical
1 Lubbock	\$	432,990.62	\$ 231,165.81	\$	90,072.00
2 Abilene	\$	244,492.95	\$ 217,660.65	\$	110,173.86
3 Arlington	\$	497,338.03	\$ 167,882.59	\$	243,680.99
4 Tyler	\$	139,298.49	\$ 44,331.35	\$	40,647.12
5 Beaumont	\$	250,524.01	\$ 126,286.02	\$	103,554.11
6 Houston	\$	1,031,619.17	\$ 385,244.76	\$	110,961.92
7 Austin	\$	201,174.15	\$ 94,253.28	\$	62,886.19
8 San Antonio	\$	443,012.47	\$ 165,197.47	\$	93,687.40
9 Midland	\$	148,940.90	\$ 196,089.21	\$	72,945.17
10 El Paso	\$	38,718.73	\$ 46,483.38	\$	31,597.05
11 Edinburg	\$	367,547.59	\$ 88,890.28	\$	190,319.97
State Total	S	3,795,657.11	\$ 1,763,484.80	S	1,150,525.78

Region	Other	Residential	Services	Total
1 Lubbock	\$ 13,125.37	\$ 32,723.37	\$ -	\$ 800,077.17
2 Abilene	\$ 15,631.50	\$ 32,409.46	\$ 149.73	\$ 620,518.15
3 Arlington	\$ 31,973.55	\$ 292,891.81	\$ -	\$ 1,233,766.97
4 Tyler	\$ 5,433.98	\$ 7,724.93	\$ -	\$ 237,435.87
5 Beaumont	\$ 13,897.55	\$ 10,357.44	\$ -	\$ 504,619.13
6 Houston	\$ 20,299.64	\$ 54,020.00	\$ 594.88	\$ 1,602,740.37
7 Austin	\$ 21,248.59	\$ 47,330.47	\$ 455.40	\$ 427,348.08
8 San Antonio	\$ 13,748.59	\$ 29,138.00	\$ -	\$ 744,783.93
9 Midland	\$ 9,670.91	\$ 19,375.52	\$ -	\$ 447,021.71
10 El Paso	\$ 1,500.80	\$ 126,434.03	\$ -	\$ 244,733.99
11 Edinburg	\$ 8,423.04	\$ 99,449.30	\$ -	\$ 754,630.18
State Total	\$ 154,953.52	\$ 751,854.33	\$ 1,200.01	\$ 7,617,675.55

Note: Clients in validated cases may receive more than one service.

Examples of the above described services may include:

Assistance - utilities, rent, personal care services, transportation, etc.

Environment - animal control, extermination, appliances, and home repair, etc.

Medical - medical supplies, medication, and adaptive equipment, etc.

Residential - temporary room and board, emergency shelter and adult foster care, etc.

Services - home delivered meals and emergency response services, etc.

Data does not include expenditures for clients who were validated in a prior fiscal year but continued to receive services.

Note: Paid to date as of 11/07/2014

CPS Expenditures* Fiscal Year 2014

CPS Categories	Expenditures
Substitute Care Services	\$54,149,064.43
Evaluation/Treatment Services	\$17,225,871.16
Adoption	\$9,290,500.00
Other	\$5,230,778.21
Title IV-E	\$3,184,130.44
Client Support Services	\$5,268,784.55
Studies/Assessments	\$4,883,178.75
Program Support Services	\$502,443.26
STAR Health (DC/CR)	\$8,600.32
Toto	al \$99,743,351.12

CPS Children in Residential Child Care Facilities* Fiscal Year 2014

RCC Services	Expenditures
Child Placing Agency	\$227,881,666.77
Residential Treatment Center	75,931,176.66
GRO Emergency Shelter	24,231,148.49
GRO Treatment for Emotional Disorders	20,491,003.04
GRO Basic Child Care	8,538,449.90
Child Specific Residential Contract	4,884,112.63
Intensive Psychiatric Transition Program	3,662,928.45
SIL Contract	407,406.74
Toto	\$366,027,892.68

Prevention and Early Intervention Expenditures* Fiscal Year 2014

PEI Programs	Expenditures
Services to At-Risk Youth	\$16,967,495.87
Community Youth Development	5,474,326.12
Texas Families: Together and Safe	2,160,712.85
Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)	1,360,900.00
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention	634,930.54
Community-Based Family Services	279,122.21
Project HOPES	267,071.04
Project HIP	7,446.79
Toto	al \$27,152,005.42

^{*}Source: HCATS paid to date as of 12/30/2014

County	Region	Population With a Disability Ages 18-64	Population Age 65 and Older	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Validated APS Investigations	Closed Service Delivery Stages
Anderson	004	5,613	8,343	297	224	153	142
Andrews	009	938	1,873	30	31	16	11
Angelina	005	8,398	13,437	566	441	311	279
Aransas	011	2,372	6,777	179	155	93	77
Archer	002	606	1,604	84	71	57	44
Armstrong	001	111	477	5	6	3	3
Atascosa	008	4,414	7,151	201	156	118	109
Austin	006	1,500	5,492	151	93	63	44
Bailey	001	515	1,110	61	54	51	53
Bandera	008	2,258	5,288	147	82	48	32
Bastrop	007	5,245	11,303	365	302	183	142
Baylor	002	325	964	75	56	45	36
Bee	011	4,069	3,856	202	165	116	97
Bell	007	25,158	32,440	1,563	1,116	690	488
Bexar	008	140,101	205,046	9,118	6,561	3,657	2,822
Blanco	007	791	2,452	43	46	28	13
Borden	007	26	161	7	4	1	0
Bosque	007	1,573	4,4 07	95	78	42	30
Bowie	004	8,129	14,483	377	306	176	119
Brazoria	004	20,575	37,531	689	557	432	371
Brazos	007	10,939	16,859	375	329	232	171
Brewster	010	881	1,867	97	62	46	17
Briscoe	001	88	435	3	3	1	2
Brooks	011	1,217	1,379	66	63	35	33
Brown	002	2,528	7,620	407	316	242	164
Burleson	002	1,817	3,443	74	67	47	24
Burnet	007	4,504	9,724	136	118	73	64
Caldwell	007	4,064	5,724	191	144	91	61
Calhoun	007	2,371	3,757	94	71	45	20
Callahan	003	1,169	2,820	106	85	60	41
Cameron	011	28,220	52,384	1,741	1,381	931	806
Camp	004	1,334	2,322	92	75	51	26
Camp	004	328	2,322 1,148	18	16	11	9
Carson	004	3,248	6,473	155	129	83	49
Castro	004	411	1,218	16	15	14	13
Chambers	001	2,834	4,375	89	67	50	34
Cherokee	004	2,634 3,894	8,611	355	259	198	154
Childress	004	609		49	43	35	35
Childress	001	1,026	1,141 2,169	49 146	43 116	35 102	90
•	002	300	2,169 556	105	103	98	104
Cochran Coke	001	131	888	23	103	<u>98</u> 14	104
Coke	009	631	2,176	23 84	66	57	44
Collin	002				905	588	374
		28,737	86,148 593	1,118 17	905 19	288 17	
Colorado	001	266 1.420		81	19 64		16 25
Colorado	006	1,429	4,454			36 167	25
Comal	008	7,696	22,038	401	282	167	99
Comanche	002	1,213	3,161	82	69 22	53	36
Concho	009	480	696	21	23	20	20

County	Region	Population With a Disability Ages 18-64	Population Age 65 and Older	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Validated APS Investigations	Closed Service Delivery Stages
Cooke	003	3,019	6,959	153	135	108	86
Coryell	007	5,974	6,851	275	212	137	99
Cottle	002	129	407	13	9	7	8
Crane	009	327	627	20	11	5	4
Crockett	009	165	670	17	23	22	13
Crosby	001	616	1,187	58	51	37	34
Culberson	010	252	442	16	13	9	12
Dallam	001	474	802	29	19	13	8
Dallas	003	126,341	232,082	8,381	6,656	4,458	3,044
Dawson	009	1,100	2,092	95	84	67	54
Deaf Smith	001	1,086	2,404	51	47	34	31
Delta	004	580	1,203	32	22	9	9
Denton	003	33,960	65,141	1,075	826	568	311
DeWitt	008	1,750	4,019	74	70	36	17
Dickens	001	245	557	23	21	15	14
Dimmit	008	1,236	1,707	38	25	16	4
Donley	001	264	828	11	10	9	7
Duval	011	1,770	2,160	80	70	52	32
Eastland	002	1,944	4, 050	235	195	160	128
Ector	009	11,426	15,742	684	596	437	310
Edwards	008	129	537	10	10	9	5
Ellis	003	12,011	19,552	398	312	256	217
El Paso	010	56,679	93,957	3,490	2,767	1,780	1,036
Erath	003	2,408	5,465	147	130	95	81
Falls	007	2,007	3,176	85	60	43	22
Fannin	003	3,669	6,678	183	150	130	98
Fayette	007	1,466	6,052	69	68	39	35
Fisher	002	258	940	22	21	15	3
Floyd	001	294	1,194	69	64	54	52
Foard	002	261	356	19	16	9	12
Fort Bend	006	25,483	61,641	853	644	378	272
Franklin	004	1,085	2,249	39	31	20	14
Freestone	007	1,657	3,753	74	66	46	24
Frio	008	2,230	2,292	84	66	41	32
Gaines	009	999	1,774	30	19	15	11
Galveston	006	20,294	37,915	1,266	967	547	345
Garza	001	860	817	42	39	27	24
Gillespie	008	1,648	7,487	88	72	45	31
Glasscock	009	36	220	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	775	1,654	28	15	6	4
Gonzales	008	1,830	3,404	77	72	43	24
Gray	001	1,404	3,731	123	106	69	61
Grayson	003	9,569	21,644	721	591	511	399
Gregg	004	10,995	18,167	609	477	342	272
Grimes	007	2,341	4,411	113	89	60	47
Guadalupe	008	10,288	19,197	417	303	186	126
Hale	001	2,221	4,657	163	134	108	92
Hall	001	164	806	20	17	16	17

County	Region	Population With a Disability Ages 18-64	Population Age 65 and Older	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Validated APS Investigations	Closed Service Delivery Stages
Hamilton	007	697	2,193	30	29	9	2
Hansford	001	389	858	8	3	2	3
Hardeman	002	487	902	46	41	36	19
Hardin	005	5,560	8,645	239	181	115	86
Harris	006	227,128	395,311	13,175	10,712	7,216	5,775
Harrison	004	4,899	10,172	293	226	164	127
Hartley	001	280	828	12	11	7	5
Haskell	002	657	1,325	50	48	39	33
Hays	007	11,286	18,666	328	299	193	113
Hemphill	001	244	584	13	11	8	4
Henderson	004	8,263	16,972	589	450	355	315
Hidalgo	011	64,076	87,153	2,610	2,408	1,391	1,092
Hill	007	3,337	7,392	204	184	123	89
Hockley	007	1,510	3,284	173	148	117	121
Hood	001	3,667	13,220	210	204	162	152
		•	6,207	168	122	97	83
Hopkins	004 005	3,129	•		161		
Houston		2,964	5,076	213		146	138
Howard	009	4,527	5,036	258	189	124	87
Hudspeth	010	457	611	19	13	11	4
Hunt	003	8,223	14,508	637	535	420	324
Hutchinson	001	1,569	3,464	117	114	88	57
Irion	009	62	365	5	3	3	2
Jack	002	1,046	1,528	88	76	65	53
Jackson	008	1,000	2,459	48	39	19	13
Jasper	005	3,489	6,634	223	195	147	127
Jeff Davis	010	326	709	13	9	6	1
Jefferson	005	20,847	33,635	1,623	1,175	806	656
Jim Hogg	011	538	960	22	21	10	7
Jim Wells	011	4,684	6,063	205	180	125	85
Johnson	003	10,453	21,915	565	425	301	254
Jones	002	2,361	3,155	180	133	97	73
Karnes	008	2,020	2,317	40	34	23	16
Kaufman	003	8,429	13,836	386	343	276	216
Kendall	008	2,098	7,208	96	64	39	28
Kenedy	011	0	83	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	54	235	8	3	3	1
Kerr	008	3,968	13,941	349	264	151	108
Kimble	009	462	1,267	26	25	19	24
King	001	39	46	0	0	0	0
Kinney	008	336	978	15	9	3	6
Kleberg	011	2,487	4,210	185	170	115	109
Knox	002	353	798	28	19	18	17
Lamar	004	5,064	9,208	365	257	165	113
Lamb	001	800	2,297	135	107	100	99
Lampasas	007	1,703	3,690	115	89	68	54
La Salle	008	1,121	1,096	17	14	9	7
Lavaca	008	1,405	4,444	65	60	28	16
Lee	007	1,175	3,037	63	50	34	19

County	Region	Population With a Disability Ages 18-64	Population Age 65 and Older	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Validated APS Investigations	Closed Service Delivery Stages
Leon	007	1,417	4,233	51	49	34	25
Liberty	006	9,721	10,590	409	323	253	197
Limestone	007	2,275	4,377	135	110	69	30
Lipscomb	001	185	553	9	9	8	8
Live Oak	011	1,115	2,425	39	40	24	17
Llano	007	1,704	6,557	96	84	58	48
Loving	009	3	20	1	1	1	0
Lubbock	001	22,239	33,769	2,890	2,480	1,933	1,734
Lynn	001	496	1,056	63	49	34	30
Madison	007	806	2,189	42	36	25	20
Marion	004	1,400	2,730	120	102	69	52
Martin	009	444	704	21	15	12	7
Mason	009	283	1,147	15	15	9	12
Matagorda	006	3,215	5,964	151	117	102	92
Maverick	008	4,498	6,715	189	155	94	60
McCulloch	009	679	1,904	87	84	73	59
McLennan	007	16,903	31,941	1,275	982	638	329
McMullen	011	106	213	3	3	2	2
Medina	008	4,156	7,801	145	114	52	35
Menard	009	278	696	11	10	9	8
Midland	009	10,379	16,617	416	332	212	136
Milam	007	2,941	4,854	130	112	80	53
Mills	007	237	1,228	27	20	12	5
Mitchell	002	1,079	1,374	60	53	33	22
Montague	002	1,681	4,415	211	188	174	140
Montgomery	006	30,400	64,073	1,402	1,137	825	629
Moore	001	1,303	2,322	42	37	22	19
Morris	004	1,789	2,750	93	74	58	34
Motley	001	99	363	7	8	6	2
Nacogdoches	005	5,506	8,652	299	251	212	191
Navarro	003	4,773	7,975	276	215	166	141
Newton	005	1,262	2,666	114	83	51	56
Nolan	002	1,287	2,790	170	142	108	55
Nueces	011	34,581	47,121	2,319	1,809	1,081	841
Ochiltree	001	508	1,198	29	29	20	15
Oldham	001	240	334	2	3	3	3
Orange	005	9,112	12,693	593	399	251	194
Palo Pinto	003	2,429	5,397	240	234	159	121
Panola	003	2,681	4,196	92	76	52	44
Parker	003	9,927	18,434	393	329	255	197
Parmer	003	491	1,356	16	18	13	11
Pecos	001	875	2,072	45	43	36	28
Polk	005	6,619	2,072 9,886	337	261	158	143
Potter	003	8,356	14,411	950	847	654	526
Presidio	010	971	1,604	37	23	17	12
Rains	004	1,101	2,834	43	38	23	13
	004	•		43 489	443	318	227
Randall		6,268	17,505				
Reagan	009	139	447	12	8	6	6

County	Region	Population With a Disability Ages 18-64	Population Age 65 and Older	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Validated APS Investigations	Closed Service Delivery Stages
Real	008	154	1,021	14	11	9	6
Red River	004	1,589	3,012	74	56	33	25
Reeves	009	1,376	1,844	81	61	49	32
Refugio	011	840	1,600	32	29	15	16
Roberts	001	49	190	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	1,636	3,223	82	71	48	33
Rockwall	003	3,640	10,357	146	119	81	55
Runnels	002	524	2,188	92	81	65	56
Rusk	004	4,584	8,533	196	146	88	75
Sabine	005	1,169	3,351	76	71	42	42
San Augustine	005	1,313	2,320	97	86	64	55
San Jacinto	005	2,427	5,569	241	186	139	125
San Patricio	011	6,011	9,572	395	291	163	127
San Saba	007	716	1,340	26	25	22	18
Schleicher	009	194	569	15	10	9	6
Scurry	002	1,186	2,593	88	72	50	32
Shackelford	002	235	686	32	27	22	18
Shelby	005	2,965	4,427	185	156	115	102
Sherman	001	185	479	8	6	2	1
Smith	004	15,136	33,286	1,125	961	751	661
Somervell	003	687	1,529	30	28	20	17
Starr	011	6,645	7,314	222	208	131	120
Stephens	002	757	1,881	105	84	74	53
Sterling	009	53	237	2	3	3	2
Stonewall	002	64	389	17	11	9	4
Sutton	009	136	737	18	20	20	16
Swisher	001	557	1,411	24	23	17	14
Tarrant	003	110,438	189,456	5,561	4,421	2,862	1,672
Taylor	002	10,796	18,823	1,561	1,225	905	662
Terrell	009	55	259	6	5	4	2
Terry	001	1,227	1,940	167	153	135	135
Throckmorton	002	135	443	26	21	18	14
Titus	004	3,461	4,242	107	87	70	54
Tom Green	009	7,841	16,743	1,266	1,007	810	681
Travis	007	57,233	89,958	4,014	2,645	1,423	1,198
Trinity	005	1,733	3,774	165	134	117	126
Tyler	005	2,496	4,661	155	107	77	72
Upshur	004	4,723	7,218	229	205	161	108
Upton	009	278	588	24	23	13	12
Uvalde	008	2,206	4,442	138	114	47	26
Val Verde	008	2,508	6,916	212	158	87	44
Van Zandt	004	5,437	10,961	322	242	149	116
Victoria	008	7,568	13,130	344	242	133	94
Walker	006	4,256	7,895	196	167	111	94
Waller	006	3,124	5,908	105	76	60	55
Ward	009	911	1,747	54	41	29	22
Washington	007	2,416	6,969	98	76	34	22
Webb	011	18,541	23,241	748	690	313	303

County	Region	Population With a Disability Ages 18-64	Population Age 65 and Older	Total APS Intakes	Total APS Completed Investigations	Validated APS Investigations	Closed Service Delivery Stages
Wharton	006	2,855	6,463	134	121	105	89
Wheeler	001	2,035	1,072	32	27	21	16
Wichita	002	9,961	18,154	1,685	1,292	1,039	831
Wilbarger	002	1,369	2,339	207	155	125	88
Willacy	011	2,185	2,941	209	156	119	98
Williamson	007	26,528	53,339	986	798	491	359
Wilson	008	3,955	6,993	126	92	60	50
Winkler	009	656	1,039	27	20	15	9
Wise	003	5,348	9,113	206	162	91	56
Wood	004	4,564	12,100	224	196	104	77
Yoakum	001	666	1,051	24	22	18	17
Young	002	1,627	3,629	232	195	157	133
Zapata	011	1,459	1,713	44	40	18	19
Zavala	008	1,322	1,615	41	35	17	12
Unknown		0	0	77	36	26	22
State Total		1,724,408	3,086,103	103,024	81,681	54,731	41,496

Note: APS clients are counted each time a service is provided. Services may be provided prior to the completion of an investigation.

Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.

APS Facility Investigations

County	Region	Intakes	Completed Investigations	Confirmed Investigations	County	Region	Intakes	Completed Investigations	Confirmed Investigations
Anderson	004	5	3	0	Cooke	003	0	0	0
Andrews	009	0	0	0	Coryell	007	4	5	1
Angelina	005	231	212	44	Cottle	002	0	0	0
Aransas	011	0	0	0	Crane	009	0	0	0
Archer	002	0	0	0	Crockett	009	0	0	0
Armstrong	001	0	0	0	Crosby	001	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	3	1	Ö	Culberson	010	0	ő	0
Austin	006	2	3	Ö	Dallam	001	0	0	$\overset{\circ}{0}$
Bailey	001	0	0	Ö	Dallas	003	362	301	28
Bandera	001	0	0	0	Dawson	009	0	0	0
Bastrop	007	10	11	4	Dawson Deaf Smith	001	2	2	
	007	0	0	0	Dear Simur Delta	001	0	0	0
Baylor Bee	011	4	-		Denton	004	315	289	34
			4	0					
Bell	007	78	69	13	DeWitt	008	0	0	0
Bexar	008	1,395	1,276	125	Dickens	001	0	0	0
Blanco	007	0	0	0	Dimmit	008	0	0	0
Borden	009	0	0	0	Donley	001	0	0	0
Bosque	007	0	0	0	Duval	011	0	0	0
Bowie	004	7	8	2	Eastland	002	15	15	9
Brazoria	006	42	45	6	Ector	009	6	5	0
Brazos	007	36	40	3	Edwards	008	0	0	0
Brewster	010	0	0	0	Ellis	003	7	4	1
Briscoe	001	0	0	0	El Paso	010	363	340	45
Brooks	011	0	0	0	Erath	003	4	5	0
Brown	002	30	30	9	Falls	007	5	6	0
Burleson	007	2	1	0	Fannin	003	0	0	0
Burnet	007	1	1	1	Fayette	007	8	6	1
Caldwell	007	15	9	1	Fisher	002	0	0	0
Calhoun	008	1	1	0	Floyd	001	0	0	0
Callahan	002	0	0	0	Foard	002	0	0	0
Cameron	011	330	297	16	Fort Bend	006	339	331	43
Camp	004	0	0	0	Franklin	004	4	2	1
Carson	001	0	0	0	Freestone	007	3	3	0
Cass	004	2	2	0	Frio	008	0	0	0
Castro	001	0	0	0	Gaines	009	0	Ö	ő
Chambers	006	0	$\overset{\circ}{0}$	Ö	Galveston	006	19	16	3
Cherokee	004	629	583	37	Garza	001	0	0	0
Childress	001	0	0	0	Gillespie	001	2	2	0
Clay	001	1	1	0	Glasscock	009	0	0	0
Cochran	002	0	0	0	Goliad	009	0	0	0
Coke	001	0	0	0	Gonzales	008	2	2	1
				-					
Coleman	002	0	0	0	Gray	001	5	5	2
Collin	003	61	57	3	Grayson	003	20	17	2
Collingswort		0	0	0	Gregg	004	66	59	6
Colorado	006	2	1	0	Grimes	007	1	1	0
Comal	008	39	33	3	Guadalupe	008	48	36	1
Comanche	002	0	0	0	Hale	001	8	7	2
Concho	009	0	0	0	Hall	001	0	0	0

APS Facility Investigations

County	Region	Intakes	Completed Investigations	Confirmed Investigations	County	Region	Intakes	Completed Investigations	Confirmed Investigations
Hamilton	007	0	0	0	Leon	007	0	0	0
Hansford	001	ő	ő	ő	Liberty	006	8	8	1
Hardeman	002	0	Ö	Ö	Limestone	007	712	665	47
Hardin	005	7	8	1	Lipscomb	001	0	0	0
Harris	006	466	447	54	Live Oak	011	0	Ö	Õ
Harrison	004	5	6	0	Llano	007	3	3	1
Hartley	001	0	0	0	Loving	009	0	0	0
Haskell	002	0	0	0	Lubbock	001	330	300	48
Hays	007	24	22	1	Lynn	001	0	0	0
Hemphill	001	0	0	0	Madison	007	5	5	0
Henderson	004	11	10	2	Marion	004	0	0	0
Hidalgo	011	28	27	4	Martin	009	0	0	0
Hill	007	0	0	0	Mason	009	0	0	0
Hockley	001	6	4	0	Matagorda	006	11	7	0
Hood	003	2	3	1	Maverick	008	1	2	0
Hopkins	004	7	5	1	McCulloch	009	0	0	0
Houston	005	0	0	0	McLennan	007	134	120	10
Howard	009	337	306	32	McMullen	011	0	0	0
Hudspeth	010	0	0	0	Medina	008	0	1	0
Hunt	003	20	20	3	Menard	009	0	0	0
Hutchinson	001	0	2	0	Midland	009	31	26	2
Irion	009	0	0	0	Milam	007	0	0	0
Jack	002	0	0	0	Mills	007	0	0	0
Jackson	008	0	0	0	Mitchell	002	0	0	0
Jasper	005	1	2	1	Montague	002	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	Montgomery	7 006	47	44	1
Jefferson	005	112	97	21	Moore	001	0	0	0
Jim Hogg	011	0	0	0	Morris	004	0	0	0
Jim Wells	011	1	1	0	Motley	001	0	0	0
Johnson	003	56	54	11	<u>Nacogdoche</u>	s 005	2	2	0
Jones	002	1	2	0	Navarro	003	48	39	9
Karnes	008	0	0	0	Newton	005	1	0	0
Kaufman	003	220	212	6	Nolan	002	3	3	1
Kendall	008	0	0	0	Nueces	011	598	533	55
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	Ochiltree	001	0	0	0
Kent	002	0	0	0	Oldham	001	0	0	0
Kerr	008	56	52	2	Orange	005	22	20	3
Kimble	009	0	0	0	Palo Pinto	003	0	0	0
King	001	0	0	0	Panola	004	0	0	0
Kinney	008	0	0	0	Parker	003	1	1	0
Kleberg	011	8	7	0	Parmer	001	0	0	0
Knox	002	0	0	0	Pecos	009	0	0	0
Lamar	004	18	14	4	Polk	005	1	1	0
Lamb	001	0	0	0	Potter	001	28	24	5
Lampasas	007	0	0	0	Presidio	010	0	0	0
La Salle	008	0	0	0	Rains	004	0	0	0
Lavaca	008	0	0	0	Randall	001	101	97	32
Lee	007	2	2	0	Reagan	009	0	0	0

APS Facility Investigations

County	Region	Intakes	Completed Investigations	Confirmed Investigations
Real	008	0	0	0
Red River	004	0	0	0
Reeves	009	1	1	1
Refugio	011	0	0	0
Roberts	001	0	0	0
Robertson	007	0	0	0
Rockwall	003	8	4	0
Runnels	002	0	0	0
Rusk	004	1	2	0
Sabine	005	1	1	1
San Augusti	ne 005	9	8	4
San Jacinto	005	0	0	0
San Patricio	011	12	10	1
San Saba	007	0	0	0
Schleicher	009	0	0	0
Scurry	002	0	0	0
Shackelford	002	0	0	0
Shelby	005	2	2	1
Sherman	001	0	0	0
Smith	004	34	35	5
Somervell	003	0	0	0
Starr	011	9	5	0
Stephens	002	3	0	0
Sterling	009	0	0	0
Stonewall	002	0	0	0
Sutton	009	0	0	0
Swisher	001	0	0	0
Tarrant	003	502	447	53
Taylor	002	364	339	55
Terrell	009	0	0	0
Terry	001	0	0	0
Throckmort	ton002	0	0	0
Titus	004	0	1	1

County	Region	Intakes	Completed Investigation	
Tom Green	009	1,033	973	86
Travis	007	1,095	1,014	162
Trinity	005	0	0	0
Tyler	005	0	0	0
Úpshur	004	0	0	0
Upton	009	1	1	0
Uvalde	008	3	3	0
Val Verde	008	8	6	0
Van Zandt	004	1	1	0
Victoria	008	16	18	1
Walker	006	1	1	0
Waller	006	5	3	0
Ward	009	1	1	0
Washington	n 007	184	164	16
Webb	011	35	36	2
Wharton	006	8	5	1
Wheeler	001	0	0	0
Wichita	002	370	370	26
Wilbarger	002	481	470	22
Willacy	011	0	0	0
Williamson	007	106	113	14
Wilson	008	0	0	0
Winkler	009	0	0	0
Wise	003	0	0	0
Wood	004	0	0	0
Yoakum	001	0	0	0
Young	002	1	1	0
Zapata	011	0	0	0
Zavala	008	2	1	0
Out Of Sta	ite	2	0	0
State Total	<u> </u>	12,308	11,387	1,259

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Anderson	004	11,594	1,694	6,616	2,761	38	485
Andrews	009	4,542	57	1,496	2,899	18	72
Angelina	005	23,772	3,646	11,790	7,514	61	761
Aransas	011	4,520	55	2,272	2,012	14	167
Archer	002	2,117	12	1,788	275	8	34
Armstrong	001	375	4	315	47	2	7
Atascosa	008	13,538	80	3,474	9,863	18	103
Austin	006	7,524	738	3,646	2,943	22	175
Bailey	001	2,383	10	519	1,832	5	17
Bandera	008	3,979	31	2,726	1,143	16	63
Bastrop	007	21,379	1,404	8,233	10,906	46	790
Baylor	002	764	14	553	181	3	13
Bee	011	6,856	108	1,520	5,096	10	122
Bell	007	98,721	21,144	38,971	28,893	407	9,306
Bexar	008	491,136	32,602	96,341	339,288	848	22,057
Blanco	007	2,278	5	1,498	732	6	37
Borden	009	117	0	87	30	0	0
Bosque	007	4,089	72	2,649	1,282	5	81
Bowie	004	21,900	6,112	12,461	2,074	106	1,147
Brazoria	006	96,020	11,613	39,285	35,481	295	9,346
Brazos	007	47,729	6,527	20,051	17,852	104	3,195
Brewster	010	1,947	11	770	1,095	4	67
Briscoe	001	333	8	175	143	0	7
Brooks	011	1,996	4	118	1,865	1	8
Brown	002	9,154	312	5,512	2,968	42	320
Burleson	007	4,140	509	2,268	1,267	9	87
Burnet	007	10,299	191	6,066	3,760	29	253
Caldwell	007	10,317	509	2,996	6,562	21	229
Calhoun	008	5,879	106	1,643	3,822	6	302
Callahan	002	3,306	38	2,725	429	22	92
Cameron	011	141,748	284	6,326	133,998	108	1,032
Camp	004	3,404	522	1,495	1,226	6	155
Carson	001	1,509	12	1,256	198	4	39
Cass	004	6,993	1,288	4,939	518	23	225
Castro	001	2,502	46	578	1,854	8	16
Chambers	006	10,728	709	6,507	3,159	29	324
Cherokee	004	13,753	1,796	6,519	4,909	16	513
Childress	001	1,524	67	891	519	11	36
Clay	002	2,362	12	2,096	179	33	42
Cochran	001	884	18	242	617	0	7
Coke	009	630	1	435	184	0	10
Coleman	002	1,970	43	1,365	514	7	41
Collin	003	250,047	23,811	128,195	52,898	1,194	43,949
Collingsworth	001	838	22	367	423	10	16
Colorado	006	4,972	670	1,954	2,237	2	109
Comal	008	27,772	416	16,229	10,297	60	770
Comanche	002	3,350	8	1,811	1,478	7	46
Concho	009	568	4	306	255	1	2

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Cooke	003	9,873	244	6,381	2,771	98	379
Coryell	007	22,926	2,945	12,057	5,537	118	2,269
Cottle	002	341	21	202	114	3	1
Crane	009	1,293	36	337	906	7	7
Crockett	009	968	0	212	750	2	4
Crosby	001	1,772	57	463	1,239	0	13
Culberson	010	651	1	86	555	0	9
Dallam	001	2,138	17	941	1,110	4	66
Dallas	003	684,069	151,810	118,075	366,075	1,755	46,354
Dawson	009	3,405	123	917	2,312	6	47
Deaf Smith	001	6,519	28	1,130	5,288	12	61
Delta	004	1,116	89	836	116	9	66
Denton	003	204,770	17,557	107,239	55,299	1,062	23,613
DeWitt	008	4,497	351	1,928	2,075	5	138
Dickens	001	461	3	247	201	8	2
Dimmit	008	2,903	25	241	2,618	0	19
Donley	001	728	50	550	110	0	18
Duval	011	3,025	2	224	2,787	1	11
Eastland	002	4,237	62	3,011	1,089	14	61
Ector	009	42,345	1,669	10,855	28,816	161	844
Edwards	008	406	2	117	285	2	0
Ellis	003	46,146	4,396	24,178	16,079	121	1,372
El Paso	010	248,080	5,423	19,068	217,942	713	4,934
Erath	003	8,966	123	5,639	2,970	47	187
Falls	007	3,876	1,016	1,490	1,264	4	102
Fannin	003	7,611	353	5,673	1,214	82	289
Fayette	007	5,417	435	2,911	1,948	12	111
Fisher	002	805	19	479	296	0	11
Floyd	001	1,784	50	417	1,305	0	12
Foard	002	247	17	172	56	0	2
Fort Bend	006	195,786	43,199	54,510	59,675	427	37,975
Franklin	004	2,717	117	1,882	624	13	81
Freestone	007	4,646	669	2,850	1,028	24	75
Frio	008	4,250	8	455	3,750	8	29
Gaines	009	6,804	83	4,1 00	2,548	3	70
Galveston	006	76,964	10,525	36,754	24,471	250	4,964
Garza	001	1,303	71	510	704	7	11
Gillespie	008	5,226	10	3,169	1,954	11	82
Glasscock	009	304	3	176	124	0	1
Goliad	008	1,583	74	723	762	3	21
Gonzales	008	5,590	305	1,534	3,645	14	92
Gray	001	5,972	144	3,202	2,439	18	169
Grayson	003	29,638	1,960	19,581	6,158	443	1,496
Gregg	004	32,181	6,885	14,389	9,412	100	1,395
Grimes	007	6,105	868	2,924	2,165	7	141
Guadalupe	008	39,986	2,163	17,325	18,714	107	1,677
Hale	001	10,700	382	2,426	7,710	23	159
Hall	001	805	64	309	428	3	1

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Hamilton	007	1,789	10	1,409	341	7	22
Hansford	001	1,702	9	692	982	0	19
Hardeman	002	1,028	43	535	418	3	29
Hardin	005	14,554	918	12,167	1,072	27	370
Harris	006	1,201,493	216,114	253,842	640,581	2,100	88,856
Harrison	004	17,542	3,477	9,554	3,840	79	592
Hartley	001	1,297	6	851	415	5	20
Haskell	002	1,205	35	649	484	7	30
Hays	007	47,624	1,258	21,914	22,779	125	1,548
Hemphill	001	1,144	0	641	490	5	8
Henderson	004	18,376	1,212	12,532	3,890	87	655
Hidalgo	011	284,166	713	9,304	271,131	150	2,868
Hill	007	8,734	550	5,167	2,772	29	216
Hockley	001	6,387	233	2,279	3,763	22	90
Hood	003	11,219	46	8,618	2,222	61	272
Hopkins	004	9,229	597	5,743	2,433	49	407
Houston	005	4,811	1,263	2,515	812	20	201
Howard	009	8,012	361	3,309	4,089	44	209
Hudspeth	010	953	5	82	855	1	10
Hunt	003	22,830	2,129	13,881	5,687	168	965
Hutchinson	001	5,750	112	3,590	1,806	58	184
Irion	009	310	1	196	106	2	5
Jack	002	1,959	23	1,456	453	0	27
Jackson	008	3,562	262	1,736	1,510	6	48
Jasper	005	8,806	1,641	5,965	811	52	337
Jeff Davis	010	367	1,011	157	204	0	5
Jefferson	005	61,551	22,241	18,650	16,684	138	3,838
Jim Hogg	011	1,548	4	57	1,474	10	3,030
Jim Wells	011	12,095	44	1,629	10,330	23	69
Johnson	003	43,533	1,140	28,195	12,468	186	1,544
Jones	003	3,758	156	2,236	1,247	7	112
Karnes	002	2,924	53	926	1,902	14	29
Kaufman	003	33,128	3,3 01	19,491	9,024	159	1,153
Kendall	003	8,456	33	5,537	2,673	20	193
Kenedy	011	99	0	16	79	3	1
Kent	002	162	1	112	47	0	2
Kerr	002	10,314	146	5,440	4,430	15	283
Kimble	000	910	3	542	361	0	
King	009	57	0	342 49	8	0	4 0
Kinney	001	681	4	176	491	1	9
Kleberg	011	8,565	244	1,292	6,814	7	208
Knox	002	931	58	446	404	4	19
Lamar	002	12,229	1,903	7 , 860	1,677	136	653
Lamb	004	4,020	1,903	1,080	2,707	2	56
	007	4,020	91	3,147	2,707 1,397	30	258
Lampasas La Salle	007		4	136		0	258 8
	008	1,562			1,414		85
Lavaca		4,263	328	2,619	1,230	1	
Lee	007	4,076	328	2,096	1,519	3	130

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Leon	007	3,867	212	2,602	982	5	66
Liberty	006	20,300	1,812	12,043	5,798	52	595
Limestone	007	5,653	978	2,610	1,875	9	181
Lipscomb	001	878	4	447	402	7	18
Live Oak	011	2,218	18	1,049	1,121	5	25
Llano	007	3,144	28	2,448	576	12	80
Loving	009	7	0	4	3	0	0
Lubbock	001	72,975	5,947	30,557	33,328	252	2,891
Lynn	001	1,594	17	604	945	7	21
Madison	007	3,082	514	1,621	818	6	123
Marion	004	1,925	498	1,206	124	8	89
Martin	009	1,449	29	642	759	2	17
Mason	009	803	4	535	255	3	6
Matagorda	006	9,567	969	3,058	5,201	14	325
Maverick	008	18,645	19	399	17,916	245	66
McCulloch	009	1,998	33	1,086	853	0	26
McLennan	007	61,080	9,468	26,489	22,630	143	2,350
McMullen	011	121	2	55	64	0	0
Medina	008	12,245	78	4,399	7,540	37	191
Menard	009	422	0	201	221	0	0
Midland	009	39,433	2,521	14,801	20,766	134	1,211
Milam	007	6,366	616	3,166	2,455	7	122
Mills	007	1,153	19	842	276	0	16
Mitchell	007	1,813	74	864	849	4	22
Montague	002	4,611	18	3,590	882	29	92
Montgomery	006	139,135	6,414	80,575	44,978	477	6,691
Moore	000	7,286	64	1,745	4,680	24	773
Morris	004	3,038	663	1,722	512	10	131
Motley	004	244	2	174	65	2	131
Nacogdoches	001	17,077	3,224	8,257	4,910	57	629
Navarro	003	13,432	1,660	5,790	5,388	27	567
Newton	005	3,067	632	2,166	3,300 146	20	103
Nolan	003	4,006	209	2,100 1,797	1,908	4	88
Nueces	002	•		18,297			
	001	88,260	2,810 8		64,271	194 15	2,688
Ochiltree Oldham	001	3,525	o 26	1,102 428	2,372 79		28 4
	001	541 20.769	2,045			4 79	
Orange		20,768	,	15,740	2,108		796
Palo Pinto	003	7,172	179	4,627	2,195	23	148
Panola	004	5,913	957 403	3,812	971	18	155
Parker	003	32,597	403	25,021	6,039	206	928
Parmer	001	3,335	16	786 604	2,520 3,302	1	12
Pecos	009	3,967	19	604	3,302 2,077	8	34
Polk	005	9,774	1,017	6,143	2,077	242	295
Potter	001	34,841	3,203	11,287	17,505	124	2,722
Presidio	010	2,215	10	188	1,971	3	43
Rains	004	2,379	45	1,887	371	25	51
Randall	001	32,138	960	20,823	8,896	136	1,323
Reagan	009	1,004	9	201	782	0	12

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Real	008	595	3	323	258	5	6
Red River	004	2,700	492	1,735	369	17	87
Reeves	009	3,126	45	290	2,743	3	45
Refugio	011	1,710	94	549	1,041	0	26
Roberts	001	241	0	213	27	0	1
Robertson	007	4,243	948	1,950	1,240	10	95
Rockwall	003	26,244	1,625	17,165	5,950	114	1,390
Runnels	002	2,576	38	1,276	1,231	8	23
Rusk	004	12,988	1,884	7,329	3,261	57	457
Sabine	005	2,022	177	1,626	145	6	68
San Augustine	005	1,810	487	1,047	234	2	40
San Jacinto	005	6,435	657	4,252	1,317	16	193
San Patricio	011	17,858	203	5,385	11,822	37	411
San Saba	007	1,212	5	656	534	8	9
Schleicher	009	1,132	12	582	532	0	6
Scurry	002	4,460	93	1,895	2,375	6	91
Shackelford	002	803	6	659	117	0	21
Shelby	005	6,856	1,204	3,616	1,914	13	109
Sherman	003	854	2	338	504	4	6
Smith	004	56,163	10,485	26,695	16,396	149	2,438
Somervell	003	2,203	28	1,425	672	10	68
Starr	011	20,650	2	744	19,860	5	39
Stephens	002	2,265	35	1,425	760	10	35
Sterling	002	2,203	3	156	105	5	8
Stonewall	009	320	9	221	76	0	14
Sutton	002	1,112	0	319	786	0	7
Swisher	009	2,025	120	746	1,106	11	42
Tarrant	001	529,400	84 , 549	195,355	202,907	1,897	44,692
	003		2,702	17,328		99	1,757
Taylor Terrell	002	33,477 213	2,702 0	85	11,591 125	0	3
Terry	009	3,378	100	1,087	2,150	7	34
Throckmorton	001	3,376	3	260	2,130 55		5 5
Titus	002	10,095	764	3,233	5,821	0 45	232
Tom Green	004		838	•	13,675	43 84	874
Travis	009	27,232	21,830	11,761 98,564	129,034	594	
		274,241	321		129,03 4 445	16	24,219 76
Trinity	005 005	3, 000	389	2,142	228	21	114
Tyler		4,170		3,418			
Upshur	004	9,982	827	7,315	1,328	51	461
Upton	009	963	12	343	591	11	6
Uvalde	008	7,748	32	1,260	6,357	21	78
Val Verde	008	15,133	134	1,638	13,163	23	175
Van Zandt	004	12,704	336	9,687	2,224	96 37	361
Victoria	008	23,319	1,409	7,598	13,583	37	692
Walker	006	11,681	2,522	5,436	3,071	43	609
Waller	006	11,991	2,210	3,867	5,633	25	256
Ward	009	2,893	149	970	1,708	11	55 250
Washington	007	7,696	1,546	3,802	2,077	13	258
Webb	011	92,593	131	2,130	89,818	16	498

Texas Child Population (Age 0-17) by Race/Ethnicity:* Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
W/I	007	11 151	1 111	2.472	F 074	0	157
Wharton	006	11,154	1,444	3,673	5,871	9	157
Wheeler	001	1,372	37	672	631	1	31
Wichita	002	31,177	3,195	17,329	8,500	321	1,832
Wilbarger	002	3,466	284	1,643	1,412	28	99
Willacy	011	6,085	19	379	5,648	7	32
Williamson	007	137,516	8,780	69,954	45,476	395	12,911
Wilson	008	11,834	127	5,844	5,659	22	182
Winkler	009	2,129	32	640	1,408	8	41
Wise	003	16,317	108	10,986	4,746	97	380
Wood	004	8,810	410	6,504	1,578	41	277
Yoakum	001	2,597	10	679	1,877	5	26
Young	002	4,524	47	3,025	1,340	21	91
Zapata	011	5,076	2	109	4,955	0	10
Zavala	008	3,701	13	123	3,557	1	7
State Total		7,266,760	835,497	2,343,432	3,610,544	20,500	456,787

Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

^{**} Other includes anyone not categorized as African American, Anglo, Hispanic or Native American

		Initial Intakes	Child Abuse/	CPS Workers Responsible		Investigation initiation in completed		ne
County	Region	Alleging	Neglect Reports	for Intake or	Pri	ority I		ority II
,		Abuse/ Neglect	Assigned for Investigation	Investigation by Office Location	Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Anderson	004	643	543	7	125	4	302	6
Andrews	009	179	144	2	21	3	82	11
Angelina	005	1,044	868	10	120	12	514	24
Aransas	011	385	338	0	77	3	188	4
Archer	002	75	68	0	12	0	43	3
Armstrong	001	10	9	0	6	0	8	0
Atascosa	008	601	482	5	85	1	308	13
Austin	006	248	208	2	29	1	110	9
Bailey	001	66	60	0	14	3	28	4
Bandera	008	189	146	0	20	6	83	19
Bastrop	007	1,045	882	9	104	3	542	19
Baylor	002	56	54	0	3	0	30	1
Bee	011	447	380	5	99	2	181	10
Bell	007	4,671	4,142	44	802	46	2,114	198
Bexar	008	22,084	17,215	189	3,491	113	9,407	547
Blanco	007	94	85	0	13	0	30	2
Borden	007	7	4	0	0	Ö	1	0
Bosque	007	168	144	0	31	1	107	2
Bowie	007	1,188	988	11	163	13	543	44
Brazoria	004	2,565	2,266	22	355	36	940	298
	007			13	234	10	709	23
Brazos		1,357 63	1,181 57		234 15		27	
Brewster	010			1		0		8
Briscoe	001	20	16	0	4	0	6 58	0
Brooks	011	128	107	0	24	2		10
Brown	002	431	351	6	65	6	234	33
Burleson	007	239	208	0	40	3	117	7
Burnet	007	584	512	7	95	2	287	25
Caldwell	007	538	412	5	44	1	280	10
Calhoun	008	224	188	3	35	1	132	0
Callahan	002	135	112	0	16	0	97	2
Cameron	011	4,737	3,839	45	904	14	2,288	44
Camp	004	163	141	0	16	0	89	2
Carson	001	61	47	0	8	2	18	2 5
Cass	004	349	287	5	48	3	186	
Castro	001	64	57	0	11	2	22	7
Chambers	006	298	242	0	47	1	137	7
Cherokee	004	605	537	6	102	2	303	9
Childress	001	51	44	4	18	0	16	6
Clay	002	78	68	0	14	0	47	2
Cochran	001	33	29	0	8	0	9	7
Coke	009	39	34	0	4	0	27	2
Coleman	002	108	87	0	16	3	49	4
Collin	003	4,652	3,978	47	680	23	2,119	347
Collingsworth	001	35	26	0	5	0	13	2
Colorado	006	146	130	1	28	1	75	5
Comal	008	1,135	895	9	149	9	604	90
Comanche	002	147	127	0	22	0	98	4
Concho	009	33	30	0	4	1	15	0

		Initial Intakes	Child Abuse/	CPS Workers Responsible		Investigation initiat		me
County	Region	Alleging	Neglect Reports	for Intake or	Pric	ority I		ority II
,		Abuse/ Neglect	Assigned for Investigation	Investigation by Office Location	Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Cooke	003	524	488	6	83	1	270	18
Coryell	007	1,005	902	11	152	11	479	39
Cottle	002	29	26	0	2	0	9	0
Crane	009	33	19	0	2	0	14	1
Crockett	009	46	44	0	6	0	30	2
Crosby	001	95	83	0	10	Ö	46	7
Culberson	010	33	29	0	6	2	13	5
Dallam	001	101	82	0	11	5	36	10
Dallas	003	22,536	19,960	215	3,334	294	8,822	2,072
Dawson	009	199	161	0	25	6	89	37
Deaf Smith	001	202	183	3	28	6	73	17
Delta	004	45	36	0	7	0	23	2
Denton	003	4,556	3,897	42	612	17	2,425	122
DeWitt	008	238	180	3	33	1	113	7
Dickens	001	35	32	0	2	2	19	3
Dimmit	001	136	126	0	26	2	68	8
Donley	001	46	40	0	6	2	16	4
Duval	011	121	100	0	30	0	44	11
Eastland	002	220	192	4	30	0	133	6
Eastiand	002	1,980	1,612	4 17	212	22	825	141
Edwards	009	1,980	9	0	212	0		2
Ellis				13	196	21	4 667	95
Ell Paso	003 010	1,347	1,178	69	911			330
		7,466 330	6,239			16	3,603	
Erath	003		295	3	46	2	152	8
Falls	007	182	162	0	33	2	88	6
Fannin	003	304	281	4	56	1	159	14
Fayette	007	212	176	1	12	0	109	2
Fisher	002	46	33	0	10	0	19	4
Floyd	001	59	54	0	9	0	37	5
<u>Foard</u>	002	9	7	0	2	0	4	0
Fort Bend	006	2,837	2,404	33	396	48	1,205	339
Franklin	004	87	73	1	14	2	33	6
Freestone	007	169	153	0	31	3	91	10
Frio	008	262	218	3	35	1	130	3
Gaines	009	124	108	0	10	4	64	7
Galveston	006	3,250	2,749	25	432	56	1,065	379
Garza	001	53	50	0	9	0	25	3
Gillespie	008	160	136	0	33	2	70	7
Glasscock	009	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
Goliad	008	75	49	0	8	0	40	1
Gonzales	008	235	176	1	26	3	96	32
Gray	001	342	308	4	64	5	153	17
Grayson	003	1,503	1,334	16	293	10	715	88
Gregg	004	1,313	1,171	14	244	5	671	16
Grimes	007	262	235	0	53	0	103	15
Guadalupe	008	1,515	1,118	11	192	8	721	35
Hale	001	368	332	6	65	5	174	15
Hall	001	25	21	0	5	0	17	1

		Initial Intakes	Child Abuse/	CPS Workers Responsible		Investigation initiation in completed		ne
County	Region	Alleging Abuse/	Neglect Reports	for Intake or Investigation	Pri	ority I	Pric	ority II
		Neglect	Assigned for Investigation	by Office Location	Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Hamilton	007	85	71	2	17	0	40	2
Hansford	001	44	37	0	6	0	11	1
Hardeman	002	50	43	0	10	0	23	0
Hardin	005	636	564	5	84	13	356	30
Harris	006	33,514	27,404	295	5,170	759	8,745	5,983
Harrison	004	709	624	7	158	5	289	23
Hartley	001	14	11	0	3	2	3	3
Haskell	002	64	57	2	4	0	28	1
Hays	007	1,331	1,054	12	148	1	775	14
Hemphill	001	33	28	0	2	1	11	1
Henderson	004	1,015	890	8	179	9	444	46
Hidalgo	011	8,287	7,201	86	1,850	35	4, 060	54
Hill	007	370	307	4	50	3	219	6
Hockley	001	273	248	3	29	4	129	27
Hood	003	725	653	6	109	4	325	31
Hopkins	004	382	348	7	56	1	206	8
Houston	005	239	199	2	47	3	97	4
Howard	009	392	329	4	67	17	180	58
Hudspeth	010	27	25	0	4	0	10	3
Hunt	003	1,126	1,015	12	164	10	497	56
Hutchinson	001	315	282	2	41	7	133	14
Irion	009	10	8	0	0	0	10	0
Jack	002	85	66	0	6	0	54	5
Jackson	008	134	90	0	16	1	74	2
Jasper	005	401	327	6	61	1	227	19
Jeff Davis	010	9	9	0	3	0	7	0
Jefferson	005	2,533	2,320	25	472	24	1,385	93
Jim Hogg	011	83	77	0	17	2	44	0
Jim Wells	011	569	467	7	98	5	222	25
Johnson	003	1,925	1,695	17	283	6	877	72
Jones	002	253	220	0	14	2	121	14
Karnes	008	170	124	0	19	2	67	22
Kaufman	003	1,037	886	10	158	16	431	59
Kendall	008	225	159	2	19	9	116	18
Kenedy	011	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	8	8	0	2	0	5	0
Kerr	008	638	515	8	109	10	282	47
Kimble	009	54	46	0	10	1	25	2
King	001	2	2	0	1	0	0	0
Kinney	008	27	24	0	4	1	14	2
Kleberg	011	346	291	5	67	5	141	28
Knox	002	33	29	0	5	0	11	3
Lamar	004	715	605	5	83	8	331	57
Lamb	001	151	143	1	19	6	61	10
Lampasas	007	269	243	1	46	3	122	10
La Salle	008	73	60	0	17	0	41	4
Lavaca	008	148	123	1	21	2	63	13
Lee	007	166	126	1	19	0	90	7

		Initial Intakes	Child Abuse/	CPS Workers Responsible		Investigation initiation in completed		me
County	Region	Alleging Abuse/	Neglect Reports	for Intake or	Pric	ority I	Pri	iority II
		Neglect	Assigned for Investigation	Investigation by Office Location	Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Leon	007	157	134	2	21	3	91	4
Liberty	006	1,029	854	8	131	13	488	61
Limestone	007	290	263	3	42	8	135	8
Lipscomb	001	15	11	0	0	0	11	1
Live Oak	011	130	117	0	22	4	47	1
Llano	007	227	200	0	51	2	124	9
Loving	009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	3,328	2,970	32	537	39	1,600	162
Lynn	001	69	60	0	9	0	32	5
Madison	007	122	114	1	17	4	63	4
Marion	004	132	119	0	26	0	63	4
Martin	009	33	26	0	9	0	9	3
Mason	009	46	39	0	5	0	22	1
Matagorda	006	386	298	3	57	9	171	36
Maverick	008	343	296	3	77	2	151	1
McCulloch	009	122	112	3	16	0	71	1
McLennan	007	2,968	2,624	26	450	24	1,500	81
McMullen	011	9	8	0	3	0	6	0
Medina	008	426	347	7	54	1	230	13
Menard	009	13	11	0	1	0	12	0
Midland	009	1,221	975	12	101	22	608	110
Milam	007	290	237	1	34	3	152	8
Mills	007	48	43	0	12	1	25	3
Mitchell	002	115	105	0	21	3	53	8
Montague	002	215	195	4	22	2	138	13
Montgomery	006	4,114	3,357	34	432	15	1,706	295
Moore	001	225	207	3	35	10	89	28
Morris	004	150	133	0	20	0	118	1
Motley	001	7	6	0	0	0	2	2
<u>Nacogdoches</u>	005	581	455	5	72	5	258	11
Navarro	003	524	474	6	91	7	244	40
Newton	005	109	94	0	12	2	58	1
Nolan	002	323	279	4	60	5	159	13
Nueces	011	4,976	4,405	45	962	41	2,139	236
Ochiltree	001	112	97	0	10	0	55	5
Oldham	001	18	10	0	1	0	6	0
Orange	005	1,266	1,069	12	147	10	694	32
Palo Pinto	003	493	440	6	97	4	201	13
Panola	004	255	230	2	41	1	139	2
<u>Parker</u>	003	1,147	1,038	12	206	8	626	48
Parmer	001	39	34	0	3	2	27	3
Pecos	009	150	108	1	16	1	71	6
Polk	005	618	530	7	90	4	323	25 75
Potter	001	2,182	1,904	35	356	32	993	75
Presidio	010	24	24	1	8	2	5	1
Rains	004	124	108	0	15	0	67	1
Randall	001	1,056	913	0	163	10	581	54
Reagan	009	22	20	0	4	0	16	1

		Initial Intakes	Child Abuse/	CPS Workers Responsible		Investigation initiation in completed		ne
County	Region	Alleging Abuse/	Neglect Reports	for Intake or Investigation	Pric	ority I	Pric	ority II
		Neglect	Assigned for Investigation	by Office Location	Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours
Real	008	29	23	0	5	0	18	1
Red River	004	154	116	1	12	0	84	11
Reeves	009	105	73	1	12	0	53	4
Refugio	011	83	75	0	14	2	31	6
Roberts	001	5	5	0	0	0	4	0
Robertson	007	143	128	1	27	2	67	3
Rockwall	003	510	438	3	69	2	207	27
Runnels	002	130	104	0	8	3	56	8
Rusk	004	499	452	5	89	0	251	9
Sabine	005	78	63	1	8	2	66	0
San Augustine	005	77	64	0	13	1	41	1
San Jacinto	005	347	287	1	58	1	196	19
San Patricio	011	1,024	906	12	178	7	539	28
San Saba	007	92	86	0	13	0	44	
								1
Schleicher	009	42	38	0	4	1	18	1
Scurry	002	240	211	0	48	1	133	4
Shackelford	002	46	39	0	5	0	19	2
Shelby	005	243	198	2	33	4	114	12
Sherman	001	9	8	0	2	0	6	1
Smith	004	1,837	1,546	20	335	11	927	25
Somervell	003	74	65	0	15	0	38	0
Starr	011	421	380	7	103	2	192	2
Stephens	002	115	103	1	8	0	68	0
Sterling	009	11	8	0	2	0	8	1
Stonewall	002	12	12	0	3	0	6	1
Sutton	009	30	27	0	2	0	15	0
Swisher	001	90	81	1	20	6	41	2
Tarrant	003	18,916	16,741	198	3,133	168	8,584	1,173
Taylor	002	2,316	1,885	20	311	25	1,152	91
Terrell	009	9	3	0	0	0	2	1
Terry	001	151	136	2	32	2	83	11
Throckmorton	002	17	15	0	1	0	8	0
Titus	004	271	225	3	29	3	130	14
Tom Green	009	1,518	1,366	15	239	13	839	49
Travis	007	11,797	10,134	98	1,075	25	5,814	237
Trinity	005	174	151	3	33	1	71	9
Tyler	005	282	251	2	29	6	166	25
Upshur	003	483	422	7	49	1	281	9
Upton	009	37	30	0	3	0	18	4
	009	323	279	4	67		161	9
Uvalde						2		
Val Verde	008	313	264	3	51	2	129	10
Van Zandt	004	609	538	7	105	11	273	33
Victoria	008	1,058	845	9	156	3	540	15
Walker	006	498	417	2	49	1	227	27
Waller	006	294	265	3	61	3	138	27
Ward	009	148	107	2	16	2	85	3
Washington	007	249	214	4	46	6	124	7
Webb	011	2,673	2,310	24	574	21	1,063	68

		Initial Intakes	Child Abuse/	CPS Workers Responsible		Investigation initiation response time in completed investigations					
County	Region	Alleging Abuse/	Neglect Reports	for Intake or Investigation	Pri	ority I	Priority II				
		Neglect	Assigned for Investigation	by Office Location	Within 24 Hours	Over 24 Hours	Within 72 Hours	Over 72 Hours			
Wharton	006	362	304	4	57	3	183	27			
Wheeler	001	49	41	0	4	0	22	1			
Wichita	002	1,949	1,705	18	286	8	1,097	47			
Wilbarger	002	135	120	3	21	1	55	1			
Willacy	011	318	269	1	60	2	164	2			
Williamson	007	3,610	2,756	28	427	11	1,704	99			
Wilson	008	383	312	3	39	4	171	30			
Winkler	009	128	89	0	4	0	63	5			
Wise	003	636	548	7	93	10	251	14			
Wood	004	489	435	4	59	7	204	18			
Yoakum	001	59	53	0	8	0	29	7			
Young	002	251	226	4	18	0	127	25			
Zapata	011	124	114	0	40	4	64	1			
Zavala	008	178	152	3	36	3	71	4			
Unknown		7	4	0	0	0	0	0			
Out of State		126	73	0	9	0	20	3			
SWI		0	0	323	0	0	0	0			
State Total		254,276	215,512	2,647	38,662	2,568	110,523	16,411			

Percent Priority one calls responded to within 24 hours = 93.8% Percent Priority two calls responded to within 72 Hours = 87.1%

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (1), (3), (8) and (9) respectively.

			Confirmed I	nvestigations		Unco	nfirmed Investi	igations	
County	Region	Total Completed	Total Confirmed	Percent Confirmed	Ruled Out	Unable to Determine	Unable to Complete	Total Unconfirmed	Percent Unconfirmed
Anderson	004	437	121	27.7%	280	32	4	316	72.3%
Andrews	009	117	25	21.4%	73	17	2	92	78.6%
Angelina	005	670	183	27.3%	383	100	4	487	72.7%
Aransas	011	272	85	31.3%	162	18	7	187	68.8%
Archer	002	58	20	34.5%	33	5	0	38	65.5%
Armstrong	001	14	4	28.6%	6	3	1	10	71.4%
Atascosa	008	407	114	28.0%	280	13	0	293	72.0%
Austin	006	149	28	18.8%	103	15	3	121	81.2%
Bailey	001	49	16	32.7%	33	0	0	33	67.3%
Bandera	008	128	36	28.1%	82	10	ő	92	71.9%
Bastrop	007	668	176	26.3%	434	51	7	492	73.7%
Baylor	002	34	8	23.5%	19	6	1	26	76.5%
Bee	011	292	83	28.4%	185	19	5	209	71.6%
Bell	007	3,160	664	21.0%	2,361	99	36	2,496	79.0%
Bexar	008	13,558	3,147	23.2%	9,419	880	112	10,411	76.8%
Blanco	007	45	19	42.2%	26	0	0	26	57.8%
Borden	007	1	0	0.0%	1	0	0	1	100.0%
Bosque	007	141	35	24.8%	97	9	0	106	75.2%
Bowie	007	763	163	21.4%	467	105	28	600	78.6%
Brazoria	004	1,629	325	20.0%	1,069	190	45	1,304	80.0%
Brazos	007	976	195	20.0%	686	90	4 <u>5</u>	781	80.0%
Brewster	010	50	8	16.0%	39	2	1	42	84.0%
Briscoe	001	10	3	30.0%	5	2	0	7	70.0%
Brooks	011	94	25	26.6%	61	8	0	69	73.4%
Brown	002	338	23 99	29.3%	217	21	1	239	70.7%
Burleson	002	336 167	40	24.0%	117	9	1	127	76.0%
		409	113	27.6%	275	20	1	296	76.0% 72.4%
Burnet Caldwell	007 007	335	83	24.8%	216	28	8	252	
								252 96	75.2%
Calhoun	008	168	72	42.9%	86	8 9	2 3		57.1%
<u>Callahan</u>	002	115	29	25.2%	74			86	74.8%
Cameron	011	3,250	803	24.7%	2,169	254	24	2,447	75.3%
Camp	004	107	21	19.6%	79	6	1	86	80.4%
Carson	001	30	11	36.7%	14	4	1	19	63.3%
Cass	004	242	68	28.1%	141	21	12	174	71.9%
Castro	001	42	8	19.0%	27	5	2	34	81.0%
Chambers	006	192	52	27.1%	121	15	4	140	72.9%
Cherokee	004	416	117	28.1%	262	36	1	299	71.9%
Childress	001	40	11	27.5%	21	7	1	29	72.5%
Clay	002	63	19	30.2%	35	8	1	44	69.8%
Cochran	001	24	6	25.0%	16	2	0	18	75.0%
Coke	009	33	5	15.2%	23	5	0	28	84.8%
Coleman	002	72	22	30.6%	47	3	0	50	69.4%
Collin	003	3,169	868	27.4%	1,608	602	91	2,301	72.6%
Collingsworth	001	20	7	35.0%	10	1	2	13	65.0%
Colorado	006	109	21	19.3%	85	3	0	88	80.7%
Comal	008	852	254	29.8%	525	62	11	598	70.2%
Comanche	000	124	22	25.007	81	0	2	02	74.00/
Concho	002 009	124 20	32 5	25.8% 25.0%	15	8	3	92 15	74.2% 75.0%

			Confirmed I	nvestigations	Unconfirmed Investigations					
County	Region	Total Completed	Total Confirmed	Percent Confirmed	Ruled Out	Unable to Determine	Unable to Complete	Total Unconfirmed	Percent Unconfirmed	
Cooke	003	372	117	31.5%	209	37	9	255	68.5%	
Coryell	007	681	162	23.8%	484	25	10	519	76.2%	
Cottle	002	11	4	36.4%	7	0	0	7	63.6%	
Crane	009	17	3	17.6%	14	0	0	14	82.4%	
Crockett	009	38	14	36.8%	22	2	0	24	63.2%	
Crosby	001	63	27	42.9%	36	0	0	36	57.1%	
Culberson	010	26	7	26.9%	18	1	0	19	73.1%	
Dallam	001	62	12	19.4%	47	2	1	50	80.6%	
Dallas	003	14,522	3,659	25.2%	8,535	2,009	319	10,863	74.8%	
Dawson	009	157	51	32.5%	91	8	7	106	67.5%	
Deaf Smith	001	124	29	23.4%	91	1	3	95	76.6%	
Delta	004	32	8	25.0%	22	2	0	24	75.0%	
Denton	003	3,176	628	19.8%	2,004	486	58	2,548	80.2%	
DeWitt	008	154	34	22.1%	97	23	0	120	77.9%	
Dickens	001	26	9	34.6%	16	1	0	17	65.4%	
Dimmit	008	104	25	24.0%	71	8	0	79	76.0%	
Donley	001	28	11	39.3%	14	3	0	17	60.7%	
Duval	011	85	16	18.8%	63	6	0	69	81.2%	
Eastland	002	169	47	27.8%	104	14	4	122	72.2%	
Ector	009	1,200	294	24.5%	811	76	19	906	75.5%	
Edwards	008	8	3	37.5%	5	0	0	5	62.5%	
Ellis	003	979	225	23.0%	574	166	14	754	77.0%	
El Paso	010	4,860	1,310	27.0%	3,042	448	60	3,550	73.0%	
Erath	003	208	53	25.5%	122	30	3	155	74.5%	
Falls	007	129	26	20.2%	92	11	0	103	79.8%	
Fannin	003	230	74	32.2%	124	29	3	156	67.8%	
Fayette	007	123	27	22.0%	85	11	0	96	78.0%	
Fisher	002	33	7	21.2%	25	0	1	26	78.8%	
Floyd	001	51	10	19.6%	36	4	1	41	80.4%	
Foard	002	6	1	16.7%	2	2	1	5	83.3%	
Fort Bend	006	1,988	371	18.7%	1,226	346	45	1,617	81.3%	
Franklin	004	55	11	20.0%	42	2	0	44	80.0%	
Freestone	007	135	21	15.6%	103	10	1	114	84.4%	
Frio	008	169	41	24.3%	124	4	0	128	75.7%	
Gaines	009	85	19	22.4%	54	10	2	66	77.6%	
Galveston	006	1,932	322	16.7%	1,292	244	74	1,610	83.3%	
Garza	001	37	13	35.1%	20	3	1	24	64.9%	
Gillespie	008	112	19	17.0%	82	10	1	93	83.0%	
Glasscock	009	2	0	0.0%	2	0	0	2	100.0%	
Goliad	008	49	13	26.5%	33	3	0	36	73.5%	
Gonzales	008	157	36	22.9%	106	13	2	121	77.1%	
Gray	001	239	62	25.9%	129	36	12	177	74.1%	
Grayson	003	1,106	346	31.3%	581	154	25	760	68.7%	
Gregg	004	936	306	32.7%	492	126	12	630	67.3%	
Grimes	007	171	48	28.1%	106	12	5	123	71.9%	
Guadalupe	008	956	194	20.3%	679	76	7	762	79.7%	
Hale	001	259	97	37.5%	137	23	2	162	62.5%	
Hall	001	23	5	21.7%	15	3	0	18	78.3%	

			Confirmed I	nvestigations	Unconfirmed Investigations					
County	Region	Total Completed	Total Confirmed	Percent Confirmed	Ruled Out	Unable to Determine	Unable to Complete	Total Unconfirmed	Percent Unconfirmed	
Hamilton	007	59	11	18.6%	40	4	4	48	81.4%	
Hansford	001	18	4	22.2%	13	1	0	14	77.8%	
Hardeman	002	33	13	39.4%	15	4	1	20	60.6%	
Hardin	005	483	109	22.6%	333	37	4	374	77.4%	
Harris	006	20,657	3,987	19.3%	13,645	2,211	814	16,670	80.7%	
Harrison	004	475	141	29.7%	284	43	7	334	70.3%	
Hartley	001	11	3	27.3%	8	0	0	8	72.7%	
Haskell	002	33	15	45.5%	16	2	0	18	54.5%	
Hays	007	938	227	24.2%	606	95	10	711	75.8%	
Hemphill	001	15	6	40.0%	8	1	0	9	60.0%	
Henderson	004	678	215	31.7%	379	69	15	463	68.3%	
Hidalgo	011	5,999	1,191	19.9%	4,230	533	45	4,808	80.1%	
Hill	007	278	85	30.6%	174	17	2	193	69.4%	
Hockley	001	189	64	33.9%	110	11	4	125	66.1%	
Hood	003	469	151	32.2%	268	46	4	318	67.8%	
Hopkins	004	271	56	20.7%	191	22	2	215	79.3%	
Houston	005	151	50	33.1%	88	12	1	101	66.9%	
Howard	009	322	105	32.6%	179	13	25	217	67.4%	
Hudspeth	010	17	8	47.1%	9	0	0	9	52.9%	
Hunt	003	727	203	27.9%	402	100	22	524	72.1%	
Hutchinson	001	195	53	27.2%	129	9	4	142	72.8%	
Irion	009	10	2	20.0%	8	0	Ö	8	80.0%	
Jack	002	65	20	30.8%	40	4	1	45	69.2%	
Jackson	008	93	33	35.5%	52	8	0	60	64.5%	
Jasper	005	308	59	19.2%	197	50	2	249	80.8%	
Jeff Davis	010	10	1	10.0%	7	1	1	9	90.0%	
Jefferson	005	1,974	405	20.5%	1,351	159	59	1,569	79.5%	
Jim Hogg	011	63	16	25.4%	45	1	1	47	74.6%	
Jim Wells	011	350	56	16.0%	273	18	3	294	84.0%	
Johnson	003	1,238	359	29.0%	706	160	13	879	71.0%	
Jones	002	151	43	28.5%	81	26	1	108	71.5%	
Karnes	008	110	24	21.8%	75	10	1	86	78.2%	
Kaufman	003	664	197	29.7%	346	110	11	467	70.3%	
Kendall	008	162	41	25.3%	114	6	1	121	74.7%	
Kenedy	011	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Kent	002	7	2	28.6%	5	0	0	5	71.4%	
Kerr	008	448	118	26.3%	298	32	0	330	73.7%	
Kimble	009	38	7	18.4%	26	4	1	31	81.6%	
King	001	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Kinney	008	21	0	0.0%	19	1	1	21	100.0%	
Kleberg	011	241	71	29.5%	151	11	8	170	70.5%	
Knox	002	19	7	36.8%	7	5	0	12	63.2%	
Lamar	004	479	124	25.9%	315	31	9	355	74.1%	
Lamb	001	96	30	31.3%	60	2	4	66	68.8%	
Lampasas	007	181	47	26.0%	121	10	3	134	74.0%	
La Salle	008	62	16	25.8%	44	2	0	46	74.2%	
Lavaca	008	99	22	22.2%	63	13	1	77	77.8%	
Lee	007	116	28	24.1%	82	6	0	88	75.9%	

			Confirmed I	nvestigations	Unconfirmed Investigations					
County	Region	Total Completed	Total Confirmed	Percent Confirmed	Ruled Out	Unable to Determine	Unable to Complete	Total Unconfirmed	Percent Unconfirmed	
Leon	007	119	29	24.4%	78	12	0	90	75.6%	
Liberty	006	693	203	29.3%	423	53	14	490	70.7%	
Limestone	007	193	51	26.4%	136	5	1	142	73.6%	
Lipscomb	001	12	0	0.0%	10	1	1	12	100.0%	
Live Oak	011	74	28	37.8%	41	5	0	46	62.2%	
Llano	007	186	53	28.5%	122	9	2	133	71.5%	
Loving	009	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Lubbock	001	2,338	722	30.9%	1,391	156	69	1,616	69.1%	
Lynn	001	46	19	41.3%	25	2	0	27	58.7%	
Madison	007	88	15	17.0%	59	12	2	73	83.0%	
Marion	004	93	20	21.5%	54	15	4	73	78.5%	
Martin	009	21	5	23.8%	14	2	0	16	76.2%	
Mason	009	28	3	10.7%	24	0	1	25	89.3%	
Matagorda	006	273	70	25.6%	172	20	11	203	74.4%	
Maverick	008	231	20	8.7%	203	8	0	211	91.3%	
McCulloch	009	88	23	26.1%	56	8	1	65	73.9%	
McLennan	007	2,055	548	26.7%	1,389	104	14	1,507	73.3%	
McMullen	011	, 9	5	55.6%	4	0	0	4	44.4%	
Medina	008	298	71	23.8%	201	26	0	227	76.2%	
Menard	009	13	4	30.8%	6	3	0	9	69.2%	
Midland	009	841	186	22.1%	596	36	23	655	77.9%	
Milam	007	197	63	32.0%	124	9	1	134	68.0%	
Mills	007	41	7	17.1%	30	3	1	34	82.9%	
Mitchell	002	85	19	22.4%	61	1	4	66	77.6%	
Montague	002	175	60	34.3%	101	13	1	115	65.7%	
Montgomery	006	2,448	365	14.9%	1,761	261	61	2,083	85.1%	
Moore	001	162	29	17.9%	124	4	5	133	82.1%	
Morris	004	139	20	14.4%	99	16	4	119	85.6%	
Motley	001	4	1	25.0%	2	1	0	3	75.0%	
<u>Nacogdoches</u>	005	346	72	20.8%	246	27	1	274	79.2%	
Navarro	003	382	75	19.6%	260	45	2	307	80.4%	
Newton	005	73	12	16.4%	52	8	1	61	83.6%	
Nolan	002	237	56	23.6%	157	14	10	181	76.4%	
Nueces	011	3,378	789	23.4%	2,174	277	138	2,589	76.6%	
Ochiltree	001	70	16	22.9%	42	9	3	54	77.1%	
Oldham	001	7	0	0.0%	7	0	0	7	100.0%	
Orange	005	883	186	21.1%	592	87	18	697	78.9%	
Palo Pinto	003	315	122	38.7%	166	25	2	193	61.3%	
Panola	004	183	63	34.4%	106	11	3	120	65.6%	
Parker	003	888	223	25.1%	566	82	17	665	74.9%	
Parmer	001	35	13	37.1%	22	0	0	22	62.9%	
Pecos	009	94	27	28.7%	59	8	0	67	71.3%	
Polk	005	442	93	21.0%	313	33	3	349	79.0%	
Potter	001	1,456	434	29.8%	836	146	40	1,022	70.2%	
Presidio	010	16	5	31.3%	9	1	1	11	68.8%	
Rains	004	83	19	22.9%	55	7	2	64	77.1%	
Randall	001	808	223	27.6%	487	84	14	585	72.4%	
Reagan	009	21	2	9.5%	18	1	0	19	90.5%	

			Confirmed I	nvestigations	Unconfirmed Investigations					
County	Region	Total Completed	Total Confirmed	Percent Confirmed	Ruled Out	Unable to Determine	Unable to Complete	Total Unconfirmed	Percent Unconfirmed	
Real	008	24	2	8.3%	21	1	0	22	91.7%	
Red River	004	107	28	26.2%	71	3	5	79	73.8%	
Reeves	009	69	20	29.0%	39	10	0	49	71.0%	
Refugio	011	53	20	37.7%	32	1	0	33	62.3%	
Roberts	001	4	0	0.0%	4	0	0	4	100.0%	
Robertson	007	99	17	17.2%	73	9	0	82	82.8%	
Rockwall	003	305	58	19.0%	198	46	3	247	81.0%	
Runnels	002	75	19	25.3%	54	1	1	56	74.7%	
Rusk	004	349	108	30.9%	209	29	3	241	69.1%	
Sabine	005	76	13	17.1%	44	18	1	63	82.9%	
San Augustine	005	56	11	19.6%	33	12	0	45	80.4%	
San Jacinto	005	274	51	18.6%	195	22	6	223	81.4%	
San Patricio	011	752	198	26.3%	509	33	12	554	73.7%	
San Saba	007	58	22	37.9%	30	6	0	36	62.1%	
Schleicher	007	24	6	25.0%	17	1	0	18	75.0%	
Scurry	002	186	47	25.3%	122	14	3	139	74.7%	
Shackelford	002	26	8	30.8%	14	4	0	18	69.2%	
Shelby	002	163	46	28.2%	97	19	1	117	71.8%	
Sherman	003	9	2	22.2%	7	0	0	7	77.8%	
Smith	004	1,298	338	26.0%	815	122	23	960	74.0%	
Somervell	003	53	12	22.6%	32	8	<u>23</u> 1	41	77.4%	
Starr	011	299	97	32.4%	165	33	4	202	67.6%	
Stephens	002	76	22	28.9%	51	3	0	202 54	71.1%	
Sterling	002	11	4	36.4%	6	1	0	7	63.6%	
Stonewall	002	10	4	40.0%	6	0	0	6	60.0%	
Sutton	002	17	2	11.8%	11	3	1	15	88.2%	
Swisher	009	69	26	37.7%	38	5	0	43	62.3%	
Tarrant	001	13,058	3,731	28.6%	7,132	1,888	307	9,327	71.4%	
Taylor	003	1,579	530	33.6%	910	123	16	1,049	66.4%	
Terrell	002	3	1	33.3%	1	123	0	2	66.7%	
Terry	009	128	50	39.1%	74	3	1	78	60.9%	
Throckmorton		9	2	22.2%	6	1	0	78	77.8%	
Titus	004	176	31	17.6%	136	8	1	145	82.4%	
Tom Green	009	1,140	355	31.1%	666	102	17	785	68.9%	
Travis	007	7,151	1,434	20.1%	5,195	391	131	5,717	79.9%	
Trinity	007	114	31	27.2%	76	6	1	83	72.8%	
Tyler	005	226	48	21.2%	161	15	2	178	78.8%	
Upshur	003	340	73	21.5%	233	25	9	267	78.5%	
Upton	004	25	4	16.0%	18	3	0	21	84.0%	
Uvalde	009	239	51	21.3%	176	12	0	188	78.7%	
Val Verde	008	192	31	16.1%	153	5	3	161	83.9%	
Van Zandt	008	422	120	28.4%	253	35	14	302	71.6%	
	004	422 714	174	24.4%	487	33 46	7	540	75.6%	
Victoria	008	304		24.4%	487 194	46 35	7	236		
Walker	006		68 40				1		77.6%	
Waller	006	229	49 33	21.4%	159	20		180	78.6%	
Washington		106	33	31.1%	63	10	0	73	68.9%	
Washington	007	183	49 276	26.8%	115	14	5	134	73.2%	
Webb	011	1,726	376	21.8%	1,171	139	40	1,350	78.2%	

		Total	Confirmed I	nvestigations		Unconfirmed Investigations					
County	Region	Completed	Total Confirmed	Percent Confirmed	Ruled Out	Unable to Determine	Unable to Complete	Total Unconfirmed	Percent Unconfirmed		
Wharton	006	270	40	14.8%	209	20	1	230	85.2%		
Wheeler	001	27	6	22.2%	11	8	2	21	77.8%		
Wichita	002	1,438	413	28.7%	819	198	8	1,025	71.3%		
Wilbarger	002	78	21	26.9%	42	14	1	57	73.1%		
Willacy	011	228	79	34.6%	128	18	3	149	65.4%		
Williamson	007	2,241	457	20.4%	1,646	117	21	1,784	79.6%		
Wilson	008	244	44	18.0%	176	23	1	200	82.0%		
Winkler	009	72	19	26.4%	51	2	0	53	73.6%		
Wise	003	368	102	27.7%	222	40	4	266	72.3%		
Wood	004	288	86	29.9%	173	25	4	202	70.1%		
Yoakum	001	44	18	40.9%	24	1	1	26	59.1%		
Young	002	170	38	22.4%	117	14	1	132	77.6%		
Zapata	011	109	32	29.4%	68	9	0	77	70.6%		
Zavala	008	114	25	21.9%	85	4	0	89	78.1%		
Out Of State	2	32	6	18.8%	14	2	10	26	81.3%		
Statewide		168,164	40,369	24.0%	107,797	16,622	3,376	127,795	76.0%		

County	Region	Not Open to Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
Anderson	004	380	32	25	437
Andrews	009	98	14	5	117
Angelina	005	591	47	32	670
Aransas	011	183	65	24	272
Archer	002	45	11	2	58
Armstrong	001	12	2	0	14
Atascosa	008	321	63	23	407
Austin	006	118	22	9	149
Bailey	001	38	8	3	49
Bandera	008	104	15	9	128
Bastrop	007	576	27	65	668
Baylor	002	27	7	0	34
Bee	011	216	49	27	292
Bell	007	2,752	197	211	3,160
Bexar	008	10,624	2,166	768	13,558
Blanco	007	32	3	10	45
Borden	009	1	0	0	1
Bosque	007	116	10	15	141
Bowie	004	698	25	40	763
Brazoria	006	1,421	158	50	1,629
Brazos	007	903	45	28	976
Brewster	010	44	6	0	50
Briscoe	001	7	2	1	10
Brooks	011	71	22	1	94
Brown	002	247	62	29	338
Burleson	007	149	6	12	167
Burnet	007	350	25	34	409
Caldwell	007	290	27	18	335
Calhoun	008	124	34	10	168
Callahan	002	101	12	2	115
Cameron	011	2,629	526	95	3,250
Camp	004	97	5	5	107
Carson	001	22	6	2	30
Cass	004	198	17	27	242
Castro	001	39	3	0	42
Chambers	006	156	24	12	192
Cherokee	004	342	49	25	416
Childress	001	35	5	0	40
Clay	002	51	8	4	63
Cochran	001	21	2	1	24
Coke	009	28	5	0	33
Coleman	002	52	18	2	72
Collin	003	2,737	317	115	3,169
Collingsworth	001	17	1	2	20
Colorado	006	92	14	3	109
Comal	008	647	141	64	852
Comanche	002	104	18	2	124
Concho	009	17	3	0	20
			-		

County	Region	Not Open to Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
Cooke	003	319	30	23	372
Coryell	007	577	50	54	681
Cottle	002	7	2	2	11
Crane	009	14	3	0	17
Crockett	009	29	6	3	38
Crosby	001	44	17	2	63
Culberson	010	21	3	2	26
Dallam	001	54	8	0	62
Dallas	003	12,173	1,399	950	14,522
Dawson	009	122	23	12	157
Deaf Smith	001	107	9	8	124
Delta	004	23	2	7	32
Denton	003	2,779	270	127	3,176
DeWitt	008	127	18	9	154
Dickens	001	19	5	2	26
Dimmit	008	82	13	9	104
Donley	001	20	8	0	28
Duval	011	62	20	3	85
Eastland	002	136	29	4	169
Ector	009	981	152	67	1,200
Edwards	008	8	0	0	8
Ellis	003	810	134	35	979
El Paso	010	3,945	807	108	4,860
Erath	003	168	36	4	208
Falls	007	113	10	6	129
Fannin	003	187	30	13	230
Fayette	007	109	6	8	123
Fisher	002	27	6	0	33
Floyd	001	42	6	3	51
Foard	002	6	0	0	6
Fort Bend	006	1,553	383	52	1,988
Franklin	004	48	2	5	55
Freestone	007	124	2	9	135
Frio	008	131	30	8	169
Gaines	009	70	13	2	85
Galveston	006	1,678	189	65	1,932
Garza	001	27	8		37
Gillespie	008	101	8	2 3	112
Glasscock	009	2	0	0	2
Goliad	008	36	11	2	49
Gonzales	008	130	19	8	157
Gray	001	193	38	8	239
Grayson	003	931	123	52	1,106
Gregg	004	768	118	50	936
Grimes	007	144	13	14	171
Guadalupe	008	844	76	36	956
Hale	001	186	52	21	259
Hall	001	21	2	0	23
- 1411	001	21	-	V	25

County	Region	Not Open to Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
Hamilton	007	54	4	1	59
Hansford	007	15	4 2	1	18
Hardeman	002	26	5	2	33
Hardin	002	447	26	10	483
Harris	006	17,611	2,170	876	20,657
Harrison	004	408	38	29	475
Hartley	004	10	0	1	11
Haskell	002	25	8	0	33
Hays	007	823	61	54	938
Hemphill	001	11	3	1	15
Henderson	004	532	90	56	678
Hidalgo	011	4,892	1,003	104	5,999
Hill	007	222	22	34	278
Hockley	001	147	32	10	189
Hood	003	367	87	15	469
Hopkins	004	231	10	30	271
Houston	005	128	14	9	151
Howard	009	254	41	27	322
Hudspeth	010	15	2	0	17
Hunt	003	575	78	74	727
Hutchinson	001	160	26	9	195
Irion	009	10	0	0	10
Jack	002	49	10	6	65
Jackson	008	69	20	4	93
Jasper	005	280	19	9	308
Jeff Davis	010	9	1	0	10
Jefferson	005	1,793	91	90	1,974
Jim Hogg	011	54	9	0	63
Jim Wells	011	286	48	16	350
Johnson	003	954	216	68	1,238
Jones	002	121	30	0	151
Karnes	008	97	10	3	110
Kaufman	003	562	63	39	664
Kendall	008	141	11	10	162
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	6	1	0	7
Kerr	008	350	66	32	448
Kimble	009	32	2	4	38
King	001	1	0	0	1
Kinney	008	20	1	0	21
Kleberg	011	192	38	11	241
Knox	002	15	3	1	19
Lamar	004	421	24	34	479
Lamb	001	75	10	11	96
Lampasas	007	148	15	18	181
La Salle	008	47	8	7	62
Lavaca	008	83	12	4	99
Lee	007	104	6	6	116

County	Region	Not Open to Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
Leon	007	104	3	12	119
Liberty	007	533	99	61	693
Limestone	007	164	13	16	193
Lipscomb	007	12	0	0	12
Live Oak	011	49	13	12	74
Llano	007	153	13	20	186
Loving	007	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	009	1,824	371	143	2,338
	001	36	9	143	2,336 46
Lynn Madison	007	80	4	4	88
Marion	007	84	4	5	93
Martin	004	18	3	0	21
Mason	009	27	1	0	28
	009	216	47	10	273
Matagorda Maverick	008	215	10		231
McCulloch	008	73	13	6 2	88
McLennan	009	1,704	198	153	2,055
McMullen	011	5	2	2	2,033
Medina	008	210	61	27	298
Menard	009	12	1	0	13
Midland	009	748	62	31	841
Milam	007	160	13	24	197
Mills	007	37	1	3	41
Mitchell	002	68	17	0	85
Montague	002	140	27	8	175
Montgomery	006	2,126	224	98	2,448
Moore	001	149	11	2	162
Morris	004	129	5	5	139
Motley	001	3	1	0	4
Nacogdoches	005	305	9	32	346
Navarro	003	339	33	10	382
Newton	005	64	6	3	73
Nolan	002	184	49	4	237
Nueces	011	2,726	545	107	3,378
Ochiltree	001	61	9	0	70
Oldham	001	7	0	0	7
Orange	005	795	34	54	883
Palo Pinto	003	212	69	34	315
Panola	004	155	20	8	183
Parker	003	736	99	53	888
Parmer	001	30	4	1	35
Pecos	009	77	13	4	94
Polk	005	394	20	28	442
Potter	001	1,173	216	67	1,456
Presidio	010	12	3	1	16
Rains	004	70	7	6	83
Randall	001	694	79	35	808
Reagan	009	19	1	1	21

County	Region	Not Open to Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
Real	008	21	1	2	24
Red River	004	90	6	11	107
Reeves	009	57	8	4	69
Refugio	011	34	15	4	53
Roberts	001	4	0	0	4
Robertson	007	92	5	2	99
Rockwall	003	273	26	6	305
Runnels	002	59	11	5	75
Rusk	004	298	19	32	349
Sabine	005	66	10	0	76
San Augustine	005	49	4	3	56
San Jacinto	005	252	12	10	274
San Patricio	011	591	123	38	752
San Saba	007	39	7	12	58
Schleicher	009	16	6	2	24
Scurry	002	152	30	4	186
Shackelford	002	18	8	0	26
Shelby	005	129	18	16	163
Sherman	001	8	1	0	9
Smith	004	1,074	156	68	1,298
Somervell	003	39	12	2	53
Starr	011	206	80	13	299
Stephens	002	60	13	3	76
Sterling	009	9	2	0	11
Stonewall	002	6	4	0	10
Sutton	009	13	4	0	17
Swisher	001	51	13	5	69
Tarrant	003	11,283	1,378	397	13,058
Taylor	002	1,163	325	91	1,579
Terrell	009	2	1	0	3
Terry	001	90	26	12	128
Throckmorton	002	7	2	0	9
Titus	004	161	9	6	176
Tom Green	009	842	209	89	1,140
Travis	007	6,563	302	286	7,151
Trinity	005	105	6	3	114
Tyler	005	206	11	9	226
Upshur	004	303	25	12	340
Upton	009	22	3	0	25
Uvalde	008	187	37	15	239
Val Verde	008	174	9	9	192
Van Zandt	004	348	31	43	422
Victoria	008	608	89	17	714
Walker	006	247	41	16	304
Waller	006	182	31	16	229
Ward	009	91	12	3	106
Washington	007	162	6	15	183
Webb	011	1,055	567	104	1,726

County	Region	Not Open to Services	Family Preservation	Family Substitute Care*	Total
Wharton	006	239	25	6	270
Wheeler	001	23	4	0	27
Wichita	002	1,159	214	65	1,438
Wilbarger	002	65	10	3	78
Willacy	011	177	41	10	228
Williamson	007	1,997	155	89	2,241
Wilson	008	211	21	12	244
Winkler	009	66	5	1	72
Wise	003	294	42	32	368
Wood	004	233	22	33	288
Yoakum	001	30	11	3	44
Young	002	140	23	7	170
Zapata	011	78	25	6	109
Zavala	008	93	14	7	114
Out of State		31	0	1	32
Statewide		140,368	19,717	8,079	168,164

^{*} Investigations that resulted in at least one child (not necessarily all children in the home) being removed. Does not equal number of children removed.

County	Region	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	Children Removed From Home***
Anderson	004	723	496	440	105	618	46
Andrews	009	190	139	102	41	149	3
Angelina	005	1,135	781	606	166	969	72
Aransas	011	458	311	271	164	294	38
Archer	002	93	52	46	31	62	1
Armstrong	001	27	18	10	4	23	0
Atascosa	008	702	481	445	193	509	41
Austin	006	244	193	166	56	188	20
Bailey	001	77	49	49	21	56	6
Bandera	008	192	133	116	40	152	20
Bastrop	007	1,092	746	661	192	900	120
Baylor	002	57	47	32	9	48	0
Bee	011	481	325	288	142	339	54
Bell	007	4,897	3,759	3,537	751	4,146	351
Bexar	008	22,559	16,531	14,748	5,704	16,855	1,150
Blanco	007	79	41	41	25	54	16
Borden	009	1	1	1	0	1	0
Bosque	007	238	175	150	48	190	24
Bowie	004	1,267	949	717	137	1,130	68
Brazoria	006	2,574	1,958	1,570	421	2,153	78
Brazos	007	1,605	1,257	1,105	128	1,477	41
Brewster	010	77	66	62	10	67	0
Briscoe	001	19	12	7	7	12	2
Brooks	011	165	113	99	50	115	3
Brown	002	543	373	333	166	377	50
Burleson	007	271	190	175	42	229	30
Burnet	007	663	472	426	107	556	60
Caldwell	007	529	394	328	79	450	33
Calhoun	008	276	147	135	80	196	17
Callahan	002	186	135	116	26	160	3
Cameron	011	5,696	3,992	3,463	1,324	4,372	186
Camp	004	184	136	121	1,324	167	11
Carson	004	46	25	19	18	28	5
Cass	004	392	261	209	87	305	54
Lass Castro	004	70	52	42	10	60	2
Chambers	001	309	217	189	64	245	18
Cherokee	004	699	499	441	120	579	47
Childress		61		33			
	001		43		7	54 70	0
Clay	002	93	70	48	14	79 25	2
ochran	001	41	28	25	6	35	0
Coke	009	51	41	33	11	40	2
Coleman	002	102	65	61	33	69	0
Collin	003	4,884	3,363	2,267	824	4,060	220
Collingsworth	001	40	27	14	6	34	4
Colorado	006	170	135	131	29	141	6
Comal	008	1,371	906	785	405	966	117
Comanche	002	211	152	130	37	174	5
Concho	009	30	22	22	5	25	0

County	Region	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	Children Removed From Home***
6 1	002	745	200	227	4.07	500	40
Cooke	003	615	398	327	107	508	48
Coryell	007	1,070	786	733	182	888	76
Cottle	002	20	11	11	6	14	4
Crane	009	18	15	15	3	15	0
Crockett	009	62	35	33	14	48	3
Crosby	001	131	69	69	46	85	5
Culberson	010	37	24	23	8	29	0
Dallam	001	109	93	87	10	99	0
Dallas	003	23,603	16,925	13,192	4,395	19,208	1,616
Dawson	009	288	175	147	76	212	30
Deaf Smith	001	215	159	150	34	181	12
Delta	004	57	38	36	20	37	15
Denton	003	4,745	3,672	2,843	693	4,052	203
DeWitt	008	255	190	151	50	205	16
Dickens	000	45	26	24	14	31	3
Dickens Dimmit	001	45 179	131	2 4 117	43	136	20
		51	25	21	43 18	33	
Donley	001						3
Duval	011	164	133	125	46	118	6
Eastland	002	280	188	159	57	223	7
Ector	009	1,937	1,395	1,224	431	1,506	113
Edwards	008	18	15	15	0	18	1
Ellis	003	1,583	1,182	876	307	1,276	59
El Paso	010	8,029	5,529	4,648	1,815	6,214	174
Erath	003	334	246	195	65	269	5
Falls	007	253	169	147	32	221	10
Fannin	003	410	265	210	83	327	14
Fayette	007	171	133	118	23	148	17
Fisher	002	53	36	35	14	39	0
Floyd	001	87	67	58	17	70	6
Foard	002	6	5	2	0	6	0
Fort Bend	006	3,048	2,432	1,772	773	2,275	81
Franklin	004	84	64	60	12	72	14
Freestone	007	230	183	161	32	198	20
Frio	007	340	232	227	94	246	9
Gaines	008	134	98	83	29	105	9
Galveston	009	3,002	2,473	1,988	431	2,571	91
Garza	001	70	41	35	21	49 145	3
Gillespie	008	164	132	117	19	145	4
Glasscock	009	5	5	5	0	5	0
Goliad	008	<u>85</u>	61	57	24	61	5
Gonzales	008	270	194	168	54	216	15
Gray	001	372	258	171	87	285	8
Grayson	003	1,811	1,170	878	356	1,455	84
Gregg	004	1,538	969	752	320	1,218	77
Grimes	007	286	193	164	50	236	24
Guadalupe	008	1,475	1,140	1,006	200	1,275	54
Hale	001	482	284	235	162	320	46
Hall	001	31	23	19	3	28	0

County	Region	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	Children Removed From Home***
Hamilton	007	94	79	62	7	87	2
Hansford	001	32	21	20	10	22	0
Hardeman	002	62	35	26	18	44	4
Hardin	005	740	563	501	58	682	13
Harris	006	32,395	25,623	20,833	5,341	27,054	1,500
Harrison	004	739	506	416	124	615	51
Hartley	001	20	15	15	1	19	1
Haskell	002	53	28	25	17	36	0
Hays	007	1,527	1,102	915	218	1,309	101
Hemphill	001	20	12	11	6	14	1
Henderson	004	1,140	750	608	266	874	92
Hidalgo	011	10,380	7,982	6,856	2,375	8,005	196
Hill	007	446	299	267	101	345	49
Hockley	007	315	196	170	84	231	12
Hood	001	732	475	391	188	544	30
Hopkins	003	435	331	294	75	360	48
Houston	004	238	154	137	39	199	16
Howard	003	533	341	276	127	406	39
		29	14	14		25	
Hudspeth	010				4		3
Hunt	003	1,194	812	609	289	905	133
Hutchinson	001	331	229	208	67	264	18
rion	009	16	14	14	0	16	0
ack	002	108	67	60	37	71	11
ackson	008	167	100	91	50	117	8
asper	005	510	411	326	51	459	10
eff Davis	010	19	18	16	1	18	0
efferson	005	3,139	2,428	2,090	332	2,807	159
im Hogg	011	115	77	70	26	89	0
im Wells	011	587	503	466	95	492	25
ohnson	003	2,047	1,382	1,097	537	1,510	128
ones	002	248	170	114	58	190	0
Karnes	008	187	144	128	22	165	8
Kaufman	003	1,035	692	525	197	838	65
Kendall	008	254	181	169	36	218	17
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	15	9	9	1	14	0
Kerr	008	720	516	460	162	558	37
Kimble	009	54	44	38	6	48	4
King	001	2	0	0	0	2	0
Kinney	008	28	28	23	2	26	0
Kleberg	011	431	300	262	93	338	23
Znox	002	32	17	8	10	22	3
Lamar	004	789	568	505	112	677	67
Lamb	001	168	107	100	44	124	25
Lampasas	007	314	214	190	71	243	27
La Salle	007	103	69	62	33	70	10
	008	142	109	93	23	119	
Lavaca	008	182	130	93 121	23 22	160	4 19

County	Region	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	Children Removed From Home***
Leon	007	193	144	127	32	161	29
Liberty	006	1,121	782	662	269	852	98
Limestone	007	318	230	221	50	268	25
Lipscomb	001	23	23	20	0	23	0
Live Oak	011	129	74	65	54	75	35
Llano	007	278	186	170	56	222	32
Loving	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	3,946	2,541	2,164	1,041	2,905	264
Lynn	001	88	2,341 51	48	24	64	1
Madison	007	139	114	86	13	126	5
			120				11
Marion	004	155		93	18	137	
Martin	009	32	24	22	4	28	0
Mason	009	46	41	39	1	45	1
Matagorda	006	452	327	273	107	345	14
Maverick	008	372	343	329	31	341	8
McCulloch	009	132	96	84	26	106	1
McLennan	007	3,34 0	2,337	2,161	676	2,664	273
McMullen	011	13	4	4	8	5	4
Medina	008	498	361	320	172	326	60
Menard	009	18	11	7	2	16	0
Midland	009	1,326	998	899	166	1,160	38
Milam	007	324	216	198	71	253	47
Mills	007	68	59	52	5	63	1
Mitchell	002	152	114	108	35	117	0
Montague	002	306	198	169	61	245	18
Montgomery	006	3,767	3,129	2,621	573	3,194	159
Moore	001	265	209	195	25	240	7
Morris	004	213	180	144	19	194	7
Motley	001	6	5	3	2	4	Ó
Nacogdoches	005	559	433	392	77	482	61
Vacoguoches Vavarro	003	629	493	413	87	542	16
Navarro Newton	005	116	94	79	18	98	4
Nolan	003	394	291	251	95	299	5
Notan Nueces	011						212
	001	5,679	4,162 92	3,476	1,273	4,406 104	
Ochiltree		120 11	92 11	68 11	16 0	104 11	0
Oldham	001			11			0
Orange	005	1,361	1,050	885	158	1,203	99
Palo Pinto	003	575 24.5	328	282	201	374	60
Panola	004	315	194	170	57	258	14
Parker	003	1,418	968	817	317	1,101	99
Parmer	001	58	33	33	11	47	0
Pecos	009	153	103	92	32	121	6
Polk	005	724	550	494	92	632	44
Potter	001	2,482	1,633	1,327	571	1,911	127
Presidio	010	28	21	18	6	22	1
Rains	004	124	99	84	19	105	12
Randall	001	1,229	840	691	208	1,021	58
Reagan	009	38	36	33	4	34	0

County	Region	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	Children Removed From Home***
Real	008	41	38	35	6	35	5
Red River	004	180	132	116	32	148	13
Reeves	009	119	82	66	19	100	7
Refugio	011	87	58	57	28	59	7
Roberts	001	6	6	6	0	6	0
Robertson	007	149	123	110	13	136	7
Rockwall	003	485	379	295	60	425	8
Runnels	002	126	92	90	31	95	10
Rusk	004	601	398	345	109	492	71
Sabine	005	135	110	70	26	109	1
San Augustine	005	86	70	45	11	75	2
San Jacinto	005	426	335	291	37	389	7
San Patricio	011	1,350	957	875	327	1,023	66
San Saba	007	1,330	63	51	41	71	26
Schleicher	007	56	28	25	24	32	7
	009	294	210	184	24 57	237	4
Scurry Shackelford	002	44	210	23	15	237 29	0
Shelby	002	280	190	23 160	65	29	22
•							
Sherman	001	21	19	19	1	20	0
Smith	004	2,006	1,431	1,209	403	1,603	112
Somervell	003	81	61	50	18	63	2
Starr	011	528	343	275	183	345	24
Stephens	002	135	98	90	27	108	1
Sterling	009	21	17	15	2	19	0
Stonewall	002	12	6	6	6	6	0
Sutton	009	27	23	17	8	19	0
Swisher	001	124	82	74	28	96	5
Γarrant	003	21,241	14,391	10,651	3,461	17,780	595
Гaylor	002	2,683	1,691	1,448	798	1,885	131
Terrell	009	3	2	1	1	2	0
Terry	001	232	129	121	76	156	18
Throckmorton	002	14	11	7	3	11	0
Γitus	004	290	228	215	35	255	13
Гот Green	009	1,844	1,212	1,019	522	1,322	157
Γravis	007	11,212	8,685	7,838	1,033	10,179	446
Γrinity	005	189	135	123	14	175	10
Гyler	005	390	293	266	50	340	13
Jpshur	004	565	439	380	68	497	21
Jpton	009	36	28	24	6	30	0
Jvalde	008	397	313	285	95	302	15
Val Verde	008	305	254	241	31	274	17
Van Zandt	004	727	514	425	141	586	66
Victoria	008	1,164	848	759	182	982	28
Walker	006	481	365	295	98	383	26
Waller	006	366	277	236	94	272	31
Ward	000	189	121	101	32	157	7
	009	102	141	101	34	13/	/
Washington	007	287	199	177	43	244	28

County	Region	Alleged Victims of Child Abuse/ Neglect	Alleged Victims in Unconfirmed Investigations	Alleged Victims in Ruled Out Investigations*	Alleged Victims Provided Services**	Alleged Victims Not Provided Services	Children Removed From Home***
Wharton	006	469	397	362	61	408	8
Wheeler	001	51	35	16	12	39	0
Wichita	002	2,384	1,626	1,272	568	1,816	103
Wilbarger	002	129	86	62	29	100	2
Willacy	011	452	271	226	119	333	26
Williamson	007	3,356	2,551	2,333	426	2,930	151
Wilson	008	378	297	262	59	319	19
Winkler	009	114	79	76	11	103	0
Wise	003	601	409	329	142	459	47
Wood	004	469	299	250	114	355	60
Yoakum	001	66	35	30	25	41	3
Young	002	284	220	198	46	238	11
Zapata	011	189	124	111	59	130	13
Zavala	008	199	142	137	49	150	14
Out Of State		51	41	20	1	50	0
State Total		273,091	199,082	165,957	52,842	220,249	13,599

^{*} Subcategory of Unconfirmed investigation

This table addresses Texas Family code S261.004, subsections (b) (2) and (4) (B through F) respectively.

Note: Refer to "CPS Children in Care During the Fiscal Year 2014" for total removals.

^{**} Only includes children who received post-investigation services

^{***} Children removed from home as a result of a completed investigation without regards to any concurrent open family stages, a subset of total children entering substitute care.

County	Region	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Anderson	004	11,594	208	17.9	437	121	27.7%
Andrews	009	4,542	39	8.6	117	25	21.4%
Angelina	005	23,772	304	12.8	670	183	27.3%
Aransas	011	4,520	137	30.3	272	85	31.3%
Archer	002	2,117	35	16.5	58	20	34.5%
Armstrong	001	375	6	16.0	14	4	28.6%
Atascosa	008	13,538	210	15.5	407	114	28.0%
Austin	006	7,524	47	6.2	149	28	18.8%
Bailey	001	2,383	27	11.3	49	16	32.7%
Bandera	001	2,363 3,979	54	13.6	128	36	28.1%
Bastrop	007	21,379	291	13.6	668	176	26.3%
*	007	764	9	11.8	34	8	23.5%
Baylor Bee	011	6,856	132	19.3	292	83	28.4%
Bell	007	98,721	1,046	19.5	3,160	664	21.0%
Bexar	007	491,136	5,434	10.0	13,558	3,147	23.2%
Blanco	007	2,278	29	12.7	45	19	42.2%
Borden	007	2,276 117	0	0.0	43 1	0	0.0%
Bosque	009	4,089	55	13.5	141	35	24.8%
Bowie	007	21,900	265	12.1	763	163	21.4%
	004		551	5.7	1,629	325	20.0%
Brazoria	007	96,020	308	6.5	976	195	20.0%
Brazos	010	47,729 1,947	306 11	5.6	970 50		16.0%
Brewster Briscoe	001	333				8 3	
Brooks	011	1,996	7 43	21.0 21.5	10 94	25	30.0%
	002	•	162	21.3 17.7	338	23 99	26.6% 29.3%
Brown Burleson	002	9,154 4,140	70	16.9	336 167	40	24.0%
	007		172		409		
Burnet	007	10,299	172	16.7		113	27.6%
Caldwell		10,317		11.8	335	83	24.8%
Calhoun	008	5,879	128	21.8	168	72	42.9%
Callahan	002	3,306	46	13.9	115	29	25.2%
Cameron	011	141,748	1,547	10.9	3,25 0	803	24.7%
Camp	004	3,404	39	11.5	107	21	19.6%
Carson	001	1,509	21	13.9	30	11	36.7%
Cass	004	6,993	113	16.2	242	68	28.1%
Castro	001	2,502	18	7.2	42	8	19.0%
Chambers	006	10,728	88	8.2	192	52	27.1%
Cherokee	004	13,753	184	13.4	416	117	28.1%
Childress	001	1,524	15	9.8	40	11	27.5%
Clay	002	2,362	20	8.5	63	19	30.2%
Cochran	001	884	13	14.7	24	<u>6</u> 5	25.0%
Coke	009	630	6	9.5	33		15.2%
Collin	002	1,970	29	14.7	72	22	30.6%
Collin	003	250,047	1,393	5.6	3,169	868	27.4%
Collingsworth	001	838	11	13.1	20	7	35.0%
Colorado	006	4,972	29 425	5.8	109	21	19.3%
Comal	008	27,772	435	15.7	852	254	29.8%
Comanche	002	3,350	45	13.4	124	32	25.8%
Concho	009	568	7	12.3	20	5	25.0%

Coryell 0 Cottle 0 Crane 0 Crockett 0 Crosby 0 Culberson 0 Dallam 0	003 007 002 009 009 001 010 003 009 001	9,873 22,926 341 1,293 968 1,772 651 2,138 684,069 3,405 6,519	198 259 9 3 25 59 13 16 5,967	20.1 11.3 26.4 2.3 25.8 33.3 20.0 7.5	372 681 11 17 38 63 26	117 162 4 3 14 27	31.5% 23.8% 36.4% 17.6% 36.8% 42.9%
Coryell 0 Cottle 0 Crane 0 Crockett 0 Crosby 0 Culberson 0 Dallam 0	007 002 009 009 001 010 001 003 009	22,926 341 1,293 968 1,772 651 2,138 684,069 3,405	259 9 3 25 59 13 16 5,967	11.3 26.4 2.3 25.8 33.3 20.0 7.5	681 11 17 38 63	162 4 3 14 27	23.8% 36.4% 17.6% 36.8%
Cottle 0 Crane 0 Crockett 0 Crosby 0 Culberson 0 Dallam 0	002 009 009 001 010 001 003 009	341 1,293 968 1,772 651 2,138 684,069 3,405	9 3 25 59 13 16 5,967	26.4 2.3 25.8 33.3 20.0 7.5	11 17 38 63	4 3 14 27	36.4% 17.6% 36.8%
Crane 0 Crockett 0 Crosby 0 Culberson 0 Dallam 0	009 009 001 010 001 003 009	1,293 968 1,772 651 2,138 684,069 3,405	3 25 59 13 16 5,967	2.3 25.8 33.3 20.0 7.5	17 38 63	3 14 27	17.6% 36.8%
Crockett 0 Crosby 0 Culberson 0 Dallam 0	009 001 010 001 003 009	968 1,772 651 2,138 684,069 3,405	25 59 13 16 5,967	25.8 33.3 20.0 7.5	38 63	14 27	36.8%
Crosby 0 Culberson 0 Dallam 0	001 010 001 003 009	1,772 651 2,138 684,069 3,405	59 13 16 5,967	33.3 20.0 7.5	63	27	
Culberson 0 Dallam 0	010 001 003 009	651 2,138 684,069 3,405	13 16 5,967	20.0 7.5			
Dallam 0	001 003 009 001	2,138 684,069 3,405	16 5,967	7.5	26		
	003 009 001	684,069 3,405	5,967			7	26.9%
Dallas (009 001	3,405	•	0.7	62	12	19.4%
-	001		1/14	8.7	14,522	3,659	25.2%
		6 519	105	30.8	157	51	32.5%
	004		50	7.7	124	29	23.4%
		1,116	17	15.2	32	8	25.0%
	003	204,770	972	4.7	3,176	628	19.8%
	800	4,497	60	13.3	154	34	22.1%
Dickens 0	001	461	16	34.7	26	9	34.6%
Dimmit 0	800	2,903	43	14.8	104	25	24.0%
Donley 0	001	728	22	30.2	28	11	39.3%
-	011	3,025	29	9.6	85	16	18.8%
	002	4,237	77	18.2	169	47	27.8%
	009	42,345	497	11.7	1,200	294	24.5%
	008	406	3	7.4	8	3	37.5%
	003	46,146	368	8.0	979	225	23.0%
	010	248,080	2,269	9.1	4,860	1,310	27.0%
	003	8,966	81	9.0	208	53	25.5%
	007	3,876	77	19.9	129	26	20.2%
	007	7,611	126	16.6	230	74	32.2%
	003 007	•	38	7.0	123	27	22.0%
,		5,417					
	002	805	16	19.9	33	7	21.2%
2	001	1,784	20	11.2	51	10	19.6%
	002	247	1	4.0	6	11	16.7%
	006	195,786	531	2.7	1,988	371	18.7%
	004	2,717	19	7.0	55	11	20.0%
	007	4,646	39	8.4	135	21	15.6%
	800	4,25 0	97	22.8	169	41	24.3%
Gaines 0	009	6,804	34	5.0	85	19	22.4%
Galveston 0	006	76,964	476	6.2	1,932	322	16.7%
Garza 0	001	1,303	29	22.3	37	13	35.1%
Gillespie 0	800	5,226	31	5.9	112	19	17.0%
	009	304	0	0.0	2	0	0.0%
Goliad (008	1,583	22	13.9	49	13	26.5%
	008	5,590	75	13.4	157	36	22.9%
	001	5,972	110	18.4	239	62	25.9%
2	003	29,638	584	19.7	1,106	346	31.3%
,	004	32,181	525	16.3	936	306	32.7%
00	007	6,105	81	13.3	171	48	28.1%
	008	39,986	305	7.6	956	194	20.3%
, L	001	10,700	185	17.3	259	97	37.5%
	001	805	7	8.7	23	5	21.7%

County	Region	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Hamilton	007	1,789	14	7.8	59	11	18.6%
Hansford	001	1,702	11	6.5	18	4	22.2%
Hardeman	002	1,028	25	24.3	33	13	39.4%
Hardin	005	14,554	155	10.6	483	109	22.6%
Harris	006	1,201,493	6, 070	5.1	20,657	3,987	19.3%
Harrison	004	17,542	220	12.5	475	141	29.7%
Hartley	001	1,297	3	2.3	11	3	27.3%
Haskell	002	1,205	24	19.9	33	15	45.5%
Hays	007	47,624	378	7.9	938	227	24.2%
Hemphill	001	1,144	8	7.0	15	6	40.0%
Henderson	004	18,376	342	18.6	678	215	31.7%
Hidalgo	011	284,166	2,144	7.5	5,999	1,191	19.9%
Hill	007	8,734	133	15.2	278	85	30.6%
Hockley	007	6,387	113	17.7	189	64	33.9%
Hood	003	11,219	251	22.4	469	151	32.2%
Hopkins	003	9,229	93	10.1	271	56	20.7%
Houston	005	4,811	78	16.2	151	50	33.1%
Howard	003	8,012	174	21.7	322	105	32.6%
Hudspeth	010	953	14	14.7	17	8	47.1%
Hunt	003	22,830	349	15.3	727	203	27.9%
Hutchinson	003	5,750	99	17.2	195	53	27.2%
Irion	001	310	2	6.5	193	2	20.0%
	009	1,959	41	20.9	65	20	30.8%
Jack Jack	002	3,562	62	20.9 17.4	93	33	35.5%
Jackson			79	9.0	308	59	33.3% 19.2%
Jasper	005 010	8,806 367	1	9.0 2.7	10	1	19.2%
Jeff Davis			639			405	20.5%
Jefferson	005	61,551	34	10.4 22.0	1,974 63	403 16	25.4%
Jim Hogg	011 011	1,548	77	6.4	350	56	23.4% 16.0%
Jim Wells	003	12,095	597	13.7	1,238	359	29.0%
Johnson	003	43,533	71	18.9	1,236	43	28.5%
Jones	002	3,758	43		110	43 24	
Karnes Kaufman	003	2,924		14.7			21.8%
		33,128	305 70	9.2 8.3	664 162	197	29.7%
Kendall	008	8,456 99		0.0		41	25.3%
Kenedy Kent	011 002	162	0 6	37.0	0 7	0 2	0.0% 28.6%
	002		192	18.6	448	118	
Kerr	008	10,314 910	7	7.7			26.3%
Kimble	009	57		35.1	38	7 1	18.4%
King		681	2 0		1		100.0%
Kinney	008		120	0.0 14.0	21 241	0 71	0.0% 29.5%
Kleberg	011 002	8,565 931	120	15.0	241 19	7	
Knox	002	12,229		15.0 15.9	479		36.8%
Lamar	004		195 60	15.9 14.9		124	25.9%
Lamb	001	4,020	92		96 191	30 47	31.3%
Lampasas		4,923	32	18.7	181		26.0%
La Salle	008	1,562		20.5	62 99	16 22	25.8%
Lavaca	008	4,263	28	6.6		22	22.2%
Lee	007	4,076	46	11.3	116	28	24.1%

County	Region	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Leon	007	3,867	48	12.4	119	29	24.4%
Liberty	006	20,300	315	15.5	693	203	29.3%
Limestone	007	5,653	81	14.3	193	51	26.4%
Lipscomb	001	878	0	0.0	12	0	0.0%
Live Oak	011	2,218	48	21.6	74	28	37.8%
Llano	007	3,144	82	26.1	186	53	28.5%
Loving	009	7	0	0.0	0	0	0.0%
Lubbock	001	72,975	1,303	17.9	2,338	722	30.9%
Lynn	001	1,594	37	23.2	46	19	41.3%
Madison	007	3,082	22	7.1	88	15	17.0%
Marion	004	1,925	34	17.7	93	20	21.5%
Martin	009	1,449	8	5.5	21	5	23.8%
Mason	009	803	5	6.2	28	3	10.7%
Matagorda	006	9,567	107	11.2	273	70	25.6%
Maverick	008	18,645	26	1.4	231	20	8.7%
McCulloch	009	1,998	33	16.5	88	23	26.1%
McLennan	007	61,080	884	14.5	2,055	548	26.7%
McMullen	011	121	9	74.4	9	5	55.6%
Medina	008	12,245	124	10.1	298	71	23.8%
Menard	009	422	7	16.6	13	4	30.8%
Midland	009	39,433	290	7.4	841	186	22.1%
Milam	007	6,366	95	14.9	197	63	32.0%
Mills	007	1,153	9	7.8	41	7	17.1%
Mitchell	002	1,813	36	19.9	85	19	22.4%
Montague	002	4,611	98	21.3	175	60	34.3%
Montgomery	006	139,135	567	4.1	2,448	365	14.9%
Moore	001	7,286	54	7.4	162	29	17.9%
Morris	004	3,038	28	9.2	139	20	14.4%
Motley	001	244	1	4.1	4	1	25.0%
Nacogdoches	005	17,077	112	6.6	346	72	20.8%
Navarro	003	13,432	113	8.4	382	75	19.6%
Newton	005	3,067	21	6.8	73	12	16.4%
Nolan	003	4,006	86	21.5	237	56	23.6%
Nueces	011	88,260	1,308	14.8	3,378	789	23.4%
Ochiltree	001		26	7.4	3,378 70	16	22.9%
		3,525					
Oldham	001 005	541 20.768	0 201	0.0	7	0 186	0.0%
Orange		20,768	291 224	14.0	883 315	186	21.1%
Palo Pinto	003	7,172	224	31.2	315	122	38.7%
Panola	004	5,913	115	19.4	183	63	34.4%
Parker Parker	003	32,597	402	12.3	888	223	25.1%
Parmer	001	3,335	23	6.9	35	13	37.1%
Pecos	009	3,967	47 170	11.8	94	27	28.7%
Polk	005	9,774	170	17.4	442	93	21.0%
Potter	001	34,841	794	22.8	1,456	434	29.8%
Presidio	010	2,215	5	2.3	16	5	31.3%
Rains	004	2,379	22	9.2	83	19	22.9%
Randall	001	32,138	360	11.2	808	223	27.6%
Reagan	009	1,004	2	2.0	21	2	9.5%

County	Region	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
Real	008	595	3	5.0	24	2	8.3%
Red River	004	2,700	45	16.7	107	28	26.2%
Reeves	009	3,126	29	9.3	69	20	29.0%
Refugio	011	1,710	27	15.8	53	20	37.7%
Roberts	001	241	0	0.0	4	0	0.0%
Robertson	007	4,243	24	5.7	99	17	17.2%
Rockwall	003	26,244	95	3.6	305	58	19.0%
Runnels	002	2,576	29	11.3	75	19	25.3%
Rusk	004	12,988	190	14.6	349	108	30.9%
Sabine	005	2,022	25	12.4	76	13	17.1%
San Augustine	005	1,810	14	7.7	56	11	19.6%
San Jacinto	005	6,435	81	12.6	274	51	18.6%
San Patricio	011	17,858	357	20.0	752	198	26.3%
San Saba	007	1,212	47	38.8	58	22	37.9%
Schleicher	009	1,132	23	20.3	24	6	25.0%
Scurry	002	4,46 0	75	16.8	186	47	25.3%
Shackelford	002	803	15	18.7	26	8	30.8%
Shelby	005	6,856	82	12.0	163	46	28.2%
Sherman	001	854	2	2.3	9	2	22.2%
Smith	004	56,163	533	9.5	1,298	338	26.0%
Somervell	003	2,203	20	9.1	53	12	22.6%
Starr	011	20,650	167	8.1	299	97	32.4%
Stephens	002	2,265	33	14.6	76	22	28.9%
Sterling	009	277	4	14.4	11	4	36.4%
Stonewall	002	320	6	18.8	10	4	40.0%
Sutton	009	1,112	4	3.6	17	2	11.8%
Swisher	001	2,025	34	16.8	69	26	37.7%
Tarrant	003	529,400	6,097	11.5	13,058	3,731	28.6%
Taylor	002	33,477	907	27.1	1,579	530	33.6%
Terrell	009	213	1	4.7	3	1	33.3%
Terry	001	3,378	100	29.6	128	50	39.1%
Throckmorton	002	323	3	9.3	9	2	22.2%
Titus	004	10,095	55	5.4	176	31	17.6%
Tom Green	009	27,232	583	21.4	1,140	355	31.1%
Travis	007	274,241	2,157	7.9	7,151	1,434	20.1%
Trinity	005	3,000	49	16.3	114	31	27.2%
Tyler	005	4,17 0	83	19.9	226	48	21.2%
Úpshur	004	9,982	108	10.8	340	73	21.5%
Upton	009	963	8	8.3	25	4	16.0%
Uvalde	008	7,748	79	10.2	239	51	21.3%
Val Verde	008	15,133	48	3.2	192	31	16.1%
Van Zandt	004	12,704	193	15.2	422	120	28.4%
Victoria	008	23,319	286	12.3	714	174	24.4%
Walker	006	11,681	97	8.3	304	68	22.4%
Waller	006	11,991	81	6.8	229	49	21.4%
Ward	009	2,893	61	21.1	106	33	31.1%
Washington	007	7,696	79	10.3	183	49	26.8%
Webb	011	92,593	642	6.9	1,726	376	21.8%

County	Region	Child Population	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect	Confirmed Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect per 1,000 Children	Total CPS Completed Investigations	Confirmed CPS Investigations	Percent Investigations Confirmed
W/I	007	44.45.4	<i>24</i>		270	40	4.4.007
Wharton	006	11,154	61	5.5	270	40	14.8%
Wheeler	001	1,372	12	8.7	27	6	22.2%
Wichita	002	31,177	704	22.6	1,438	413	28.7%
Wilbarger	002	3,466	38	11.0	78	21	26.9%
Willacy	011	6,085	170	27.9	228	79	34.6%
Williamson	007	137,516	731	5.3	2,241	457	20.4%
Wilson	008	11,834	73	6.2	244	44	18.0%
Winkler	009	2,129	34	16.0	72	19	26.4%
Wise	003	16,317	176	10.8	368	102	27.7%
Wood	004	8,810	153	17.4	288	86	29.9%
Yoakum	001	2,597	28	10.8	44	18	40.9%
Young	002	4,524	61	13.5	170	38	22.4%
Zapata	011	5,076	59	11.6	109	32	29.4%
Zavala	800	3,701	49	13.2	114	25	21.9%
Out of State		0	10	0.0	32	6	18.8%
Statewide		7,266,760	66,572	9.2	168,164	40,369	24.0%

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (4) (A).

Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio). Based on Census 2010 data.

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Anderson	004	208	50	109	37	0	12
Andrews	009	39	0	19	18	ő	2
Angelina	005	304	55	179	44	0	26
Aransas	011	137	4	64	59	0	10
Archer	002	35	3	30	0	1	1
Armstrong	002	6	0	6	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	210	4	33	169	0	4
Austin	006	47	12	23	6	0	6
Bailey	000	27	0	8	16	0	3
•	001	54	0	6 45	5	0	4
Bandera	008	291	35	131	112	0	13
Bastrop							
Baylor	002	9	0	6	3	0	0
Bee	011	132	3	33	93	0	3
Bell	007	1,046	280	391	245	1	129
Bexar	008	5,434	496	758	3,961	3	216
Blanco	007	29	0	22	6	0	1
Borden	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bosque	007	55	3	35	9	0	8
Bowie	004	265	112	124	10	0	19
Brazoria	006	551	64	280	172	0	35
Brazos	007	308	76	107	104	0	21
Brewster	010	11	0	2	6	0	3
Briscoe	001	7	0	2	3	0	2
Brooks	011	43	0	0	43	0	0
Brown	002	162	5	109	30	0	18
Burleson	007	70	10	43	11	0	6
Burnet	007	172	1	116	42	0	13
Caldwell	007	122	11	26	80	0	5
Calhoun	008	128	2	40	83	0	3
Callahan	002	46	0	37	6	0	3
Cameron	011	1,547	4	64	1,467	1	11
Camp	004	39	13	11	15	0	0
Carson	001	21	1	18	1	0	1
Cass	004	113	7	91	6	1	8
Castro	001	18	0	1	17	0	0
Chambers	006	88	2	68	12	1	5
Cherokee	004	184	31	108	21	0	24
Childress	001	15	1	4	9	0	1
Clay	002	20	1	18	1	0	0
Cochran	001	13	0	3	10	Ö	0
Coke	009	6	0	4	2	0	0
Coleman	002	29	0	20	7	1	1
Collin	003	1,393	211	726	304	3	149
Collingsworth	003	1,373	0	4	7	0	0
Colorado	006	29	5	9	15	0	0
Comal	008	435	2	168	250	1	14
Comanche	008	455 45	0	28	230 17	0	
							0
Concho	009	7	0	5	2	0	0

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Cooke	003	198	11	128	50	2	7
Coryell	007	259	33	157	33	2	34
Cottle	002	9	0	5	4	0	0
Crane	009	3	0	1	2	0	0
Crockett	009	25	0	3	19	0	3
Crosby	001	59	2	11	40	0	6
Culberson	010	13	0	2	11	0	0
Dallam	001	16	1	5	10	0	0
Dallas	003	5,967	2,292	1,100	2,195	8	372
Dawson	009	105	1	13	87	0	4
Deaf Smith	001	50	2	11	37	0	0
Delta	004	17	3	11	1	0	2
Denton	003	972	151	485	239	1	96
DeWitt	008	60	4	17	36	0	3
Dickens	001	16	Ó	4	11	ő	1
Dimmit	008	43	0	1	40	2	0
Donley	001	22	0	18	4	0	0
Duval	011	29	1	10	26	0	1
Eastland	002	77	1	58	12	0	6
Ector	002	497	21	171	274	4	27
Edwards	009	3	0	1	1	0	1
Ellis	003	368	58	196	92	0	22
Ell Paso	010	2,269	139	310	1,702	11	107
Erath	003	2,209 81	2	53	19	0	7
Falls	003	77	17	28	9	2	21
Fannin	007	126	14	26 86	10	0	16
	003	38	2	28	5	0	3
Fayette Fisher	007	36 16	4	6	3	-	3
	002	20	0	0 1	15	$0 \\ 0$	4
Floyd Foard	001	1	0	1	0	0	0
Fort Bend	006	531	170	133	192	1	35
Franklin	004	19	0	10	7	0	2
	004	39		16	11		
Freestone Frio	007	39 97	11		89	0	1
	008		$0 \\ 0$	8 9	25	$0 \\ 0$	0
Gaines		34	97				0
Galveston	006	476		219	133	1	26
Garza	001	29	0	6	21	0	2
Gillespie	008	31	0	11	20	0	0
Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	22	1	7	13	0	1
Gonzales	008	75	0	16	55 25	0	4
Gray	001	110	4	65	35	0	6
Grayson	003	584	52	389	67	1	75
Gregg	004	525	117	286	61	0	61
Grimes	007	81	10	53	18	0	0
Guadalupe	008	305	7	109	179	0	10
Hale	001	185	5	33	140	1	6
Hall	001	7	0	2	4	0	1

County	Pogion	Total	African American	Anglo		Native	Other**
County	Region	Ioiai	American American	Anglo	Hispanic	American	Omer
Hamilton	007	14	0	11	2	0	1
Hansford	001	11	0	0	11	0	0
Hardeman	002	25	3	11	3	0	8
Hardin	005	155	12	125	10	0	8
Harris	006	6,070	2,307	1,147	2,274	3	339
Harrison	004	220	46	147	20	0	7
Hartley	001	3	0	3	0	0	0
Haskell	002	24	2	12	9	1	0
Hays	007	378	9	145	215	0	9
Hemphill	001	8	0	4	2	0	2
Henderson	004	342	26	256	35	0	25
Hidalgo	011	2,144	1	64	2,056	1	22
Hill	007	133	11	91	20	1	10
Hockley	001	113	0	29	78	0	6
Hood	003	251	3	176	66	1	5
Hopkins	004	93	7	59	9	0	18
Houston	005	78	25	37	9	ő	7
Howard	009	174	8	76	81	ő	9
Hudspeth	010	14	0	4	10	ő	0
Hunt	003	349	43	236	45	1	24
Hutchinson	003	99	4	67	25	0	3
Irion	001	2	0	2	0	0	0
Jack	002	41	0	35	1	0	5
Jackson	002	62	8	28	25	0	1
Jasper	005	79	13	58	3	0	5
Jeff Davis	010	1	0	1	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	639	362	168	78	1	30
Jim Hogg	011	34	0	0	33	0	1
Jim Wells	011	77	0	9	65	0	3
Johnson	003	597	22	418	110	0	47
Jones	003	71	2	46	21	0	2
Karnes	002	43	0	10	31	0	2
Karnes Kaufman	003	305	35	193	46	2	29
Kauman Kendall	003	70	2	44	20	0	4
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	6	0	6	0	0	0
Kerr	002	192	9	115	62	0	6
Kimble	008	7	0	6	1	0	0
		2	0		0	0	0
King	001	0	0	2 0	0	0	-
Kinney Kleberg	008	120	1	15	100	0	<u>0</u> 4
Kieberg Knox	002	120	0	2	100	0	0
					12		
Lamar	004	195	24	128		3	23
Lamb	001	60	2	6	47	0	5
Lampasas	007	92	6	44	36	0	6
La Salle	008	32	0	0	32	0	0
Lavaca	008	28	5	5	16	0	2
Lee	007	46	11	15	13	0	7

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Leon	007	48	4	29	7	0	8
Liberty	006	315	36	223	33	1	22
Limestone	007	81	15	42	15	0	9
Lipscomb	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Live Oak	011	48	0	21	27	0	0
Llano	007	82	1	76	3	0	2
Loving	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	1,303	126	322	762	2	91
Lynn	001	37	2	13	22	0	0
Madison	007	22	4	15	1	0	2
Marion	004	34	7	24	1	0	2
Martin	009	8	0	2	5	0	1
Mason	009	5	0	4	1	0	0
Matagorda	006	107	20	38	45	0	4
Maverick	008	26	0	4	22	0	0
McCulloch	009	33	2	21	10	0	0
McLennan	007	884	205	330	297	Ö	52
McMullen	011	9	0	1	8	0	0
Medina	008	124	0	29	93	0	2
Menard	009	7	0	4	3	0	0
Midland	009	290	38	108	121	0	23
Milam	007	95	26	39	24	0	6
Mills	007	9	0	9	0	0	0
Mitchell	002	36	2	13	20	0	1
Montague	002	98	1	84	8	1	4
Montgomery	006	567	43	371	106	0	47
Moore	001	54	4	18	24	0	8
Morris	004	28	6	16	3	0	3
Motley	001	1	0	1	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	005	112	35	58	12	0	7
Navarro	003	113	9	66	24	0	14
Newton	005	21	1	16	4	0	0
Nolan	002	86	6	41	37	0	2
Nueces	011	1,308	54	237	958	0	59
Ochiltree	001	26	0	11	14	0	1
Oldham	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	005	291	33	227	10	0	21
Palo Pinto	003	224	7	165	43	1	8
Panola	004	115	11	64	19	0	21
Parker	003	402	4	324	52	Ö	22
Parmer	001	23	0	2	19	0	2
Pecos	009	47	0	12	35	0	0
Polk	005	170	5	122	26	0	17
Potter	001	794	83	242	383	0	86
Presidio	010	5	0	1	4	Ö	0
Rains	004	22	Ö	17	3	Ö	2
Randall	001	360	19	212	113	ő	16
Reagan	009	2	1	0	1	ő	0
1.000	007	4	1	Ü	1	Ü	· ·

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Real	008	3	0	2	1	0	0
Red River	004	45	7	29	4	1	4
Reeves	009	29	0	3	26	0	0
Refugio	011	27	0	14	11	0	2
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	24	8	13	3	0	0
Rockwall	003	95	1	60	26	0	8
Runnels	002	29	2	13	13	0	1
Rusk	004	190	31	117	24	0	18
Sabine	005	25	0	24	1	0	0
San Augustine	005	14	3	7	1	0	3
San Jacinto	005	81	5	62	6	ő	8
San Patricio	011	357	6	92	241	0	18
San Saba	007	47	0	32	15	0	0
Schleicher	007	23	0	0	23	0	0
Scurry	009	75	0	27	43	0	5
Shackelford	002	15	0	12	3	0	0
Shelby	002	82	23	52	4	0	3
Sherman	003	2	0	1	1	0	0
Smith	001	533	107	321	70	0	35
Somervell	004	20		12		1	<u></u>
	003	167	0 2		6 162		2
Starr	002	33	0	1 13	20	0	0
Stephens						0	1
Sterling Stonewall	009 002	4	0	2 4	1 2	0	0
	002	6	0	0	4	0	0
Sutton		4		-			<u> </u>
Swisher	001	34	1	12	21	0	0
Tarrant	003	6,097	1,643	2,090	1,825	11	528
Taylor Terrell	002	907	69	402	356	0	80
	009	100	0	1	0	0	0
Terry	001	100	5	19	71	0	5
Throckmorton	002	3	0	2	1	0	0
Titus	004	55 502	2	31	15	0	22
Tom Green	009	583	20	243	297	0	23
Travis	007	2,157	352	427	1,281	0	97
Trinity	005	49	6	40	2	0	1
Tyler	005	83	3	70	1	0	9
Upshur	004	108	7	92	4	0	5
Upton	009	8	0	0	8	0	0
Uvalde	008	79	0	11	68	0	0
Val Verde	008	48	0	3	45	0	0
Van Zandt	004	193	2	172	13	0	6
Victoria	008	286	18	63	187	0	18
Walker	006	97	31	42	16	0	8
Waller	006	81	25	32	23	0	1
Ward	009	61	10	23	25	0	3
Washington	007	79	11	35	29	0	4
Webb	011	642	1	14	618	0	9

Confirmed Victims of Abuse/Neglect by Race/Ethnicity:* Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Wharton	006	61	11	8	40	0	2
Wheeler	001	12	0	9	2	0	1
Wichita	002	704	105	360	194	5	40
Wilbarger	002	38	8	9	17	0	4
Willacy	011	170	0	6	164	0	0
Williamson	007	731	81	331	261	0	58
Wilson	008	73	0	19	51	0	3
Winkler	009	34	0	26	4	0	4
Wise	003	176	4	140	20	1	11
Wood	004	153	8	122	13	0	10
Yoakum	001	28	3	12	11	0	2
Young	002	61	1	44	10	0	6
Zapata	011	59	0	6	53	0	0
Zavala	008	49	0	2	47	0	0
Out of State		10	2	5	2	0	1
State Total		66,572	11,180	21,978	29,266	87	4,061

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

^{**} Other includes anyone not categorized as African American, Anglo, Hispanic or Native American

Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	Child Abuse/ Neglect Related Fatalities	Child/Abuse Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time*	County	Region	Child Abuse/ Neglect Related Fatalities	Child/Abuse Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time*
Angelina	005	1	0	Johnson	003	1	0
Aransas	011	1	0	Kendall	008	1	0
Atascosa	008	1	Ö	Lamar	004	1	$\overset{\circ}{0}$
Bastrop	007	1	Ö	Lampasas	007	1	0
Bell	007	1	0	Limestone	007	1	0
Bexar	008	12	1	McLennan	007	3	0
Bowie	004	1	0	Montgomery		1	0
Brazoria	006	1	0	Nacogdoches		2	0
Callahan	002	1	0	Navarro	003	1	0
Camp	004	1	0	Nolan	002	1	0
Chambers	006	1	0	Nueces	011	2	0
Cherokee	004	1	0	Orange	005	2	0
Collin	003	4	1	Panola	004	1	0
Dallas	003	14	1	Parker	003	1	0
Denton	003	3	0	Shelby	005	1	0
Dimmit	008	1	0	Smith	004	3	0
Ector	009	2	0	Tarrant	003	11	0
Ellis	003	1	1	Taylor	002	1	0
El Paso	010	4	0	Terry	001	1	0
Galveston	006	3	0	Tom Green	009	1	0
Garza	001	1	0	Travis	007	9	0
Grayson	003	1	0	Victoria	008	2	0
Hamilton	007	1	0	Webb	011	2	0
Hardin	005	1	0	Wichita	002	2	0
Harris	006	21	0	Wilbarger	002	2	0
Harrison	004	1	0	Williamson	007	4	3
Hays	007	1	0	Wood	004	2	0
Henderson	004	2	0	Yoakum	001	1	0
Jasper	005	1	0	Young	002	1	0
Jefferson	005	4	0	State Total		151	7

Fatality Counts were frozen on 01/21/15. Does not include corrections or updates, if any, that may subsequently be made to DFPS data.

Includes child fatalities investigated and confirmed by Child Protective Services (143), Adult Protective Services (0), Child Day Care Licensing (4), and Residential Child Care Licensing (4).

Note: Child fatalities in foster care may be the result of injuries inflicted prior to the child's entry into foster care and are not necessarily a reflection on the current caretaker.

This table addresses Texas Family Code 261.004. Subsections (b) (6) and (7).

^{*} This is a subset of Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities.

County	Region	Number of Families	Number of Children	Children* Removed From Home	Percent of Children Removed
Anderson	004	54	132	16	12.1%
Andrews	009	31	93	2	2.2%
Angelina	005	81	239	22	9.2%
Aransas	011	84	207	6	2.9%
Archer	002	8	25	2	8.0%
Armstrong	001	1	5	0	0.0%
Atascosa	008	86	260	12	4.6%
Austin	006	34	88	2	2.3%
Bailey	001	9	31	4	12.9%
Bandera	008	26	71	5	7.0%
Bastrop	007	59	170	9	5.3%
Baylor	002	7	14	0	0.0%
Bee	011	$7\overset{'}{2}$	201	25	12.4%
Bell	007	311	895	72	8.0%
Bexar	007	3,392	9 , 907	866	8.7%
Blanco	007	5	15	4	26.7%
Borden	009	1	2	0	0.0%
Bosque	007	15	40	0	0.0%
Bowie	004	64	202	16	7.9%
Brazoria	006	281	775	23	3.0%
Brazos	007	75	230	0	0.0%
Brewster	010	8	23	3	13.0%
Briscoe	001	3	6	0	0.0%
Brooks	011	31	75	1	1.3%
Brown	002	73	175	1	0.6%
Burleson	007	7	19	0	0.0%
Burnet	007	41	98	10	10.2%
Caldwell	007	31	83	9	10.8%
Calhoun	008	39	100	11	11.0%
Callahan	002	12	34	0	0.0%
Cameron	011	796	2,445	101	4.1%
Camp	004	20	53	2	3.8%
Carson	001	8	18	0	0.0%
Cass	004	27	76	1	1.3%
Castro	001	13	42	7	16.7%
Chambers	006	35	108	1	0.9%
Cherokee	004	64	147	9	6.1%
Childress	001	13	34	2	5.9%
Clay	002	8	11	0	0.0%
Cochran	001	5	13	0	0.0%
Coke	001	4	13	5	38.5%
Coke	009	31	72	1	1.4%
Collin	002	454	1,086	29	2.7%
	003			0	
Collingsworth		6	17		0.0%
Colorado	006	19	42	0	0.0%
Comal	008	191	431	24	5.6%
Comanche	002	18	42	0	0.0%
Concho	009	3	5	0	0.0%

County	Region	Number of Families	Number of Children	Children* Removed From Home	Percent of Children Removed
Cooke	003	54	141	8	5.7%
Coryell	007	73	184	7	3.8%
Cottle	002	3	8	0	0.0%
Crane	009	3	6	0	0.0%
Crockett	009	8	24	6	25.0%
Crosby	001	21	63	1	1.6%
Culberson	010	3	5	0	0.0%
Dallam	001	13	27	0	0.0%
Dallas	003	2,022	5,569	191	3.4%
Dawson	009	32	125	8	6.4%
Deaf Smith	001	24	70	7	10.0%
Delta	004	4	15	3	20.0%
Denton	003	393	887	59	6.7%
DeWitt	008	32	79	7	8.9%
Dickens	001	9	16	3	18.8%
Dimmit	008	12	34	0	0.0%
Donley	001	11	34	0	0.0%
Duval	011	25	87	4	4.6%
Eastland	002	32	85	0	0.0%
Ector	009	233	622	54	8.7%
Edwards	008	0	0	0	0.0%
Ellis	003	156	407	21	5.2%
El Paso	010	1,164	3,191	137	4.3%
Erath	003	52	122	13	10.7%
Falls	007	12	40	5	12.5%
Fannin	003	43	103	11	10.7%
Fayette	007	8	18	0	0.0%
Fisher	002	6	19	5	26.3%
Floyd	001	9	20	0	0.0%
Foard	002	0	0	0	0.0%
Fort Bend	006	481	1,361	11	0.8%
Franklin	004	7	14	2	14.3%
Freestone	007	7	17	2	11.8%
Frio	008	33	92	1	1.1%
Gaines	009	16	40	1	2.5%
Galveston	006	389	1,099	60	5.5%
Garza	001	13	41	2	4.9%
Gillespie	008	18	48	1	2.1%
Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0.0%
Goliad	008	14	42	8	19.0%
Gonzales	008	26	83	15	18.1%
Gray	001	65	164	2	1.2%
Grayson	003	196	513	27	5.3%
Gregg	004	188	479	26	5.4%
Grimes	007	19	47	0	0.0%
Guadalupe	008	138	355	13	3.7%
Hale	001	84	204	12	5.9%
Hall	001	6	9	0	0.0%

County	Region	Number of Families	Number of Children	Children* Removed From Home	Percent of Children Removed
Hamilton	007	6	11	0	0.0%
Hansford	001	2	7	4	57.1%
Hardeman	002	7	19	0	0.0%
Hardin	005	40	84	12	14.3%
Harris	006	3,914	11,804	445	3.8%
Harrison	004	68	171	12	7.0%
Hartley	001	2	3	0	0.0%
Haskell	002	5	13	0	0.0%
Hays	007	85	227	10	4.4%
Hemphill	001	4	9	2	22.2%
Henderson	004	120	309	22	7.1%
Hidalgo	011	1,676	5,443	184	3.4%
Hill	007	33	81	3	3.7%
Hockley	001	51	153	3	2.0%
Hood	003	116	289	25	8.7%
Hopkins	004	28	69	2	2.9%
Houston	005	23	49	1	2.0%
Howard	009	62	178	10	5.6%
Hudspeth	010	4	12	0	0.0%
Hunt	003	120	306	7	2.3%
Hutchinson	001	43	123	18	14.6%
Irion	009	1	2	0	0.0%
Jack	002	22	59	5	8.5%
Jackson	008	19	44	0	0.0%
Jasper	005	41	99	4	4.0%
Jeff Davis	010	2	10	0	0.0%
Jefferson	005	147	410	38	9.3%
Jim Hogg	011	13	48	0	0.0%
Jim Wells	011	68	182	8	4.4%
Johnson	003	319	820	39	4.8%
Jones	002	42	91	0	0.0%
Karnes	008	11	38	12	31.6%
Kaufman	003	100	289	10	3.5%
Kendall	008	18	42	3	7.1%
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0.0%
Kent	002	3	8	0	0.0%
Kerr	008	97	249	15	6.0%
Kimble	009	5	6	2	33.3%
King	001	0	0	0	0.0%
Kinney	008	0	0	0	0.0%
Kleberg	011	63	179	12	6.7%
Knox	002	3	9	0	0.0%
Lamar	004	51	152	16	10.5%
Lamb	001	20	61	9	14.8%
Lampasas	007	25	73	8	11.0%
La Salle	008	10	30	0	0.0%
Lavaca	008	12	36	0	0.0%
Lee	007	13	31	2	6.5%

County	Region	Number of Families	Number of Children	Children* Removed From Home	Percent of Children Removed
Leon	007	8	24	0	0.0%
Liberty	006	139	333	19	5.7%
Limestone	007	16	44	0	0.0%
Lipscomb	001	3	6	0	0.0%
Live Oak	011	18	65	8	12.3%
Llano	007	21	58	4	6.9%
Loving	009	0	0	0	0.0%
Lubbock	001	616	1,676	118	7.0%
Lynn	001	16	53	6	11.3%
Madison	007	8	19	5	26.3%
Marion	004	14	41	0	0.0%
Martin	009	2	6	0	0.0%
Mason	009	1	2	0	0.0%
Matagorda	006	68	186	6	3.2%
Maverick	008	16	62	0	0.0%
McCulloch	009	18	43	1	2.3%
McLennan	007	276	750	37	4.9%
McMullen	011	2	7	0	0.0%
Medina	008	88	259	20	7.7%
Menard	009	3	6	0	0.0%
Midland	009	101	261	8	3.1%
Milam	007	26	68	4	5.9%
Mills	007	3	7	0	0.0%
Mitchell	002	32	96	0	0.0%
Montague	002	47	117	1	0.9%
Montgomery	006	319	837	43	5.1%
Moore	001	21	58	0	0.0%
Morris	004	13	40	2	5.0%
Motley	001	1	2	0	0.0%
Nacogdoches	005	26	75	11	14.7%
Navarro	003	44	135	5	3.7%
Newton	005	6	16	1	6.3%
Nolan	002	66 794	143	3	2.1%
Nueces Ochiltree	011 001	784 13	2,114	78	3.7% 4.2%
Oldham	001	13	24 4	1 1	4.276 25.0%
Orange	001	59	139	18	12.9%
Palo Pinto	003	101	253	33	13.0%
Panola Panola	003	26	255 77	33 4	5.2%
Parker	004	139	356	38	10.7%
Parmer	003	6	14	0	0.0%
Pecos	001	13	27	4	14.8%
Polk	005	35	92	8	8.7%
Potter	003	362	927	29	3.1%
Presidio	010	3	4	0	0.0%
Rains	004	9	24	0	0.0%
Randall	001	156	366	16	4.4%
Reagan	009	2	6	0	0.0%
1 Cagaii	007	4	U	U	0.070

County	Region	Number of Families	Number of Children	Children* Removed From Home	Percent of Children Removed
Real	008	3	7	0	0.0%
Red River	004	15	38	6	15.8%
Reeves	009	8	23	0	0.0%
Refugio	011	18	58	1	1.7%
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0.0%
Robertson	007	8	18	0	0.0%
Rockwall	003	30	75	3	4.0%
Runnels	002	16	50	4	8.0%
Rusk	004	33	82	3	3.7%
Sabine	005	17	45	9	20.0%
San Augustine	005	8	18	1	5.6%
San Jacinto	005	20	51	7	13.7%
San Patricio	011	222	587	27	4.6%
San Saba	007	9	20	4	20.0%
Schleicher	009	8	26	0	0.0%
Scurry	002	37	100	3	3.0%
Shackelford	002	11	22	1	4.5%
Shelby	005	31	101	10	9.9%
Sherman	001	2	5	0	0.0%
Smith	004	256	637	55	8.6%
Somervell	003	17	34	0	0.0%
Starr	011	114	344	15	4.4%
Stephens	002	22	50	0	0.0%
Sterling	009	3	7	0	0.0%
Stonewall	002	7	18	0	0.0%
Sutton	009	7	21	0	0.0%
Swisher	001	19	48	11	22.9%
Tarrant	003	2,070	5,599	136	2.4%
Taylor	002	435	1,134	29	2.6%
Terrell	009	1	1	0	0.0%
Terry	001	40	124	13	10.5%
Throckmorton	002	3	6	0	0.0%
Titus	004	15	39	3	7.7%
Tom Green	009	268	686	49	7.1%
Travis	007	608	1,585	141	8.9%
Trinity	005	7	18	0	0.0%
Tyler	005	11	34	0	0.0%
Upshur	004	42	112	7	6.3%
Upton	009	4	9	2	22.2%
Uvalde	008	41	116	15	12.9%
Val Verde	008	15	43	1	2.3%
Van Zandt	004	56	144	15	10.4%
Victoria	008	131	311	42	13.5%
Walker	006	55	135	1	0.7%
Waller	006	48	146	2	1.4%
Ward	009	16	43	9	20.9%
Washington	007	12	22	0	0.0%
Webb	011	822	2,722	47	1.7%

County	Region	Number of Families	Number of Children	Children* Removed From Home	Percent of Children Removed
Wharton	006	41	121	4	3.3%
Wheeler	001	6	25	0	0.0%
Wichita	002	297	812	59	7.3%
Wilbarger	002	21	49	0	0.0%
Willacy	011	58	178	9	5.1%
Williamson	007	264	642	39	6.1%
Wilson	008	31	88	20	22.7%
Winkler	009	7	14	3	21.4%
Wise	003	58	144	8	5.6%
Wood	004	34	87	11	12.6%
Yoakum	001	10	26	1	3.8%
Young	002	39	85	8	9.4%
Zapata	011	31	97	2	2.1%
Zavala	008	17	61	0	0.0%
Out of State		5	22	1	4.5%
Statewide		30,634	85,694	4,348	5.1%

Family Preservation Services is under the umbrella of Family Based Safety Services (FBSS).

Family Preservation Services are services provided to the child and the family but the caregiver retains legal custody.

^{*} Children removed from home during Family Preservation. Does not equal total children removed during fiscal year.

Five Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in Family-Based Safety Services in FY 2009

County	Region	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2009	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2009- 2014	County	Region	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2009	equently Confirmed tims In Fiscal Years 2009- 2014
Anderson	004	85	13	Cooke	003	88	27
Andrews	009	50	4	Coryell	007	186	32
Angelina	005	84	23	Cottle	002	1	0
Aransas	011	139	44	Crane	009	9	3
Archer	002	6	1	Crockett	009	15	1
Armstrong	001	2	0	Crosby	001	35	17
Atascosa	008	163	44	Culberson	010	5	0
Austin	006	54	4	Dallam	001	18	0
Bailey	001	16	2	Dallas	003	3,755	639
Bandera	008	61	15	Dawson	009	64	12
Bastrop	007	121	28	Deaf Smith	001	26	8
Baylor	002	9	5	Delta	004	10	7
Bee	011	321	82	Denton	003	641	111
Bell	007	972	156	DeWitt	008	35	13
Bexar	008	6,098	1,172	Dickens	001	4	0
Blanco	007	0	0	Dimmit	008	68	17
Borden	009	0	0	Donley	001	9	3
Bosque	007	36	11	Duval	011	116	19
Bowie	004	225	33	Eastland	002	85	6
Brazoria	006	551	99	Ector	009	541	143
Brazos	007	269	75	Edwards	008	5	0
Brewster	010	14	0	Ellis	003	266	39
Briscoe	001	3	Ö	El Paso	010	1,683	365
Brooks	011	120	36	Erath	003	162	60
Brown	002	223	56	Falls	007	11	1
Burleson	007	29	4	Fannin	003	62	12
Burnet	007	78	21	Fayette	007	51	18
Caldwell	007	95	10	Fisher	002	21	5
Calhoun	008	59	6	Floyd	001	18	1
Callahan	002	64	27	Foard	002	4	3
Cameron	011	1,903	511	Fort Bend	006	456	71
Camp	004	61	10	Franklin	004	32	8
Carson	001	0	0	Freestone	007	19	0
Cass	004	78	14	Frio	008	118	9
Castro	001	10	0	Gaines	009	55	14
Chambers	006	54	10	Galveston	006	765	145
Cherokee	004	105	18	Garza	001	3	0
Childress	001	26	6	Gillespie	008	48	8
Clay	001	20	2	Glasscock	009	0	0
Cochran	002	11	1	Goliad	008	9	3
Coke	009	7	0	Gonzales	008	35	5
Coleman	002	55	23	Gray	001	62	18
Collin	002	985	175	Grayson	001	212	48
Collingsworth		26	0	Gregg	003	331	53
Colorado	001	31	12	Grimes	007	56	8
Colorado	008	206	12 44	Guadalupe	007	302	76
Comanche	008	38	11	Hale	000	112	32
	002	38 19	11	Hall	001	8	0
Concho	009	19	1	пан	001	ð	U

Five Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in Family-Based Safety Services in FY 2009

County	Region	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2009	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2009- 2014	County	Region	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2009	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2009- 2014
Hamilton	007	21	4	Leon	007	39	5
Hansford	001	5	1	Liberty	006	175	25
Hardeman	002	11	3	Limestone	007	30	3
Hardin	005	56	13	Lipscomb	001	5	4
Harris	006	5,872	664	Live Oak	011	98	19
Harrison	004	96	35	Llano	007	30	10
Hartley	001	0	0	Loving	009	0	0
Haskell	002	24	9	Lubbock	001	931	214
Hays	007	104	22	Lynn	001	13	1
Hemphill	001	1	0	Madison	007	30	9
Henderson	004	429	103	Marion	004	9	1
Hidalgo	011	2,744	549	Martin	009	8	5
Hill	007	55	14	Mason	009	24	3
Hockley	001	101	19	Matagorda	006	54	5
Hood	003	190	67	Maverick	008	16	2
Hopkins	003	90	25	McCulloch	009	45	6
Houston	005	12	4	McLennan	007	397	58
Howard	009	177	30	McMullen	011	0	0
Hudspeth	010	4	0	Medina	008	209	50
Hunt	003	192	34	Menard	009	7	1
Hutchinson	003	45	9	Midland	009	217	44
Irion	001	5	0	Milam	007	80	16
Jack	002	34	11	Mills	007	6	2
Jackson	002	36	10	Mitchell	007	22	8
Jasper	005	57	7	Montague	002	72	22
Jeff Davis	010	4	0	Montgomery	002	931	158
Jefferson	005	352	76	Moore	000	43	12
Jim Hogg	011	75	17	Morris	004	49	13
Jim Hogg Jim Wells	011	410	101	Motley	004	3	0
Johnson	003	418	118	Nacogdoches		124	22
Jones	003	40	15	Navarro	003	91	13
Karnes	002	47	12	Newton	005	24	6
Kaufman	003	138	13	Nolan	003	116	48
Kendall	003	34	6	Nueces	011	2,369	403
Kenedy	011	2	0	Ochiltree	001	2,309 4	2
Kent	002	2	0	Oldham	001	0	0
Kerr	002	222	60		001	113	31
	009	17		Orange Palo Pinto	003		39
Kimble			2			135	
King	001 008	0 1	0	Panola Parker	004 003	43 273	10 63
Kinney							2
Kleberg	011 002	267	64	Parmer	001	16	
Knox		28	14	Pecos	009	61	24
Lamar	004	118	30	Polk	005	117	28
Lamb	001	51 52	10	Potter	001	544	119
Lampasas	007	53	2	Presidio	010	10	0
La Salle	008	31	0	Rains	004	33	7
Lavaca	800	31	9	Randall	001	254	64
Lee	007	56	16	Reagan	009	19	4

Five Year Outcome for Children Returned Home from Substitute Care or Served in Family-Based Safety Services in FY 2009

County	Region	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2009	Subsequently Confirmed Victims In Fiscal Years 2009- 2014	County	Region	Total Children Served In Fiscal Year 2009	equently Confirmed tims In Fiscal Years 2009- 2014
Real	008	1	0	Tom Green	009	545	138
Red River	004	58	14	Travis	007	944	186
Reeves	009	57	11	Trinity	005	26	7
Refugio	011	41	3	Tyler	005	27	5
Roberts	001	0	0	Úpshur	004	150	27
Robertson	007	36	5	Upton	009	11	4
Rockwall	003	67	6	Uvalde	008	71	22
Runnels	002	46	16	Val Verde	008	59	7
Rusk	004	92	18	Van Zandt	004	168	51
Sabine	005	6	1	Victoria	008	303	84
San Augustine	e 005	7	1	Walker	006	96	9
San Jacinto	005	46	9	Waller	006	71	5
San Patricio	011	497	107	Ward	009	44	2
San Saba	007	25	6	Washington	007	73	15
Schleicher	009	404	3	Webb	011	1,137	156
Scurry	002	95	25	Wharton	006	57	12
Shackelford	002	10	2	Wheeler	001	12	3
Shelby	005	23	2	Wichita	002	642	178
Sherman	001	2	0	Wilbarger	002	57	4
Smith	004	238	30	Willacy	011	147	45
Somervell	003	14	4	Williamson	007	442	71
Starr	011	239	50	Wilson	008	42	7
Stephens	002	43	9	Winkler	009	21	3
Sterling	009	2	0	Wise	003	177	37
Stonewall	002	5	4	Wood	004	121	25
Sutton	009	23	2	Yoakum	001	13	4
Swisher	001	17	5	Young	002	79	21
Tarrant	003	4,523	965	Zapata	011	135	31
Taylor	002	527	134	Zavala	008	43	12
Terrell	009	0	0	Out of State		5	0
Terry	001	28	11	State Total		57,822	11,283
Throckmorto	n 002	7	3				
Titus	004	79	18				

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (11).

		Ch''l	Children in	p.*.1	Children Entering	Children in S	Substitute Care***	Children
County	Region	Child Population	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point Prevalence**	Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Relative Care****	Non-Relative Care	Children in Foster Care*
Anderson	004	11,594	134	11.6	62	69	59	98
Andrews	009	4,542	32	7.0	3	17	15	19
Angelina	005	23,772	163	6.9	90	106	57	107
Aransas	011	4,520	105	23.2	47	28	78	91
Archer	002	2,117	7	3.3	3	4	2	6
Armstrong	001	375	5	13.3	0	2	0	2
Atascosa	008	13,538	243	17.9	55	151	87	131
Austin	006	7,524	39	5.2	21	24	16	27
Bailey	001	2,383	32	13.4	10	8	18	18
Bandera	008	3,979	66	16.6	21	31	35	54
Bastrop	007	21,379	310	14.5	121	175	109	167
Baylor	002	764	3	3.9	0	0	3	3
Bee	011	6,856	151	22.0	73	72	77	90
Bell	007	98,721	973	9.9	432	529	409	567
Bexar	008	491,136	5,445	11.1	1,887	2,775	2,589	3,426
Blanco	007	2,278	19	8.3	16	15	5	7
Borden	007	117	6	51.3	0	0	5	5
Bosque	007	4,089	50	12.2	24	28	19	32
Bowie	007	21,900	211	9.6	86	103	99	116
	004	96,020	256	2.7	89	116	144	190
Brazoria Brazos				3.4	42	71	94	
Brazos	007	47,729	161					139
Brewster	010	1,947	5	2.6	3	3	3	3
Briscoe	001	333	3	9.0	2	0	3	3
Brooks	011	1,996	26	13.0	5	4	20	22
Brown	002	9,154	124	13.5	51	52	63	90
Burleson	007	4,140	61	14.7	30	36	24	38
Burnet	007	10,299	160	15.5	67	85	68	89
Caldwell	007	10,317	119	11.5	41	82	39	62
Calhoun	008	5,879	51	8.7	33	20	31	35
Callahan	002	3,306	12	3.6	3	4	10	11
Cameron	011	141,748	572	4.0	276	326	245	360
Camp	004	3,404	54	15.9	13	24	30	38
Carson	001	1,509	12	8.0	6	0	8	8
Cass	004	6,993	95	13.6	55	57	37	41
Castro	001	2,502	40	16.0	7	21	14	14
Chambers	006	10,728	58	5.4	20	36	22	34
Cherokee	004	13,753	177	12.9	62	76	92	107
Childress	001	1,524	10	6.6	3	2	9	11
Clay	002	2,362	12	5.1	2	7	4	5
Cochran	001	884	7	7.9	0	1	6	6
Coke	009	630	13	20.6	7	9	2	5
Coleman	002	1,970	21	10.7	1	9	12	16
Collin	003	250,047	504	2.0	227	221	270	327
Collingsworth		838	11	13.1	6	6	5	10
Colorado	006	4,972	22	4.4	10	12	10	12
Comal	008	27,772	303	10.9	135	183	112	172
Comanche	002	3,350	19	5.7	3	13	6	12
Concho	009	568	2	3.5	0	0	3	3

			Children in		Children Entering	Children in Substitute Care**		Children
County	Region	Child Population	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point Prevalence**	Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Relative Care****	Non-Relative Care	Children in Foster Care*
Cooke	003	9,873	148	15.0	56	63	77	99
Coryell	007	22,926	175	7.6	78	116	59	102
Cottle	002	341	4	11.7	4	3	1	1
Crane	009	1,293	4	3.1	0	2	2	0
Crockett	009	968	13	13.4	9	5	5	4
Crosby	001	1,772	22	12.4	9	11	11	18
Culberson	010	651	6	9.2	0	6	0	0
Dallam	001	2,138	9	4.2	1	1	7	8
Dallas	003	684,069	4,382	6.4	1,826	2,417	1,907	2,864
Dawson	009	3,405	80	23.5	35	50	30	44
Deaf Smith	001	6,519	55	8.4	21	15	39	47
Delta	004	1,116	21	18.8	18	9	12	15
Denton	003	204,770	625	3.1	263	266	316	413
DeWitt	008	4,497	64	14.2	26	33	33	38
Dickens	001	461	12	26.0	6	5	7	7
Dimmit	008	2,903	76	26.2	25	44	36	44
Donley	001	728	12	16.5	3	2	8	8
Duval	011	3,025	27	8.9	11	10	17	25
Eastland	002	4,237	25	5.9	5	13	11	17
Ector	009	42,345	457	10.8	147	208	239	301
Edwards	008	406	10	24.6	1	1	7	8
Ellis	003	46,146	118	2.6	75	55	68	93
El Paso	010	248,080	849	3.4	290	299	523	648
Erath	003	8,966	49	5.5	16	14	34	38
Falls	007	3,876	30	7.7	12	14	16	19
Fannin	003	7,611	39	5.1	20	23	18	31
Fayette	007	5,417	65	12.0	20	44	17	33
Fisher	002	805	7	8.7	0	1	6	1
Floyd	001	1,784	42	23.5	5	10	26	26
Foard	002	247	2	8.1	0	0	2	2
Fort Bend	006	195,786	224	1.1	88	111	109	157
Franklin	004	2,717	29	10.7	15	17	11	19
Freestone	007	4,646	41	8.8	25	22	19	20
Frio	008	4,250	92	21.6	10	43	42	65
Gaines	009	6,804		5.0	12	10	23	25
Galveston	006	76,964		4.7	147	210	131	196
Garza	001	1,303	30	23.0	5	17	12	15
Gillespie	008	5,226	34	6.5	5	12	21	29
Glasscock	009	304	0	0.0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	1,583	10	6.3	9	3	7	9
Gonzales	008	5,590	50	8.9	23	22	28	38
Gray	001	5,972	64	10.7	9	18	44	50
Grayson	003	29,638	253	8.5	96	115	138	191
Gregg	004	32,181	338	10.5	112	133	200	239
Grimes	007	6,105	48	7.9	25	21	21	32
Guadalupe	008	39,986	195	4.9	66	120	70	94
Hale	001	10,700	120	11.2	58	44	72	84
Hall	001	805	12	14.9	0	7	2	4
. 11111	001	003	12	11.7	O	ı	-	,

			Children in	Children in		Children in S	Substitute Care***	
County	Region	Child Population	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point Prevalence**	Children Entering Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Relative Care****	Non-Relative Care	Children in Foster Care*
Hamilton	007	1,789	8	4.5	2	5	4	4
Hansford	001	1,702	13	7.6	8	11	2	5
Hardeman	002	1,028	13	12.6	4	9	4	9
Hardin	005	14,554	54	3.7	30	20	33	40
Harris	006	1,201,493	6,424	5.3	1,877	2,868	3,454	4,346
Harrison	004	17,542	144	8.2	66	54	85	107
Hartley	001	1,297	4	3.1	1	0	4	1
Haskell	002	1,205	14	11.6	0	6	8	14
Hays	007	47,624	214	4.5	106	115	99	128
Hemphill	001	1,144	11	9.6	3	4	7	11
Henderson	004	18,376	291	15.8	125	112	175	222
Hidalgo	011	284,166	1,048	3.7	369	393	607	789
Hill	007	8,734	105	12.0	55	65	43	55
Hockley	001	6,387	90	14.1	24	35	49	51
Hood	003	11,219	129	11.5	51	48	79	101
Hopkins	004	9,229	90	9.8	49	45	35	39
Houston	005	4,811	64	13.3	17	27	35	51
Howard	009	8,012	172	21.5	48	100	68	110
Hudspeth	010	953	1	1.0	3	1	0	0
Hunt	003	22,830	263	11.5	142	125	132	190
Hutchinson	001	5,750	55	9.6	31	16	39	44
Irion	009	310	1	3.2	0	0	1	1
Jack	002	1,959	26	13.3	15	18	9	14
Jackson	008	3,562	18	5.1	8	15	3	10
Jasper	005	8,806	48	5.5	19	20	29	39
Jeff Davis	010	367	0	0.0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	61,551	383	6.2	181	125	248	325
Jim Hogg	011	1,548	11	7.1	0	8	1	3
Jim Wells	011	12,095	91	7.5	32	50	39	53
Johnson	003	43,533	413	9.5	153	149	251	325
Jones	002	3,758	17	4.5	0	12	5	8
Karnes	008	2,924	56	19.2	16	29	22	30
Kaufman	003	33,128	147	4.4	71	80	66	90
Kendall	008	8,456	39	4.6	17	23	14	27
Kenedy	011	99	0	0.0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	162	4	24.7	0	0	4	4
Kerr	008	10,314	156	15.1	56	76	73	118
Kimble	009	910	23	25.3	4	8	14	21
King	001	57	0	0.0	0	Ō	0	0
Kinney	008	681	5	7.3	0	0	5	3
Kleberg	011	8,565	96	11.2	34	41	51	66
Knox	002	931	9	9.7	3	0	9	9
Lamar	004	12,229	138	11.3	79	75	55	86
Lamb	001	4,020	54	13.4	31	31	23	31
Lampasas	007	4,923	75	15.2	34	45	21	32
La Salle	008	1,562	27	17.3	6	11	16	15
Lavaca	008	4,263	30	7.0	3	11	15	18

		21	Children in	<u>.</u>	Children Entering	Children in S	Substitute Care***	OLUL III	
County	Region	Child Population	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point Prevalence**	Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Relative Care****	Non-Relative Care	Children in Foster Care*	
Leon	007	3,867	52	13.4	28	23	29	30	
Liberty	006	20,300	273	13.4	94	155	114	164	
Limestone	007	5,653	50	8.8	26	38	15	29	
Lipscomb	001	878	2	2.3	0	1	1	1	
Live Oak	011	2,218	58	26.1	38	31	27	33	
Llano	007	3,144	61	19.4	29	46	14	29	
Loving	009	7	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	
Lubbock	001	72,975	920	12.6	306	382	526	633	
Lynn	001	1,594	15	9.4	7	6	8	7	
Madison	007	3,082	23	7.5	7	12	10	11	
Marion	004	1,925	22	11.4	9	7	10	11	
	004		1	0.7	0	1	0		
Martin		1,449						0	
Mason	009	803	1	1.2	1	1	0	1	
Matagorda	006	9,567	56	5.9	20	34	23	43	
Maverick	008	18,645	28	1.5	8	10	19	22	
McCulloch	009	1,998	23	11.5	2	10	13	14	
McLennan	007	61,080	702	11.5	307	401	296	417	
McMullen	011	121	4	33.1	4	4	0	1	
Medina	008	12,245	197	16.1	68	104	79	105	
Menard	009	422	5	11.8	0	2	3	3	
Midland	009	39,433	187	4.7	45	80	97	115	
Milam	007	6,366	110	17.3	49	71	37	60	
Mills	007	1,153	12	10.4	1	7	5	10	
Mitchell	002	1,813	6	3.3	0	1	5	5	
Montague	002	4,611	64	13.9	18	27	29	41	
Montgomery	006	139,135	668	4.8	200	330	315	424	
Moore	001	7,286	25	3.4	8	7	17	21	
Morris	004	3,038	21	6.9	10	17	4	11	
Motley	001	244	2	8.2	0	0	2	1	
Nacogdoches		17,077	184	10.8	75	64	117	146	
Navarro	003	13,432	97	7.2	17	28	66	73	
Newton	005	3,067	22	7.2	8	5	14	17	
Nolan	003	4,006	46	11.5	9	19	23	31	
Nueces	011	88,260	471	5.3	278	210	265	374	
Ochiltree	001		9	2.6		3			
		3,525	1		1		6	6	
Oldham	001	541		1.8	0	0	1	1	
Orange	005	20,768	225	10.8	110	80	138	175	
Palo Pinto	003	7,172	215	30.0	111	90	114	145	
Panola	004	5,913	34	5.8	17	18	13	25	
<u>Parker</u>	003	32,597	283	8.7	141	157	115	185	
Parmer	001	3,335	3	0.9	0	1	2	3	
Pecos	009	3,967	27	6.8	10	15	9	15	
Polk	005	9,774	128	13.1	58	76	48	78	
Potter	001	34,841	435	12.5	153	92	343	368	
Presidio	010	2,215	9	4.1	1	3	4	4	
Rains	004	2,379	22	9.2	14	9	13	14	
Randall	001	32,138	280	8.7	67	101	168	204	
Nandan									

			Children in		Children Entering	Children in S	Substitute Care***	
County	Region	Child Population	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point Prevalence**	Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Relative Care****	Non-Relative Care	Children in Foster Care*
Real	008	595	20	33.6	5	15	5	7
Red River	004	2,700	33	12.2	20	21	10	19
Reeves	009	3,126	17	5.4	7	1	16	17
Refugio	011	1,710	16	9.4	10	8	7	10
Roberts	001	241	0	0.0	0	0	Ó	0
Robertson	007	4,243	27	6.4	6	16	8	18
Rockwall	003	26,244	37	1.4	11	20	16	21
Runnels	002	2,576	37	14.4	14	22	16	23
Rusk	004	12,988	159	12.2	84	100	54	76
Sabine	005	2,022	9	4.5	7	7	1	4
San Augustin		1,810	11	6.1	3	4	7	11
San Jacinto	005	6,435	49	7.6	11	22	26	34
San Patricio	011	17,858	165	9.2	81	98	65	114
San Saba	007	1,212	36	29.7	27	25	11	10
Schleicher	007	1,132	13	11.5	1	8	5	10
Scurry	002	4,460	28	6.3	9	11	15	19
Shackelford	002	803		1.2	1	1	0	0
	002		1 66	9.6	=	37		
Shelby		6,856			34		29	48
Sherman	001	854	1	1.2	0	0	1	1
Smith	004	56,163	485	8.6	168	232	238	284
Somervell	003	2,203	16	7.3	2	7	9	14
Starr	011	20,650	106	5.1	30	42	66	87
Stephens	002	2,265	21	9.3	3	8	13	16
Sterling	009	277	4	14.4	0	4	0	0
Stonewall	002	320	1	3.1	0	1	0	0
Sutton	009	1,112	5	4.5	0	1	1_	1
Swisher	001	2,025	23	11.4	16	16	7	12
Tarrant	003	529,400	2,104	4.0	673	586	1,406	1,661
Taylor	002	33,477	361	10.8	135	140	207	276
Terrell	009	213	0	0.0	0	0	0	0
Terry	001	3,378	78	23.1	29	46	23	28
Throckmorto		323	0	0.0	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	10,095	47	4.7	16	24	24	29
Tom Green		27,232	589	21.6	192	273	307	354
Travis	007	274,241	1,617	5.9	631	838	704	961
Trinity	005	3,000	41	13.7	10	16	17	24
Tyler	005	4,1 70	30	7.2	14	15	15	22
Upshur	004	9,982	84	8.4	29	45	29	51
Upton	009	963	8	8.3	2	3	5	6
Uvalde	008	7,748	84	10.8	34	36	44	50
Val Verde	008	15,133	54	3.6	17	37	13	27
Van Zandt	004	12,704	191	15.0	83	79	106	128
Victoria	008	23,319	255	10.9	72	112	133	168
Walker	006	11,681	89	7.6	26	41	35	45
Waller	006	11,991	65	5.4	29	35	27	39
Ward	009	2,893	22	7.6	16	8	14	13
Washington	007	7,696	64	8.3	25	33	28	44
Webb	011	92,593	697	7.5	230	214	477	589

		OLUL I	Children in	B. 1.1	Children Entering	Children in S	Substitute Care***	OLULIA
County	Region	Child Population	DFPS Legal Responsibility	Point Prevalence**	Substitute Care (Total Removals)	Relative Care****	Non-Relative Care	Children in Foster Care*
Wharton	006	11,154	36	3.2	14	15	21	30
Wheeler	001	1,372	11	8.0	4	4	7	5
Wichita	002	31,177	310	9.9	124	115	192	285
Wilbarger	002	3,466	21	6.1	5	5	17	16
Willacy	011	6,085	83	13.6	35	29	51	55
Williamson	007	137,516	440	3.2	180	202	217	250
Wilson	008	11,834	111	9.4	33	82	25	52
Winkler	009	2,129	8	3.8	3	4	4	8
Wise	003	16,317	154	9.4	56	83	54	76
Wood	004	8,810	164	18.6	73	77	81	108
Yoakum	001	2,597	15	5.8	5	11	5	6
Young	002	4,524	34	7.5	16	24	10	24
Zapata	011	5,076	47	9.3	13	19	29	38
Zavala	008	3,701	47	12.7	14	31	16	21
Unknown		n/a	0	n/a	3	0	0	0
Out of State		n/a	0	n/a	1	0	0	0
State Total		7,266,760	46,823	6.4	17,378	21,994	23,631	31,176

^{*} Children in foster care is by living arrangement and will not equal children in paid foster care.

Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio) - based on Census 2010 data.

The following footnote addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (14).

Total Removals includes 16 children removed solely to obtain mental health services for the child. Counties included are Anderson, Bexas, Brazos, Guadalupe, Harrison, Palo Pinto, San Patricio and Tarrant Counties with one child each and Harris, Hunt, McLennan and Williamson Counties with two children each.

^{**} Point Prevalence: Rate of annual number of children in DFPS legal responsibility in Fiscal Year 2014 per 1,000 children in the child population."

^{***} Substitute care and foster care include children ages 0 to 20. Children age out of DFPS legal responsibility at age 18 but may remain in foster care. Youth ages 18 and over who are in foster care are counted in substitute care.

^{****} Relative Care means any type of relative placement including relatives who have been verified as foster parents and relative caregivers who are not verified foster parents.

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Anderson	004	62	18	24	13	0	7
Andrews	009	3	0	0	3	0	0
Angelina	005	90	13	55	7	0	15
Aransas	011	47	0	22	25	0	0
Archer	002	3	0	3	0	0	0
Armstrong	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	55	2	8	42	0	3
Austin	006	21	3	13	2	0	3
Bailey	001	10	0	7	3	0	0
Bandera	008	21	0	18	3	0	0
Bastrop	007	121	19	65	28	0	9
Baylor	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bee	011	73	1	14	57	0	1
Bell	007	432	118	168	107	1	38
Bexar	008	1,887	153	229	1,445	0	60
Blanco	007	16	0	11	5	0	0
Borden	007	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bosque	007	24	0	12	9	0	3
Bowie	004	86	17	53	6	0	10
Brazoria	004	89	6	49	26	0	8
Brazos	007	42	7	13	15	0	7
Brewster	010	3	0	0	3	0	0
Briscoe	001	2	0	2	0	0	0
Brooks	011	5	0	0	5	0	0
Brown	002	51		34	8		5
Burleson	002	30	0 9	13	5	4 0	3
Burnet	007	67	0	47	14	0	
	007	41			28		6
Caldwell Calhoun			4	8		0	1
	008	33	0	11	21	0	1
Callahan	002	3	0	3	0	0	0
Cameron	011	276	0	21	252	0	3
Camp	004	13	1	6	5	0	1
Carson	001	6	0	5	0	0	1
Cass	004	55	4	42	4	1	4
Castro	001	7	1	0	6	0	0
Chambers	006	20	2	11	4	0	3
Cherokee	004	62	8	35	6	0	13
Childress	001	3	0	0	3	0	0
Clay	002	2	0	2	0	0	0
Cochran	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coke	009	7	0	4	3	0	0
Coleman	002	1	0	1	0	0	0
Collin	003	227	43	117	49	0	18
Collingsworth	001	6	0	2	4	0	0
Colorado	006	10	1	0	9	0	0
Comal	008	135	1	48	80	0	6
Comanche	002	3	0	1	2	0	0
Concho	009	0	0	0	0	0	0

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Cooke	003	56	4	28	20	0	4
Coryell	007	78	5	47	13	0	13
Cottle	002	4	0	0	4	Ö	0
Crane	009	0	Ö	Ö	0	Ö	0
Crockett	009	9	0	3	6	0	0
Crosby	001	9	3	3	3	0	0
Culberson	010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dallam	001	1	0	0	1	0	0
Dallas	003	1,826	663	372	672	3	116
Dawson	009	35	0	7	27	0	1
Deaf Smith	001	21	0	5	16	0	0
Delta	004	18	3	12	10	0	2
	004	263	30		58	_	32
Denton				143		0	
DeWitt	008	26	0	7	18	0	1
Dickens	001	6	1	0	2	0	3
Dimmit	008	25	0	0	23	2	0
Donley	001	3	0	3	0	0	0
Duval	011	11	0	0	11	0	0
Eastland	002	5	0	2	3	0	0
Ector	009	147	6	60	76	0	5
Edwards	008	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ellis	003	75	12	41	16	0	6
El Paso	010	290	21	40	220	2	7
Erath	003	16	0	8	7	0	1
Falls	007	12	1	9	2	0	0
Fannin	003	20	3	17	0	0	0
Fayette	007	20	0	13	7	0	0
Fisher	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Floyd	001	5	0	1	3	0	1
Foard	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Bend	006	88	32	22	32	0	2
Franklin	004	15	0	6	9	0	0
Freestone	007	25	5	9	8	0	3
Frio	008	10	0	5	5	0	0
Gaines	009	12	0	3	7	0	2
Galveston	006	147	39	45	49	1	13
Garza	001	5	0	4	0	0	1
Gillespie	008	5	0	1	4	0	0
Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	9	0	0	9	0	Ö
Gonzales	008	23	0	5	17	0	1
Gray	001	9	1	6	2	ő	0
Grayson	003	96	10	69	12	0	5
Gregg	004	112	22	59	17	0	14
Grimes	007	25	3	16	6	0	0
Guadalupe	007	66	1	27	35	0	3
Hale	000	58	0	14	43	0	1
Hall	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
11211	001	U	U	U	U	U	U

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Hamilton	007	2	0	1	1	0	0
Hansford	001	8	0	0	8	0	0
Hardeman	002	4	1	0	2	0	1
Hardin	005	30	0	27	0	0	3
Harris	006	1,877	837	369	551	2	118
Harrison	004	66	14	44	3	0	5
Hartley	001	1	0	1	0	0	0
Haskell	002	0	0	0	Ō	0	Ō
Hays	007	106	2	54	46	0	4
Hemphill	001	3	0	1	2	0	Ö
Henderson	004	125	10	77	22	0	16
Hidalgo	011	369	0	18	347	0	4
Hill	007	55	1	34	10	0	10
Hockley	007	24	0	7	15	0	2
Hood	001	51	0	42	9	0	0
Hopkins	003	49	2	28	8	0	11
Houston	005	17	3	11	0	0	3
Howard	009	48	3	21	23	0	1
Hudspeth	010	3	0	0	3	0	0
Hunt	003	142	20	86	26	1	9
Hutchinson	001	31	1	24	5	0	1
Irion	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	002	15	0	12	0	0	3
Jackson	008	8	1	5	2	0	0
Jasper	005	19	2	13	4	0	0
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	181	103	46	27	0	5
Jim Hogg	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jim Wells	011	32	0	3	28	0	1
Johnson	003	153	0	113	27	0	13
Jones	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Karnes	008	16	0	1	14	0	1
Kaufman	003	71	19	39	7	0	6
Kendall	008	17	1	15	1	0	0
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kerr	008	56	1	34	20	0	1
Kimble	009	4	0	4	0	0	0
King	001	0	0	Ö	0	0	ő
Kinney	008	Ö	0	Ö	0	0	ő
Kleberg	011	34	1	2	31	0	0
Knox	002	3	0	0	3	0	0
Lamar	004	79	6	46	17	1	9
Lamb	004	31	3	0	25	0	3
Lampasas	007	34	6	13	14	0	1
Lampasas La Salle	007	6	0	13	5	0	0
	008						0
Lavaca		3	1	1 1 5	1	0	
Lee	007	19	3	15	0	0	1

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Leon	007	28	5	16	2	0	5
Liberty	006	94	7	68	11	0	8
Limestone	007	26	0	14	4	0	8
Lipscomb	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Live Oak	011	38	Ö	10	28	0	0
Llano	007	29	0	28	1	0	0
Loving	009	0	Ö	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	306	29	97	161	0	19
Lynn	001	7	0	1	6	Ö	0
Madison	007	7	3	4	0	Ö	Ö
Marion	004	9	2	. 7	0	0	0
Martin	009	0	$\overset{2}{0}$	Ó	0	ő	0
Mason	009	1	0	1	0	0	0
Matagorda	006	20	2	7	11	0	0
Maverick	008	8	0	1	7	0	0
McCulloch	009	2	1	0	1	0	0
McLennan	007	307	47	117	126	0	17
McMullen	011	4	0	1	3	0	0
Medina	008	68	1	17	48	0	2
Menard	008	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	009	45	7	18	15	0	5
Milam	009	45 49	12	27		0	4
Mills	007		0		6 0	0	
	007	1		1			0
Mitchell		0 18	0	0 17	0	0	0
Montague	002		0		1	0	0
Montgomery	006	200	23	113	46	0	18
Moore	001	8	0	3	1	0	4
Morris	004	10	2	3	2	0	3
Motley	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	005	75	29	36	6	0	4
Navarro	003	17	1	8	2	0	6
Newton	005	8	0	8	0	0	0
Nolan	002	9	0	5	3	0	1
Nueces	011	278	22	55	187	0	14
Ochiltree	001	1	0	1	0	0	0
Oldham	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	005	110	12	84	6	0	8
Palo Pinto	003	109	2	74	30	0	3
Panola	004	17	1	8	4	0	4
Parker	003	141	3	109	20	0	9
Parmer	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pecos	009	10	0	9	1_	0	0
Polk	005	58	3	42	7	0	6
Potter	001	153	14	50	74	0	15
Presidio	010	1	0	0	1	0	0
Rains	004	14	0	12	0	0	2
Randall	001	67	2	38	24	0	3
Reagan	009	2	0	1	1	0	0

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Real	008	5	0	3	1	0	1
Red River	004	20	1	16	1	0	2
Reeves	009	7	0	0	7	0	0
Refugio	011	10	0	1	6	0	3
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	6	6	0	0	0	0
Rockwall	003	11	0	9	2	0	0
Runnels	002	14	0	7	7	0	0
Rusk	004	84	18	50	9	0	7
Sabine	005	7	1	5	1	0	0
San Augustine	005	3	1	2	0	0	0
San Jacinto	005	11	0	9	1	0	1
San Patricio	011	81	1	19	60	ő	1
San Saba	007	27	0	20	7	0	0
Schleicher	009	1	0	1	Ó	0	0
Scurry	002	9	0	4	5	ő	0
Shackelford	002	1	0	1	0	0	0
Shelby	005	34	7	26	0	0	1
Sherman	003	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	001	168	36	85	28	0	19
Somervell	004	2	0	0	1	1	0
Starr	003	30	0	0	30	0	0
	002	3	0	1	2	0	0
Stephens	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sterling Stonewall	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutton	002	0	0	-	-	0	0
Swisher	009	16	0	$0 \\ 0$	0 16	0	0
		672		232	228		
Tarrant	003		164			0	48
Taylor Terrell	002	135	14	69	41	0	11
	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	001	29	1	2	26	0	0
Throckmorton	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	16	0	14	1	0	1
Tom Green	009	192	13	77	91	0	11
Travis	007	631	128	126	343	0	34
Trinity	005	10	2	5	3	0	0
Tyler	005	14	0	13	1	0	0
Upshur	004	29	1	24	2	0	2
Upton	009	2	0	0	2	0	0
Uvalde	008	34	0	4	30	0	0
Val Verde	008	17	0	4	13	0	0
Van Zandt	004	83	2	71	6	0	4
Victoria	008	72	2	20	44	0	6
Walker	006	26	10	10	3	0	3
Waller	006	29	6	11	12	0	0
Ward	009	16	3	6	7	0	0
Washington	007	25	0	13	11	0	1
Webb	011	230	0	8	219	0	3

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other**
Wharton	006	14	5	3	6	0	0
Wheeler	001	4	0	0	3	0	1
Wichita	002	124	10	70	30	0	14
Wilbarger	002	5	0	4	1	0	0
Willacy	011	35	0	0	35	0	0
Williamson	007	180	20	71	73	0	16
Wilson	008	33	0	13	20	0	0
Winkler	009	3	0	3	0	0	0
Wise	003	56	0	43	7	0	6
Wood	004	73	0	63	4	0	6
Yoakum	001	5	0	1	3	0	1
Young	002	16	0	15	1	0	0
Zapata	011	13	0	0	13	0	0
Zavala	008	14	0	0	13	0	1
Unknown		5	0	2	3	0	0
Out Of State		2	2	0	0	0	0
State Total		17,378	3,021	5,864	7,403	19	1,071

Note: Includes removals from all stages of service.

^{*} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

^{**} Other includes anyone not categorized as African American, Anglo, Hispanic or Native American.

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other***
Anderson	004	15	3	5	6	0	1
Andrews	009	6	0	1	5	0	0
Angelina	005	13	7	3	1	0	2
Aransas	011	16	0	5	9	0	2
Archer	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Armstrong	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	53	0	20	33	0	0
Austin	006	1	1	0	0	0	0
Bailey	001	7	0	0	7	0	0
Bandera	008	18	0	8	7	0	3
Bastrop	007	57	8	19	26	0	4
Baylor	002	3	1	0	2	Ö	0
Bee	011	11	0	1	9	Ö	1
Bell	007	79	29	24	11	ő	15
Bexar	008	890	104	112	648	0	26
Blanco	007	0	0	0	0	0	0
Borden	007	4	0	1	3	0	0
Bosque	007	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bowie	007	34	7	19	4	0	4
Brazoria	004	26	$\overset{\prime}{2}$	18	4	0	2
Brazos	007	36	13	18	3	0	2
Brewster	010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Briscoe	001	1	0	1	0	0	0
Brooks	011	3	0	0	3	0	0
Brown	002	18	2	9	4	0	3
Burleson	002	7	0	3	3	0	1
Burnet	007	14	0	9	4	0	1
Caldwell	007	24	0	11	13		0
Caldwell					2	0	
Callahan	008	3 2	0	1		0	0
	002		1	0 3	1 25	0	0
Cameron	011	28	0			0	0
Camp	004	7	1	4	2	0	0
Carson	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cass	004	4	1	2	0	0	1
Chamban	001	9	U 1	0	9	0	U 1
Chambers	006	3	I E	1	0	0	1 7
Cherokee	004	41	5	23	5	1	7
Childress	001	4	0	3	0	0	1
Clay	002	3	0	3	0	0	0
Cochran	001	5	0	0	5	0	0
Coke	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coleman	002	5	0	3	2	0	0
Collin	003	65	7	37	18	0	3
Collingsworth	001	2	0	2	0	0	0
Colorado	006	8	2	1	5	0	0
Comal	008	32	1	10	20	0	1
Comanche	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Concho	009	1	0	1	0	0	0

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other***
Cooke	003	15	2	11	1	0	1
Coryell	007	15	0	11	2	0	2
Cottle	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crane	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crockett	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crosby	001	8	0	0	8	0	0
Culberson	010	2	0	0	2	0	0
Dallam	001	4	0	0	4	0	0
Dallas	003	475	194	88	161	0	32
Dawson	009	7	0	0	7	0	0
Deaf Smith	001	16	0	3	13	0	0
Delta	004	1	1	0	0	Ö	Ö
Denton	003	81	29	30	16	ő	6
DeWitt	008	12	2	2	7	ő	1
Dickens	001	1	$\frac{2}{0}$	1	Ó	0	0
Dimmit	008	19	0	0	19	0	0
Donley	001	5	0	2	0	0	3
Duval	011	11	0	3	7	0	1
Eastland	002	6	0	5	Ó	0	1
Ector	002	67	7	18	37	0	5
Edwards	009	5	0	0	4	0	1
Ellis	003	15	4	10	1	0	0
El Paso	010	159	8	19	132	0	0
Er Faso Erath	003	7	0			0	-
Falls	003		-	4	3	-	0
		4	3	0	1	0	0
Fannin	003	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fayette	007	7	0	4	1	0	2
Fisher	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Floyd	001	7	0	1	6	0	0
Foard	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Bend	006	24	6	1	14	0	3
Franklin	004	1	0	1	0	0	0
Freestone	007	6	0	4	1	0	1
Frio	008	22	0	2	20	0	0
Gaines	009	5	0	2	3	0	0
Galveston	006	43	11	19	9	0	4
Garza	001	11	0	6	3	0	2
Gillespie	008	13	1	3	9	0	0
Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gonzales	008	8	0	5	2	0	1
Gray	001	9	1	5	2	0	1
Grayson	003	31	2	25	1	2	1
Gregg	004	72	23	36	6	0	7
Grimes	007	5	2	2	0	0	1
Guadalupe	008	25	1	9	15	0	0
Hale	001	14	2	2	10	0	0
Hall	001	4	1	0	3	0	0

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other***
Hamilton	007	2	0	2	0	0	0
Hansford	001	4	0	0	4	0	0
Hardeman	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hardin	005	11	3	8	0	0	0
Harris	006	1,224	622	178	354	Ö	70
Harrison	004	17	3	7	5	0	2
Hartley	001	0	0	Ó	0	0	0
Haskell	002	4	0	4	0	0	0
Hays	007	40	3	11	24	Ö	2
Hemphill	001	3	0	0	2	Ö	1
Henderson	004	31	3	23	3	0	2
Hidalgo	011	33	0	1	31	0	1
Hill	007	17	1	14	2	0	0
Hockley	007	13	2	1	8	0	2
Hood	001	22	1	21	0	0	0
	003	7	1 1		2	0	0
Hopkins		9	1 2	4		-	
Houston	005		3	6	0	0	0
Howard	009	28	3	18	6	0	1
Hudspeth	010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hunt	003	16	4	9	0	0	3
Hutchinson	001	5	0	2	3	0	0
Irion	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	008	1	0	1	0	0	0
Jasper	005	4	2	2	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	63	41	15	5	0	2
Jim Hogg	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jim Wells	011	14	0	1	13	0	0
Johnson	003	49	5	31	8	0	5
Jones	002	1	0	0	1	0	0
Karnes	008	9	2	2	5	0	0
Kaufman	003	12	0	10	2	0	0
Kendall	008	4	0	0	4	0	0
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	4	0	4	0	0	0
Kerr	008	29	1	18	10	0	0
Kimble	009	5	0	2	3	0	0
King	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	008	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kleberg	011	14	1	1	12	0	0
Knox	002	4	2	2	0	0	0
Lamar	004	5	0	1	4	0	0
Lamb	001	5	0	0	5	0	0
Lampasas	007	5	0	1	2	1	1
La Salle	008	9	0	0	9	0	0
Lavaca	008	12	5	3	4	Ō	0
Lee	007	6	4	2	0	0	Ō

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other***
Leon	007	4	0	2	0	0	2
Liberty	006	30	7	23	0	0	0
Limestone	007	7	3	3	0	0	1
Lipscomb	001	1	0	1	0	0	0
Live Oak	011	4	0	0	4	0	0
Llano	007	15	0	14	1	0	0
Loving	009	0	Ö	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	151	27	27	88	0	9
Lynn	001	2	0	0	2	Ö	0
Madison	007	2	Ö	2	0	Ö	0
Marion	004	5	0	5	0	0	0
Martin	009	0	0	0	Ö	ő	0
Mason	009	0	0	0	Ö	0	0
Matagorda	006	11	$\overset{\circ}{2}$	5	3	0	1
Maverick	008	6	0	1	5	0	0
McCulloch	009	6	0	5	1	0	0
McLennan	007	127	39	47	33	0	8
McMullen	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	008	65	0	20	44	0	1
Menard	008	3	0	1	2	0	0
Midland	009	38	6	15	12	1	4
Milam	009	30 11	2		5	0	
Mills	007	11	0	4	0	0	0
	007			1			0
Mitchell		4	0	0	4 0	0	0
Montague	002	2	0	2		0	0
Montgomery	006	111	7	81	14	0	9
Moore	001	5	2	3	0	0	0
Morris	004	1	1	0	0	0	0
Motley	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	005	31	13	11	3	0	4
Navarro	003	20	5	15	0	0	0
Newton	005	7	5	1	0	0	1
Nolan	002	11	0	1	10	0	0
Nueces	011	50	2	11	33	0	4
Ochiltree	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oldham	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	005	30	4	25	0	0	1
Palo Pinto	003	39	0	28	10	0	1
Panola	004	5	0	5	0	0	0
Parker	003	34	0	30	4	0	0
Parmer	001	2	0	1	1	0	0
Pecos	009	1	0	1	0	0	0
Polk	005	12	0	11	1	0	0
Potter	001	65	24	16	21	0	4
Presidio	010	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rains	004	5	0	3	1	0	1
Randall	001	42	0	20	12	0	10
Reagan	009	0	0	0	0	0	0

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other***
Real	008	2	0	1	1	0	0
Red River	004	3	0	2	1	0	0
Reeves	009	1	0	0	1	0	0
Refugio	011	2	0	0	2	0	0
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	6	5	0	1	0	0
Rockwall	003	3	0	2	0	0	1
Runnels	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rusk	004	8	0	2	4	0	2
Sabine	005	0	0	0	0	Ō	0
San Augustine	005	1	0	1	0	0	0
San Jacinto	005	7	0	5	ő	Ö	2
San Patricio	011	33	0	10	23	0	0
San Saba	007	2	0	1	1	0	0
Schleicher	007	3	0	1	2	0	0
Scurry	002	7	0	2	4	0	1
Shackelford	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
	002	7	-		3	-	0
Shelby		/	0	4		0	
Sherman	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	004	53	10	22	17	0	4
omervell	003	1	0	1	0	0	0
tarr	011	2	0	0	2	0	0
Stephens	002	9	0	2	7	0	0
Sterling	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutton	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swisher	001	5	3	0	2	0	0
Tarrant	003	227	67	82	55	0	23
Taylor	002	14	3	5	4	0	2
Terrell	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	001	10	0	1	7	0	2
Throckmorton	002	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	9	4	3	1	0	1
Tom Green	009	73	2	24	46	0	1
Travis	007	187	46	36	95	0	10
Trinity	005	1	1	0	0	0	0
Tyler	005	1	0	1	0	0	0
Jpshur	004	13	1	9	2	0	1
Jpton	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jvalde	008	7	0	0	6	0	1
al Verde	008	6	0	0	6	0	0
an Zandt	004	27	2	19	4	0	2
ictoria	008	35	1	14	17	Ö	3
Valker	006	13	2	6	1	Ö	4
Valler	006	3	2	0	1	0	0
Ward	009	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vashington	007	7	4	3	0	0	0
Webb	011	128	3	5	117	0	3

County	Region	Total	African American	Anglo	Hispanic	Native American	Other***
Wharton	006	3	2	0	1	0	0
Wheeler	000	2	0	2	0	0	0
Wichita	002	28	4	16	4	0	4
Wilbarger	002	11	0	0	11	0	4
		11	0	0		0	0
Willacy	011	0	0	0	0	0	0
Williamson	007	45	5	17	17	0	6
Wilson	008	18	0	7	10	0	1
Winkler	009	2	0	2	0	O	0
Wise	003	9	0	9	0	0	0
Wood	004	24	3	18	2	0	1
Yoakum	001	2	0	0	2	0	0
Young	002	1	0	1	0	0	0
Zapata	011	13	0	1	12	0	0
Zavala	008	13	0	0	13	0	0
State Total		6,595	1,527	1,899	2,779	5	385

^{* &}quot;Waiting for Adoption" means children who are legally free for adoption and not yet in an adoptive placement.

^{**} As recommended by the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) to ensure consistency across all HHSC agencies, in 2012, the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) adopted the HHSC methodology on how to categorize race and ethnicity. As a result, data broken down by race/ethnicity in 2012 and after is not directly comparable to race/ethnicity data in 2011 and before.

^{***} Other includes anyone not categorized as African American, Anglo, Hispanic or Native American

County	Region	Total	Family Reunification	Custody Given to Relative PCA	Custody Given to Relative Non-PCA	Non- Relative Adoption	Relative Adoption	Children Emancipated	Other
Anderson	004	34	8	3	6	6	3	8	0
Andrews	009	11	2	0	5	1	0	3	0
Angelina	005	53	16	3	18	5	5	5	1
Aransas	011	38	17	0	9	2	7	3	0
Archer	002	4	1	Ö	3	0	0	0	0
Armstrong	001	3	3	Ö	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	85	31	Ö	7	13	28	5	1
Austin	006	19	8	0	5	3	3	0	0
Bailey	001	10	3	0	4	1	1	1	0
Bandera	001	25	2	4	4	3	5	6	1
Bastrop	007	125	52	0	30	16	20	6	1
Baylor	007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bee	011	36	9	0	17	5	2	3	0
Bell	007	440	142	21	161	45	49	18	~
Bexar					268	43 417			4 7
	008	2,059	500	38			676	153	·
Blanco	007	10	3	0	7	0	0	0	0
Borden	009	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bosque	007	18	9	0	8	1	0	0	0
Bowie	004	101	45	0	32	9	7	5	3
Brazoria	006	79	23	7	34	4	1	9	1
Brazos	007	52	5	3	6	15	16	6	1
Brewster	010	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Briscoe	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brooks	011	12	7	0	0	0	1	4	0
Brown	002	40	14	3	2	13	3	5	0
Burleson	007	16	7	0	3	6	0	0	0
Burnet	007	86	23	3	33	8	12	7	0
Caldwell	007	39	15	3	8	4	7	1	1
Calhoun	008	12	10	0	2	0	0	0	0
Callahan	002	1	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
Cameron	011	226	96	14	91	7	4	12	2
Camp	004	28	4	0	13	10	1	0	0
Carson	001	7	3	0	1	0	0	0	3
Cass	004	65	18	0	39	5	1	2	0
Castro	001	22	13	0	0	0	9	0	0
Chambers	006	24	6	2	13	3	0	0	0
Cherokee	004	63	22	1	8	11	15	6	0
Childress	001	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clay	002	7	3	0	3	0	0	1	0
Cochran	001	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Coke	009	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coleman	002	7	3	0	2	1	0	1	0
Collin	003	195	62	5	62	35	7	19	5
Collingsworth	001	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Colorado	006	7	2	0	0	3	2	Ö	0
Comal	008	80	29	4	24	4	13	6	0
Comanche	002	7	4	0	0	2	0	1	0
Concho	002	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Concho	009	1	U	U	U	1	U	U	U

County	Region	Total	Family Reunification	Custody Given to Relative PCA	Custody Given to Relative Non-PCA	Non- Relative Adoption	Relative Adoption	Children Emancipated	Other
Cooke	003	57	9	0	26	12	6	4	0
Coryell	007	86	30	3	36	6	8	2	1
Cottle	002	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Crane	002	4	4	Ö	0	0	0	0	0
Crockett	009	5	3	Ö	1	1	0	ő	0
Crosby	001	1	0	Ö	0	1	0	ő	0
Culberson	010	4	0	Ö	4	0	0	ő	0
Dallam	001	3	1	Ö	1	0	0	1	0
Dallas	003	1,594	431	116	602	165	139	113	28
Dawson	009	28	14	0	11	103	1	1	0
Deaf Smith	001	14	11	0	0	1	0	2	0
Delta	004	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	004	245	68	8	57	59	37	12	
Denton DeWitt	003								4
		12	9	0	1	0	1	1	0
Dickens	001	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
Dimmit	008	15	4	0	3	1	4	3	0
Donley	001	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Duval	011	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Eastland	002	8	1	0	2	0	1	4	0
Ector	009	147	34	4	52	31	18	8	0
Edwards	008	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	0
Ellis	003	32	11	0	13	3	2	3	0
El Paso	010	342	138	6	75	63	39	17	4
Erath	003	24	12	0	3	5	4	0	0
Falls	007	10	4	0	0	2	3	1	0
Fannin	003	9	0	0	5	0	2	2	0
Fayette	007	30	6	0	4	4	14	2	0
Fisher	002	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Floyd	001	22	16	0	1	4	1	0	0
Foard	002	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Fort Bend	006	61	23	0	21	8	1	8	0
Franklin	004	11	4	1	3	0	3	0	0
Freestone	007	21	12	0	2	1	4	2	0
Frio	008	23	6	0	3	9	3	2	0
Gaines	009	8	4	0	0	1	2	1	0
Galveston	006	92	25	0	25	21	13	8	0
Garza	001	9	4	0	3	0	0	2	0
Gillespie	008	12	2	1	1	4	2	2	0
Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Gonzales	008	6	0	0	2	2	2	0	0
Gray	001	25	9	5	0	6	3	1	1
Grayson	003	85	15	2	46	12	4	5	1
Gregg	003	123	34	3	38	31	12	5	0
Grimes	007	17	11	0	3	1	2	0	0
Guadalupe	007	82	10	3	14	13	29	12	1
Hale	000	31	18	0	0	0	6	7	0
Hall	001	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0

County	Region	Total	Family Reunification	Custody Given to Relative PCA	Custody Given to Relative Non-PCA	Non- Relative Adoption	Relative Adoption	Children Emancipated	Other
Hamilton	007	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
Hansford	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hardeman	002	6	2	0	4	0	0	0	0
Hardin	005	13	5	0	5	1	2	0	0
Harris	006	2,281	530	79	627	344	459	201	41
Harrison	004	49	14	4	15	8	2	6	0
Hartley	001	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Haskell	002	7	0	4	0	3	0	0	0
Hays	007	69	25	4	16	16	4	4	0
Hemphill	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Henderson	004	92	37	3	18	16	10	8	0
Hidalgo	011	437	206	13	176	5	5	24	8
Hill	007	25	5	0	10	2	5	2	1
Hockley	001	40	16	0	10	5	7	2	0
Hood	003	40	10	5	10	13	1	1	0
Hopkins	004	36	7	0	22	3	2	1	1
Houston	005	24	9	0	7	4	2	2	0
Howard	009	57	21	0	27	2	5	2	0
Hudspeth	010	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Hunt	003	107	19	9	32	26	18	3	0
Hutchinson	001	17	4	0	10	1	0	2	0
Irion	009	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	002	7	3	0	3	0	0	1	0
Jackson	008	6	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
Jasper	005	15	3	0	6	2	1	3	0
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	150	53	8	40	25	18	5	1
Jim Hogg	011	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Jim Wells	011	30	10	0	13	2	2	2	1
Johnson	003	150	29	2	45	37	29	8	0
Jones	002	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Karnes	008	12	8	0	0	2	1	1	0
Kaufman	003	54	5	0	34	4	7	3	1
Kendall	008	15	1	1	4	2	6	1	0
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kerr	008	50	21	3	2	12	8	4	0
Kimble	009	5	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
King	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	008	5	0	0	3	0	2	0	0
Kleberg	011	35	10	0	4	4	15	2	0
Knox	002	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Lamar	004	56	31	2	15	4	0	4	0
Lamb	001	18	3	0	6	2	5	2	0
Lampasas	007	41	15	0	13	7	4	2	0
La Salle	008	7	1	0	4	0	2	0	0
Lavaca	008	6	4	0	0	2	0	0	0
Lee	007	15	3	0	8	1	0	2	1

County	Region	Total	Family Reunification	Custody Given to Relative PCA	Custody Given to Relative Non-PCA	Non- Relative Adoption	Relative Adoption	Children Emancipated	Other
Leon	007	14	5	0	7	0	0	0	2
Liberty	006	111	34	9	41	9	4	9	5
Limestone	007	18	5	4	2	1	6	Ó	0
Lipscomb	001	1	0	Ö	0	1	0	Ö	ő
Live Oak	011	22	10	0	7	3	2	ő	0
Llano	007	24	7	Ö	8	2	6	1	ő
Loving	009	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	303	80	3	56	57	76	26	5
Lynn	001	7	4	0	1	1	1	0	0
Madison	007	10	2	0	5	2	1	0	0
Marion	004	12	<u>2</u>	0	5	0	0	0	0
Martin	009	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Mason	009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	009	15	4	2	6	0	0	3	0
Matagorda Maverick	008	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
McCulloch	009	10	1		_	_	1	1	1
	009	143	31	0	1 31	6 31	29	15	0
McLennan				3					3
McMullen	011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	008	78	24	2	28	11	10	3	0
Menard	009	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Midland	009	58	13	0	17	16	7	3	2
Milam	007	32	12	0	16	2	2	0	0
Mills	007	5	4	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mitchell	002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montague	002	32	16	0	12	3	0	1	0
Montgomery	006	265	65	22	77	34	35	30	2
Moore	001	11	2	0	3	3	0	2	1
Morris	004	11	2	2	4	1	0	2	0
Motley	001	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
<u>Nacogdoches</u>	005	45	10	1	5	18	5	6	0
Navarro	003	46	11	0	5	14	12	3	1
Newton	005	9	3	1	4	0	0	1	0
Nolan	002	23	10	4	7	0	0	2	0
Nueces	011	129	50	11	26	16	10	15	1
Ochiltree	001	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Oldham	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	005	52	14	0	16	13	3	5	1
Palo Pinto	003	39	25	0	7	5	1	1	0
Panola	004	11	2	0	3	2	3	1	0
Parker	003	58	25	0	25	4	0	2	2
Parmer	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pecos	009	14	11	1	0	0	1	1	0
Polk	005	40	11	2	12	3	8	3	1
Potter	001	121	35	7	14	45	10	8	2
Presidio	010	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
Rains	004	5	2	0	1	0	0	2	0
Randall	001	102	41	3	18	22	8	9	1
Reagan	009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

County	Region	Total	Family Reunification	Custody Given to Relative PCA	Custody Given to Relative Non-PCA	Non- Relative Adoption	Relative Adoption	Children Emancipated	Other
Real	008	9	6	0	2	0	1	0	0
Red River	004	14	7	0	6	0	0	1	0
Reeves	009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Refugio	011	4	2	Ö	1	Ö	0	Ö	1
Roberts	001	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	ő	0
Robertson	007	9	2	Ö	5	1	0	1	Ö
Rockwall	003	19	1	Ö	3	3	10	1	1
Runnels	002	11	2	Ö	8	0	1	0	0
Rusk	004	65	37	4	21	1	1	1	0
Sabine	005	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
San Augustine	005	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
San Jacinto	005	24	7	0	11	4	0	2	0
San Patricio	011	55	28	2	9	4	9	3	0
San Saba	007	33 4	20 1	0	1	0	1	1	0
Schleicher	007		1	0	3	_			0
	009	4 12	0			0	$0 \\ 0$	0	0
Scurry			-	1	8	2	_	=	-
Shackelford	002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shelby	005	14	0	0	6	6	0	1	1
Sherman	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	004	207	53	16	63	41	21	13	0
Somervell	003	6	1	0	3	0	0	0	2
Starr	011	45	20	0	13	4	4	3	1
Stephens	002	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Sterling	009	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	002	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Sutton	009	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Swisher	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tarrant	003	802	256	27	165	231	45	69	9
Taylor	002	110	47	9	19	12	12	10	1
Terrell	009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	001	36	12	0	18	0	5	1	0
Throckmorton		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	15	2	0	4	3	3	3	0
Tom Green	009	199	51	7	39	51	33	15	3
Travis	007	675	273	13	95	112	131	33	18
Trinity	005	21	8	0	5	4	2	2	0
Tyler	005	13	8	0	4	0	0	1	0
Upshur	004	37	13	2	17	5	0	0	0
Upton	009	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uvalde	008	34	11	5	4	8	5	1	0
Val Verde	008	19	9	0	2	2	4	2	0
Van Zandt	004	64	23	0	19	11	9	2	0
Victoria	008	75	15	1	30	8	13	6	2
Walker	006	42	22	2	15	2	0	1	0
Waller	006	20	10	1	5	3	1	0	0
Ward	009	5	1	0	2	0	1	1	0
Washington	007	25	16	0	1	2	5	1	0
Webb	011	249	126	4	70	11	14	22	2

County	Region	Total	Family Reunification	Custody Given to Relative PCA	Custody Given to Relative Non-PCA	Non- Relative Adoption	Relative Adoption	Children Emancipated	Other
Wharton	006	16	3	1	3	5	2	2	0
Wheeler	001	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Wichita	002	110	37	14	18	24	5	11	1
Wilbarger	002	7	1	0	2	0	0	1	3
Willacy	011	51	28	0	12	10	0	1	0
Williamson	007	186	63	6	32	33	29	21	2
Wilson	008	39	9	1	6	6	12	5	0
Winkler	009	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Wise	003	73	30	0	32	6	3	2	0
Wood	004	54	12	1	17	12	4	7	1
Yoakum	001	6	3	0	2	0	0	1	0
Young	002	7	0	2	2	0	2	0	1
Zapata	011	13	2	1	5	3	1	1	0
Zavala	008	17	9	0	2	0	4	2	0
State Total		16,912	5,192	601	4,488	2,647	2,528	1,246	210

Average Length of Time in Months for Children Who Exit DFPS Legal Custody by Type of Exit: Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	Family Reunification	Relative With PCA	Relative Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non-Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Anderson	004	18.5	20.8	11.4	46.7	37.7	68.3	0.0
Andrews	009	15.9	0.0	10.8	0.0	21.6	21.9	0.0
Angelina	005	2.6	23.9	8.6	25.0	30.6	69.5	9.6
Aransas	011	18.3	0.0	16.7	31.3	22.8	21.4	0.0
Archer	002	15.6	0.0	15.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Armstrong	001	15.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atascosa	008	12.4	0.0	13.7	29.4	19.4	24.1	1.4
Austin	006	10.6	0.0	10.9	19.8	21.9	0.0	0.0
Bailey	001	14.7	0.0	18.3	70.5	48.7	72.2	0.0
Bandera	008	15.8	26.0	21.6	23.2	23.2	36.8	32.8
Bastrop	007	11.3	0.0	15.5	19.6	24.2	18.1	51.2
Baylor	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bee	011	9.0	0.0	8.4	28.0	33.4	31.6	0.0
Bell	007	10.2	19.7	10.0	24.3	27.8	60.1	7.2
Bexar	008	14.5	21.7	13.1	22.7	27.1	48.4	4.9
Blanco	007	7.8	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Borden	009	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bosque	007	11.5	0.0	13.9	0.0	24.4	0.0	0.0
Bowie	004	10.0	0.0	5.8	20.2	34.4	114.4	17.6
Brazoria	006	8.6	19.3	14.8	46.7	36.5	56.1	10.4
Brazos	007	17.8	37.8	17.1	42.8	27.6	85.7	17.2
Brewster	010	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	120.6	0.0
Briscoe	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Brooks	011	15.6	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	95.7	0.0
Brown	002	16.9	19.2	23.0	17.0	33.7	48.4	0.0
Burleson	007	13.1	0.0	8.4	0.0	34.4	0.0	0.0
Burnet	007	12.3	13.5	7.2	20.1	19.5	49.0	0.0
Caldwell	007	14.0	25.3	15.6	26.9	24.6	61.5	16.1
Calhoun	008	11.5	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Callahan	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.3	0.0	0.0
Cameron	011	11.0	19.2	10.5	22.9	31.5	58.3	1.5
Camp	004	9.4	0.0	9.9	25.1	25.3	0.0	0.0
Camp	004	18.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
Cass	004	9.4	0.0	5.2	26.8	40.3	34.6	0.0
Castro	004	18.5	0.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chambers	006	8.5	20.0	14.5	0.0	39.9	0.0	0.0
Cherokee	004	15.5	44.4	19.3	23.8	25.7	51.7	0.0
Childress	004	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.9	0.0
Clay	001	14.6	0.0	16.3	0.0	0.0	35.8	0.0
Cochran	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.1	31.2	0.0
	001	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Coke Colomon	009		0.0	16.6	0.0	0.0 9.6	88.1	0.0
Collin		16.4						0.0
Collin	003	11.6	23.1	10.7	22.4	24.1	39.3	3.4
Collingsworth	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	106.5
Colorado	006	4.0	0.0	0.0	16.5	20.1	0.0	0.0
Comal	008	12.4	38.3	16.6	21.8	25.1	32.7	0.0
Comanche	002	10.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.6	93.6	0.0
Concho	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	0.0

County	Region	Family Reunification	Relative With PCA	Relative Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non-Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Cooke	003	22.1	0.0	14.4	25.9	26.9	51.0	0.0
Coryell	007	10.1	23.6	8.5	25.4	26.4	18.3	20.2
Cottle	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0
Crane	009	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Crockett	009	52.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	24.4	0.0	0.0
Crosby	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4	0.0	0.0
Culberson	010	0.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dallam	001	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.4	0.0
Dallas	003	10.5	29.8	11.6	26.0	30.1	59.1	10.9
Dawson	009	17.4	0.0	11.1	37.5	102.2	35.9	0.0
Deaf Smith	001	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.4	35.6	0.0
Delta	004	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	53.4	0.0	0.0
Denton	003	13.5	20.0	14.3	25.2	27.6	18.4	0.1
DeWitt	008	11.4	0.0	36.2	31.0	0.0	34.6	0.0
Dickens	001	31.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dimmit	008	9.9	0.0	5.7	33.8	59.0	110.3	0.0
Donley	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.9	0.0
Duval	011	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5
Eastland	002	29.2	0.0	25.9	32.0	0.0	50.3	0.0
Ector	009	13.8	39.3	17.0	23.7	26.6	48.6	0.0
Edwards	008	9.1	0.0	37.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ellis	003	9.2	0.0	9.0	15.9	57.8	35.1	0.0
El Paso	010	11.6	23.3	11.8	25.8	32.3	49.2	1.5
Erath	003	15.2	0.0	2.7	36.1	23.6	0.0	0.0
Falls	007	9.2	0.0	0.0	24.3	24.4	116.7	0.0
Fannin	003	0.0	0.0	19.6	51.2	0.0	25.7	0.0
Fayette	007	15.3	0.0	11.0	28.4	23.1	82.1	0.0
Fisher	002	0.0	0.0	17.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Floyd	001	22.7	0.0	17.8	24.2	22.9	0.0	0.0
Foard	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0
Fort Bend	006	20.3	0.0	14.9	52.2	36.6	44.0	0.0
Franklin	004	14.6	16.3	8.6	21.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Freestone	007	3.9	0.0	12.6	24.8	45.2	34.0	0.0
Frio	008	18.4	0.0	13.1	43.5	44.0	54.6	0.0
Gaines	009	15.4	0.0	0.0	28.6	24.0	36.7	0.0
Galveston	006	19.1	0.0	13.5	33.9	32.7	53.3	0.0
Garza	001	17.4	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	32.7	0.0
Gillespie	008	20.5	17.5	10.8	25.3	30.5	48.4	0.0
Glasscock	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Goliad	008	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Gonzales	008	0.0	0.0	11.4	15.1	30.5	0.0	0.0
Gray	001	19.4	36.2	0.0	21.2	18.8	98.2	22.1
Grayson	003	22.5	17.9	11.2	21.2	22.0	30.4	17.4
Gregg	004	12.0	23.2	13.0	22.6	37.8	71.7	0.0
Grimes	007	12.8	0.0	12.5	43.3	18.0	0.0	0.0
Guadalupe	008	21.6	31.6	13.8	27.3	31.0	56.0	16.8
Hale	001	13.8	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	75.1	0.0
Hall	001	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	0.0	0.0

County	Region	Family Reunification	Relative With PCA	Relative Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non-Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Hamilton	007	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1
Hansford	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hardeman	002	10.8	0.0	17.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hardin	005	8.6	0.0	7.3	52.8	74.5	0.0	0.0
Harris	006	14.1	23.0	16.2	33.2	36.5	62.9	13.2
Harrison	004	14.6	15.3	9.3	21.8	28.0	67.5	0.0
Hartley	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.8	0.0	0.0
Haskell	002	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0
Hays	007	7.5	31.7	14.1	23.8	32.7	48.5	0.0
Hemphill	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Henderson	004	14.7	17.2	16.4	23.6	33.6	19.5	0.0
Hidalgo	011	15.6	21.5	11.9	20.5	43.0	38.7	12.3
Hill	007	22.3	0.0	12.1	28.5	23.8	84.4	13.8
Hockley	001	13.9	0.0	25.4	22.5	27.1	44.9	0.0
Hood	003	13.3	20.2	16.9	31.0	28.7	8.8	0.0
Hopkins	004	8.8	0.0	6.9	25.1	18.3	211.3	5.3
Houston	005	16.4	0.0	16.1	20.3	26.6	31.4	0.0
Howard	009	11.7	0.0	14.0	24.0	25.8	15.8	0.0
Hudspeth	010	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hunt	003	10.4	13.6	7.6	16.9	23.1	20.2	0.0
Hutchinson	001	0.2	0.0	7.2	0.0	35.5	52.0	0.0
Irion	009	0.0	29.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jack	002	13.2	0.0	11.3	0.0	0.0	97.7	0.0
Jackson	008	14.5	0.0	14.0	0.0	22.5	0.0	0.0
Jasper	005	15.7	0.0	14.3	24.1	18.0	97.5	0.0
Jeff Davis	010	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jefferson	005	8.0	22.0	10.9	20.0	24.1	81.0	1.7
Jim Hogg	011	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jim Wells	011	14.3	0.0	16.1	22.9	28.7	44.6	7.3
Johnson	003	17.3	19.1	17.6	24.0	26.2	56.9	0.0
Jones	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Karnes	008	28.1	0.0	0.0	79.9	15.3	80.2	0.0
Kaufman	003	12.0	0.0	12.5	17.3	33.5	34.3	2.5
Kendall	008	18.4	32.8	9.7	28.0	25.6	2.6	0.0
Kenedy	011	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kent	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kerr	008	17.4	23.4	16.2	22.5	34.0	49.4	0.0
Kimble	009	15.2	0.0	0.0	20.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
King	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kinney	008	0.0	0.0	18.0	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kleberg	011	28.0	0.0	18.3	37.6	48.6	44.2	0.0
Knox	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	158.0	0.0
Lamar	004	9.7	17.5	5.7	0.0	23.7	51.9	0.0
Lamb	001	11.3	0.0	0.8	20.2	84.5	77.8	0.0
Lampasas	007	12.2	0.0	9.0	20.8	37.9	60.5	0.0
La Salle	008	6.8	0.0	17.9	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lavaca	008	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.7	0.0	0.0
Lee	007	12.0	0.0	17.6	0.0	61.9	45.2	2.0

County	Region	Family Reunification	Relative With PCA	Relative Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non-Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Leon	007	9.7	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.6
Liberty	006	10.7	19.9	11.9	21.8	21.8	70.4	0.8
Limestone	007	12.8	28.7	16.5	29.5	17.3	0.0	0.0
Lipscomb	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.1	0.0	0.0
Live Oak	011	6.3	0.0	6.9	31.0	41.8	0.0	0.0
Llano	007	7.7	0.0	16.0	19.8	16.9	25.2	0.0
Loving	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lubbock	001	14.1	26.8	15.5	30.6	25.7	70.7	29.5
Lynn	001	21.5	0.0	0.7	18.4	34.5	0.0	0.0
Madison	007	13.1	0.0	18.0	12.0	26.8	0.0	0.0
Marion	004	6.7	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Martin	009	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mason	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Matagorda	006	12.9	31.3	13.1	0.0	0.0	120.5	0.0
Maverick	008	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.3
McCulloch	009	14.1	0.0	8.7	147.7	22.5	67.9	0.0
McLennan	007	15.6	21.9	13.1	27.7	31.2	47.8	21.7
McMullen	011	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Medina	008	14.6	25.6	12.7	17.8	33.1	35.9	0.0
Menard	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.3	32.6
Midland	009	10.9	0.0	15.8	27.2	29.8	92.3	19.8
Milam	007	13.9	0.0	15.3	25.9	39.1	0.0	0.0
Mills	007	12.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4	0.0
Mitchell	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montague	002	14.0	0.0	20.6	0.0	36.5	106.5	0.0
Montgomery	006	12.2	21.5	15.1	25.7	25.3	44.8	60.2
Moore	001	15.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	29.4	28.4	15.6
Morris	004	20.7	35.1	6.8	0.0	10.0	36.8	0.0
Motley	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.3	0.0	81.9	0.0
Nacogdoches	005	14.4	20.9	15.1	28.9	28.3	71.5	0.0
Navarro	003	15.0	0.0	6.3	23.3	34.1	80.7	0.0
Newton	005	24.5	17.9	4.2	0.0	0.0	49.2	0.0
Nolan	002	11.4	37.9	11.2	0.0	0.0	21.7	0.0
Nueces	011	7.7	16.2	6.0	33.6	33.1	76.2	21.6
Ochiltree	001	17.4	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0	125.8	0.0
Oldham	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Orange	005	16.8	0.0	14.7	20.2	29.3	92.9	28.1
Palo Pinto	003	16.7	0.0	15.2	15.9	32.2	29.9	0.0
Panola	003	18.6	0.0	10.6	35.9	28.5	91.4	0.0
Parker	004	13.0	0.0	17.0	0.0	31.5	16.0	3.6
Parmer	003	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	001	16.7	28.9	0.0	20.0	0.0	5.1	0.0
Pecos Pollz	009	7.7	28.9 17.5	13.4	20.0	36.5	51.3	0.0
Polk	005	8.1	17.5 36.9	31.0		36.5 36.8	85.2	18.7
Potter Presidio					36.0			
	010	32.9	0.0	0.0	23.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rains	004	32.8	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	50.4	0.0
Randall	001	18.1	33.3	19.1	23.3	32.2	64.5	22.1
Reagan	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

County	Region	Family Reunification	Relative With PCA	Relative Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non-Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Real	008	11.0	0.0	18.7	20.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Red River	004	6.3	0.0	9.6	0.0	0.0	103.7	0.0
Reeves	009	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Refugio	011	7.8	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Roberts	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Robertson	007	21.6	0.0	13.1	0.0	17.3	41.2	0.0
Rockwall	003	10.9	0.0	10.3	28.6	27.1	25.9	17.6
Runnels	002	22.3	0.0	29.2	42.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rusk	004	13.2	19.1	10.3	18.5	17.5	6.0	0.0
Sabine	005	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0
San Augustine	005	0.0	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Jacinto	005	27.4	0.0	26.4	0.0	54.8	81.8	0.0
San Patricio	011	8.5	20.1	10.6	22.5	52.1	31.4	0.0
San Saba	007	10.5	0.0	4.1	18.9	0.0	4.2	0.0
Schleicher	009	12.5	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Scurry	002	0.0	21.6	12.4	0.0	17.5	58.2	0.0
Shackelford	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shelby	005	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	33.0	19.3	5.4
Sherman	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Smith	004	14.8	21.5	10.7	24.0	25.9	31.6	0.0
Somervell	003	11.2	0.0	14.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.8
Starr	011	11.0	0.0	11.1	31.9	109.2	20.2	30.7
Stephens	002	16.5	0.0	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sterling	002	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stonewall	002	0.0	0.0	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sutton	002	20.7	0.0	0.0	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swisher	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tarrant	003	15.2	24.7	12.9	24.7	27.7	45.3	7.8
Taylor	003	16.6	33.3	13.5	25.7	40.0	56.3	0.0
Terrell	002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Terry	001	15.4	0.0	19.0	36.0	0.0	23.6	0.0
Throckmorton	001	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Titus	004	10.1	0.0	11.0	16.6	17.1	53.2	0.0
Tom Green	004	12.4	23.4	20.0	27.9	40.9	57.9	5.4
	007	13.3	21.2	15.1	24.9	27.5	33.6	10.8
Travis Trinity	007	13.8	0.0	14.0	31.3	26.3	78.1	0.0
Tyler	005	13.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	104.0	0.0
•	003	10.8	21.2	11.6	0.0	29.1	0.0	0.0
Upshur	004	15.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Upton	009		18.7		18.7	61.7		
Uvalde		16.8		8.0			6.1	0.0
Val Verde	008 004	15.8	0.0 0.0	13.5	25.9	16.7	69.7	0.0
Van Zandt		12.0		11.4	22.5	30.5	82.1	0.0
Victoria	008	17.2	24.4	13.8	27.1	19.5	88.1	2.9
Walker	006	11.6	20.2	9.8	0.0	53.9	47.7	0.0
Waller	006	8.8	22.5	7.4	17.4	19.0	0.0	0.0
Ward	009	3.0	0.0	12.1	26.6	0.0	13.0	0.0
Washington	007	11.6	0.0	6.9	35.7	23.9	210.8	0.0
Webb	011	11.5	22.3	13.0	30.6	63.2	44.9	7.3

County	Region	Family Reunification	Relative With PCA	Relative Without PCA	Adoption by Relative	Adoption by Non-Relative	Long Term Care - Emancipation	Long Term Care - Other
Wharton	006	13.7	21.4	11.0	45.7	36.5	139.7	0.0
Wheeler	001	0.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wichita	002	12.0	17.9	13.6	30.0	26.4	40.3	50.7
Wilbarger	002	16.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	31.2	1.9
Willacy	011	10.4	0.0	15.9	0.0	71.2	41.8	0.0
Williamson	007	13.8	27.0	15.3	25.4	42.4	42.7	5.4
Wilson	008	14.3	30.0	11.9	22.9	26.2	35.9	0.0
Winkler	009	0.0	0.0	41.4	0.0	22.5	0.0	0.0
Wise	003	13.7	0.0	12.3	29.9	38.5	27.8	0.0
Wood	004	11.4	17.2	9.3	21.5	27.1	32.6	1.0
Yoakum	001	12.4	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
Young	002	0.0	31.5	20.4	82.4	0.0	0.0	45.5
Zapata	011	9.2	27.0	23.7	53.0	92.1	120.5	0.0
Zavala	008	17.5	0.0	23.6	25.2	0.0	88.8	0.0
Statewide		13.2	24.2	13.1	26.6	30.8	54.0	13.2

County	Region	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized****	DFPS Custody Terminated***
Anderson	004	103	0	63	11	7	9	25
Andrews	009	15	Ö	3	1	3	1	10
Angelina	005	136	Ö	86	16	14	10	38
Aransas	011	86	0	46	2	7	9	30
Archer	002	7	0	3	0	Ó	0	4
Armstrong	001	5	0	0	0	2	0	3
Atascosa	008	191	0	55	8	60	41	44
Austin	006	39	0	21	4	6	6	13
Bailey	001	25	0	10	3	3	2	8
Bandera	001	63	0	21	0	20	8	17
Bastrop	007	285	0	128	1	70	36	89
	007	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Baylor Bee	011	130		82	23	5	7	29
Bell	007	862	$0 \\ 2$	82 446	23 28	5 68	94	350
					28 182	68 976		
Bexar Blanco	008	4,453 19	3	1,925 17			1,093	978
	007		0		0	0	0	10
Borden	009	6	0	1	0	5	0	1
Bosque	007	37	0	26	2	3	1	17
Bowie	004	180	0	116	5	22	16	85
Brazoria	006	192	0	89	20	20	5	74
Brazos	007	114	0	42	2	31	31	21
Brewster	010	4	0	3	0	0	0	1
Briscoe	001	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Brooks	011	23	0	5	6	1	1	11
Brown	002	106	0	52	14	13	16	24
Burleson	007	48	0	30	1	3	6	10
Burnet	007	149	0	72	5	20	20	66
Caldwell	007	97	0	38	4	18	11	29
Calhoun	008	38	0	33	0	0	0	12
Callahan	002	9	0	5	2	2	1	0
Cameron	011	513	0	275	66	15	11	217
Camp	004	47	0	13	3	10	11	17
Carson	001	12	0	7	2	0	0	7
Cass	004	94	0	57	3	4	6	62
Castro	001	30	0	7	0	4	9	13
Chambers	006	45	0	23	0	3	3	21
Cherokee	004	153	0	78	6	39	26	38
Childress	001	6	0	3	1	1	0	1
Clay	002	9	0	2	0	0	0	7
Cochran	001	6	0	0	0	4	1	1
Coke	009	13	0	6	1	0	0	6
Coleman	002	11	0	1	0	3	1	6
Collin	003	425	0	224	18	51	42	153
Collingsworth	001	10	0	6	0	2	0	2
Colorado	006	18	0	10	1	9	5	2
Comal	008	256	Ö	139	6	47	17	62
Comanche	002	14	Ö	3	2	3	2	5
Concho	009	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

County	Region	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized****	DFPS Custody Terminated***
Cooke	003	124	0	56	8	8	18	39
Coryell	007	162	0	83	8	16	14	71
Cottle	002	4	0	4	0	0	0	1
Crane	009	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Crockett	009	13	0	9	0	0	1	4
Crosby	001	17	0	9	0	7	1	0
Culberson	010	6	0	0	0	2	0	4
Dallam	001	5	0	0	1	1	0	3
Dallas	003	3,659	13	1,871	256	380	304	1,305
Dawson	009	62	0	35	1	5	2	26
Deaf Smith	001	40	0	28	0	5	1	13
Delta	004	21	0	18	0	1	1	2
Denton	003	532	0	267	7	74	96	149
DeWitt	008	53	Ö	27	3	12	1	11
Dickens	001	10	Ö	7	3	0	0	4
Dimmit	008	41	ŏ	25	1	3	5	10
Donley	001	5	Ö	3	0	0	0	2
Duval	011	15	Ö	10	Ö	4	Ö	1
Eastland	002	16	Ö	5	1	2	1	7
Ector	009	362	ő	147	16	90	49	99
Edwards	008	6	0	1	0	1	0	5
Ellis	003	104	ő	69	Ö	14	5	27
El Paso	010	656	ő	296	33	101	102	239
Erath	003	40	ő	16	1	4	9	15
Falls	007	27	ő	12	0	7	5	5
Fannin	003	36	ő	20	2	8	2	7
Fayette	007	55	Ö	22	1	14	18	12
Fisher	002	7	Ö	5	1	0	0	1
Floyd	001	36	0	9	0	5	5	17
Foard	002	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Fort Bend	006	170	0	86	19	16	9	52
Franklin	004	26	0	14	0	2	3	8
Freestone	007	39	0	25	2	5	5	16
Frio	008	53	0	10	5	17	12	11
Gaines	009	23	0	13	0	2	3	5
Galveston	006	277	0	152	13	29	34	64
Garza	001	27	0	4	2	14	0	9
Gillespie	008	25	0	5	1	8	6	6
Glasscock	009	0	Ö	0	0	Ö	0	0
Goliad	008	10	ő	9	0	1	1	ő
Gonzales	008	37	0	23	1	7	4	2
Gray	001	39	ő	10	1	5	9	16
Grayson	003	191	ő	95	5	26	16	69
Gregg	004	284	ő	112	16	53	43	80
Grimes	007	45	ő	25	1	4	3	14
Guadalupe	008	166	ő	67	3	28	42	41
Hale	001	91	0	57	1	7	6	26
Hall	001	11	0	2	0	3	1	5
	-		-	_		-		

County	Region	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized****	DFPS Custody Terminated***
Hamilton	007	6	0	2	0	1	0	4
Hansford	001	10	ő	8	0	2	ő	0
Hardeman	002	10	0	5	0	0	ő	6
Hardin	005	48	0	28	2	11	3	10
Harris	006	4,845	ő	1,922	203	897	803	1,490
Harrison	004	117	0	66	7	13	10	39
Hartley	001	4	0	1	Ó	0	3	0
Haskell	002	10	0	0	0	4	3	4
Hays	007	194	0	110	0	41	20	49
Hemphill	001	4	0	3	0	1	0	0
Henderson	004	245	0	131	21	29	26	67
Hidalgo	011	924	0	550	101	22	10	426
Hill	007	85	0	48	7	17	7	18
Hockley	001	72	0	24	1	9	12	28
Hood	001	111	0	51	6	25	14	28 27
Hopkins	003	80	0	51	0	8	5	30
Houston	005	46	0	17	3	5	6	18
Howard	009	139	0	49	6	35	7	51
Hudspeth	010	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hunt	003	247	0	142	0	32	44	63
Hutchinson	003	43	0	33	0	1	1	16
Irion	001	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jack	002	21	0	15	0	0	0	7
Jackson	002	18	0	8	3	1	1	5
Jasper	005	38	0	19	3	4	3	12
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	335	0	184	10	61	43	108
Jim Hogg	011	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Jim Wells	011	70	0	34	3	7	4	26
Johnson	003	347	0	157	9	66	66	84
Jones	003	4	0	0	1	2	1	0
Karnes	008	37	ő	16	0	9	3	9
Kaufman	003	123	ő	72	1	24	11	43
Kendall	008	34	ő	16	0	5	8	7
Kenedy	011	0	ő	0	0	0	0	Ó
Kent	002	4	ő	0	0	4	ő	0
Kerr	008	120	ő	55	1	26	20	30
Kimble	009	12	ő	4	0	3	2	3
King	001	0	ő	0	$\overset{\circ}{0}$	0	0	0
Kinney	001	5	0	0	0	0	2	3
Kleberg	011	79	0	37	3	5	19	16
Knox	002	5	0	3	0	0	0	2
Lamar	002	125	0	79	0	2	4	52
Lamb	004	44	0	31	0	3	7	11
Lampasas	007	72	0	32	1	9	11	30
La Salle	007	14	0	6	0	3	2	5
Lavaca	008	21	0	3	4	9	2	4
Lee	007	36	0	19	1	4	1	14
LCC	007	50	U	19	1	4	1	14

County	Region	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized****	DFPS Custody Terminated***
Leon	007	45	0	24	5	2	0	18
Liberty	006	200	0	94	4	15	13	99
Limestone	007	43	0	23	2	9	7	10
Lipscomb	001	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
Live Oak	011	51	0	41	1	1	5	17
Llano	007	50	0	32	0	14	8	14
Loving	007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	003	695	0	312	20	115	133	170
Lynn	001	15	0	7	0	2	2	5
Madison	007	19	0	7	1	3	3	7
Marion	007	21	0	9	2	<u>5</u> 5	0	12
			0		0			
Martin	009	1		0		0	0	1
Mason	009	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Matagorda	006	48	0	25	10	11	0	15
Maverick	008	17	0	8	5	4	0	2
McCulloch	009	19	0	2	0	7	7	3
McLennan	007	538	0	321	16	96	60	83
McMullen	011	4	0	4	0	0	0	0
Medina	800	169	0	66	3	57	21	60
Menard	009	3	0	0	0	1	0	2
Midland	009	122	0	48	3	28	23	35
Milam	007	92	0	49	4	12	4	28
Mills	007	8	0	1	1	1	0	5
Mitchell	002	O	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montague	002	52	0	16	2	7	3	29
Montgomery	006	533	0	204	39	85	69	200
Moore	001	20	0	10	0	1	3	8
Morris	004	18	0	10	0	0	1	10
Motley	001	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Nacogdoches	005	150	0	75	7	33	23	23
Navarro	003	82	0	20	3	25	26	20
Newton	005	17	0	8	1	2	0	10
Nolan	002	30	0	9	0	2	0	24
Nueces	011	400	0	287	18	25	26	106
Ochiltree	001	5	0	1	1	0	0	3
Oldham	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	005	195	0	109	14	31	16	36
Palo Pinto	003	184	0	108	1	41	6	33
Panola	004	30	Ö	18	0	1	5	6
Parker	003	239	Ö	140	11	35	4	54
Parmer	001	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Pecos	009	22	0	10	1	1	1	10
Polk	005	109	0	64	1	13	11	29
Potter	003	330	0	207	20	41	55	62
Presidio	010	7	0	1	0	2	1	3
Rains	004	18	0	14	1	1	0	5
Randall	004	207	0	95	7	29	30	73
	001		0	2	0		0	0
Reagan	009	2	U	2	U	0	U	U

County	Region	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS	TMC**	PMC: Not Free For Adoption	PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized****	DFPS Custody Terminated***
		Cillidieli	CV3		TOT Adoption	Adoption	Tillulized	reminated
Real	008	13	0	5	0	3	1	8
Red River	004	31	0	20	1	2	0	14
Reeves	009	8	0	7	0	1	0	0
Refugio	011	16	0	10	1	2	0	4
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	20	0	6	3	7	1	8
Rockwall	003	35	0	11	1	8	13	6
Runnels	002	26	0	14	2	0	1	10
Rusk	004	142	0	85	3	3	2	64
Sabine	005	9	0	8	0	1	0	2
San Augustine	005	5	0	3	1	1	0	1
San Jacinto	005	39	0	11	0	7	4	20
San Patricio	011	153	0	82	11	32	13	42
San Saba	007	33	0	29	0	2	1	3
Schleicher	009	4	0	1	0	0	0	4
Scurry	002	22	ő	9	1	4	2	10
Shackelford	002	1	0	1	0	Ö	0	0
Shelby	005	55	Ö	34	1	13	6	8
Sherman	001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	004	421	0	178	31	75	62	144
Somervell	003	13	0	2	1	4	0	6
Starr	011	73	0	28	2	3	8	40
Stephens	002	18	0	4	0	11	0	3
Sterling	002	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Stonewall	009	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sutton	002	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
Swisher	009	18	0	16	0	2	0	0
Tarrant	001		13	689	84	255	276	527
		1,637 271			13	255 25	24	96
Taylor Terrell	002 009		0	146				
		0	0	0	0	0		0
Terry	001	69	0	29	1	8	5	31
Throckmorton	002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	35 451	0	16	0	8	6	9
Tom Green	009	451	0	195	10	75 212	84	116
Travis	007	1,364	1	629	24	212	243	438
Trinity	005	32	0	10	2	1	6	15
Tyler	005	30	0	15	3	1	0	13
Upshur	004	76	0	29	7	18	5	32
Upton	009	5	0	2	0	0	0	3
Uvalde	008	70	0	34	5	6	13	21
Val Verde	008	43	0	17	1	8	6	13
Van Zandt	004	153	0	84	0	20	20	44
Victoria	008	174	0	72	21	20	21	54
Walker	006	75	0	24	6	8	2	40
Waller	006	56	0	31	5	3	4	16
Ward	009	21	0	17	1	0	1	4
Washington	007	52	0	26	1	3	7	18
Webb	011	510	0	238	36	56	25	224

County	Region	Unique Children*	Possessory CVS			PMC: Free For Adoption	Adoption Finalized****	DFPS Custody Terminated***
Wharton	006	30	0	14	0	3	7	9
Wheeler	001	8	0	4	0	0	0	5
Wichita	002	251	0	124	25	27	29	83
Wilbarger	002	8	0	4	0	0	0	7
Willacy	011	83	0	36	15	0	10	36
Williamson	007	382	0	177	17	40	62	125
Wilson	008	92	0	33	4	30	18	21
Winkler	009	7	0	3	0	2	1	1
Wise	003	143	0	56	13	14	9	64
Wood	004	145	0	75	7	26	16	38
Yoakum	001	12	0	5	0	2	0	6
Young	002	23	0	15	1	0	2	5
Zapata	011	32	0	15	2	4	4	9
Zavala	008	36	0	14	1	4	4	13
State Total		37,889	32	17,992	1,827	5,789	5,175	11,820

^{*} Counts unique children in County of last legal status event recorded during the fiscal year.

Note: CVS is conservatorship.

TMC is temporary managing conservatorship. PMC is permanent managing conservatorship.

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (12).

^{**} Includes care, custody and control.

^{***} Includes conservatorship not obtained.

^{****} Out of the 5,175 children adopted during FY14, 290 were placed out of state.

DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes

County	Region	Foster Homes*	Foster/ Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***	County	Region	Foster Homes*	Foster/ Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***
Anderson	004	0	2	3	Cooke	003	0	0	0
Andrews	009	0	0	0	Coryell	007	1	6	1
Angelina	005	1	14	5	Cottle	002	0	0	0
Aransas	011	0	0	0	Crane	009	0	0	0
Archer	002	0	0	0	Crockett	009	0	0	0
Armstrong	001	0	0	0	Crosby	001	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	0	1	5	Culberson	010	0	0	0
Austin	006	0	0	1	Dallam	001	0	0	0
Bailey	001	0	1	0	Dallas	003	20	72	25
Bandera	008	0	2	0	Dawson	009	0	0	0
Bastrop	007	0	5	5	Deaf Smith	001	0	2	0
Baylor	002	0	0	0	Delta	004	0	1	2
Bee	011	2	0	0	Denton	003	2	9	3
Bell	007	1	32	12	DeWitt	008	0	0	0
Bexar	008	2	54	174	Dickens	001	0	0	1
Blanco	007	0	0	0	Dimmit	008	0	0	0
Borden	009	0	0	0	Donley	001	0	1	0
Bosque	007	0	0	1	Duval	011	0	0	0
Bowie	004	0	12	2	Eastland	002	0	0	0
Brazoria	006	2	13	4	Ector	009	0	0	2
Brazos	007	1	12	4	Edwards	008	0	1	0
Brewster	010	0	1	0	Ellis	003	0	10	2
Briscoe	001	0	1	0	El Paso	010	1	31	11
Brooks	011	0	0	0	Erath	003	0	0	0
Brown	002	0	0	2	Falls	007	0	1	2
Burleson	007	0	1	0	Fannin	003	0	6	0
Burnet	007	0	7	3	Fayette	007	0	1	1
Caldwell	007	0	0	3	Fisher	002	0	0	0
Calhoun	008	0	0	0	Floyd	001	0	0	0
Callahan	002	0	0	0	Foard	002	0	0	0
Cameron	011	1	7	4	Fort Bend	006	2	18	9
Camp	004	0	1	2	Franklin	004	0	2	1
Carson	001	0	2	0	Freestone	007	0	1	0
Cass	004	0	4	0	Frio	008	0	1	4
Castro	001	0	3	0	Gaines	009	0	0	0
Chambers	006	1	3	0	Galveston	006	3	13	6
Cherokee	004	0	2	0	Garza	001	0	0	0
Childress	001	0	0	0	Gillespie	008	0	0	0
Clay	002	0	0	0	Glasscock	009	0	0	0
Cochran	001	0	0	0	Goliad	008	1	1	0
Coke	009	0	0	0	Gonzales	008	0	0	0
Coleman	002	0	0	0	Gray	001	0	1	0
Collin	003	1	14	3	Grayson	003	2	2	0
Collingsworth		0	0	0	Gregg	004	0	9	1
Colorado	006	0	0	0	Grimes	007	0	0	0
Comal	008	0	9	0	Guadalupe	008	0	6	2
Comanche	002	0	0	0	Hale	001	0	7	0
Concho	009	0	0	0	Hall	001	0	0	0

DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes

County	Region	Foster Homes*	Foster/ Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***	County	Region	Foster Homes*	Foster/ Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***
Hamilton	007	0	1	0	Leon	007	0	0	1
Hansford	001	0	0	0	Liberty	006	0	3	3
Hardeman	002	0	0	0	Limestone	007	0	1	2
Hardin	005	0	3	1	Lipscomb	001	0	1	0
Harris	006	10	86	64	Live Oak	011	0	1	0
Harrison	004	1	9	2	Llano	007	0	2	0
Hartley	001	0	0	0	Loving	009	0	0	0
Haskell	002	0	0	0	Lubbock	001	1	28	7
Hays	007	0	4	11	Lynn	001	0	2	0
Hemphill	001	0	1	0	Madison	007	0	1	1
Henderson	004	0	10	1	Marion	004	0	0	0
Hidalgo	011	0	8	5	Martin	009	0	0	1
Hill	007	0	1	3	Mason	009	0	0	0
Hockley	001	0	5	1	Matagorda	006	0	3	0
Hood	003	0	2	1	Maverick	008	0	1	0
Hopkins	004	0	0	1	McCulloch	009	0	0	1
Houston	005	0	1	0	McLennan	007	6	18	13
Howard	009	0	0	0	McMullen	011	0	0	0
Hudspeth	010	0	0	0	Medina	008	0	2	6
Hunt	003	Ö	8	1	Menard	009	0	0	0
Hutchinson	001	0	2	0	Midland	009	0	0	2
Irion	009	0	0	0	Milam	007	0	Ö	0
Jack	002	0	1	1	Mills	007	0	Ö	ő
Jackson	008	0	4	1	Mitchell	002	0	Ö	ő
Jasper	005	1	8	1	Montague	002	0	1	1
Jeff Davis	010	0	Ö	0	Montgomery	006	1	14	7
Jefferson	005	8	38	6	Moore	001	1	2	Ó
Jim Hogg	011	0	0	0	Morris	004	0	2	1
Jim Wells	011	0	1	3	Motley	001	0	0	0
Johnson	003	0	5	4	Nacogdoches	005	2	7	3
Jones	002	0	0	1	Navarro	003	0	3	1
Karnes	008	0	Ö	1	Newton	005	0	0	0
Kaufman	003	0	2	1	Nolan	002	0	3	0
Kendall	008	0	0	0	Nueces	011	0	6	4
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	Ochiltree	001	0	4	1
Kent	002	0	0	0	Oldham	001	0	0	0
Kerr	002	0	3	1	Orange	005	0	25	2
Kimble	009	0	0	1	Palo Pinto	003	0	0	3
King	001	0	0	0	Panola	003	0	0	1
Kinney	001	0	0	0	Parker	003	0	2	0
Kleberg	011	0	1	0	Parmer	001	0	0	0
Knox	002	0	0	0	Pecos	009	0	Ō	0
Lamar	004	Ö	5	3	Polk	005	0	3	1
Lamb	001	Ö	2	0	Potter	001	0	10	1
Lampasas	007	Ö	2	Ö	Presidio	010	0	0	0
La Salle	008	0	0	1	Rains	004	0	0	0
Lavaca	008	0	4	1	Randall	001	1	30	4
Lee	007	0	2	1	Reagan	001	0	0	0
LCC	001	O	_	1	icasan	007	O		O

DFPS Foster and Adoptive Homes

County	Region	Foster Homes*	Foster/ Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***	County	Region	Foster Homes*	Foster/ Adoptive Homes**	Adoptive Homes***
Real	008	0	0	0	Titus	004	0	0	0
Red River	004	0	0	1	Tom Green	009	0	0	6
Reeves	009	0	0	0	Travis	007	2	14	27
Refugio	011	0	0	1	Trinity	005	1	1	1
Roberts	001	0	0	0	Tyler	005	1	4	1
Robertson	007	0	1	1	Úpshur	004	0	8	2
Rockwall	003	0	4	0	Upton	009	0	0	0
Runnels	002	0	0	1	Uvalde	008	0	0	1
Rusk	004	2	6	4	Val Verde	008	0	1	2
Sabine	005	0	1	0	Van Zandt	004	0	11	4
San Augustine	005	0	2	0	Victoria	008	1	7	1
San Jacinto	005	0	1	0	Walker	006	1	2	0
San Patricio	011	0	1	2	Waller	006	1	1	1
San Saba	007	0	0	0	Ward	009	0	0	0
Schleicher	009	0	1	0	Washington	007	0	2	2
Scurry	002	0	1	0	Webb	011	1	8	4
Shackelford	002	0	0	0	Wharton	006	0	4	0
Shelby	005	0	0	1	Wheeler	001	0	0	0
Sherman	001	0	0	0	Wichita	002	0	1	0
Smith	004	2	13	2	Wilbarger	002	0	0	0
Somervell	003	0	0	0	Willacy	011	0	0	0
Starr	011	0	2	1	Williamson	007	0	15	12
Stephens	002	0	0	0	Wilson	008	0	1	2
Sterling	009	0	0	0	Winkler	009	0	0	0
Stonewall	002	0	0	0	Wise	003	0	3	0
Sutton	009	0	0	1	Wood	004	0	3	2
Swisher	001	0	2	0	Yoakum	001	0	1	0
Tarrant	003	6	50	22	Young	002	0	2	0
Taylor	002	0	6	0	Zapata	011	0	0	1
Terrell	009	0	0	0	Zavala	008	0	0	1
Terry	001	0	1	0	Out Of State	!	42	77	9
Throckmortor	n 002	0	0	0	State Total		136	1,057	607

^{*} Includes 67 verified kinship homes.

^{**} Includes 3 legal risk homes and 354 verified kinship homes.

^{***} This number does not include homes open only for receipt of adoption subsidy.

Foster Care Expenditures

County	Region	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*	County	Region	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
Anderson	004	102	\$1,297,537	Cooke	003	101	\$1,039,610
andrews	009	19	411,733	Coryell	007	105	866,746
ngelina	005	107	948,973	Cottle	002	1	14,491
ransas	011	91	1,027,636	Crane	009	0	0
rcher	002	6	45,058	Crockett	009	3	14,904
rmstrong	001	2	16,863	Crosby	001	17	166,400
tascosa	008	130	1,801,265	Culberson	010	0	0
ustin	006	25	160,710	Dallam	001	7	240,691
ailey	001	18	297,114	Dallas	003	2,789	29,760,987
andera	008	52	822,292	Dawson	009	45	621,853
astrop	007	164	1,777,951	Deaf Smith	001	47	511,940
aylor	002	3	66,393	Delta	004	15	237,530
ee	011	85	1,011,409	Denton	003	410	4,285,063
ell	007	558	5,979,230	DeWitt	008	39	621,160
exar	008	3,366	46,728,259	Dickens	001	8	74,351
lanco	007	5,500 7	41,376	Dimmit	001	43	700,288
orden	007	5	106,916	Donley	001	8	232,860
osque	007	32	365,033	Duval	011	25	375,900
owie	004	110	1,654,521	Eastland	002	17	291,284
razoria	004	186	2,935,718	Ector	002	301	4,753,191
razona razos	007	140	1,935,595	Edwards	009	8	160,627
rewster	010	2	58,713	Ellis	003	91	820,597
riscoe	001	3	64,019	Ell Paso	010	635	8,088,476
rooks	011	21	268,031	Er Faso Erath	003	40	547,666
	002	21 94	1,209,685	Falls	003	18	
rown	002					31	98,326
urleson		38	359,878	Fannin	003	32	330,209
urnet	007	90	1,054,312	Fayette	007		303,049
Caldwell	007	59 25	851,631	Fisher	002	1	22,541
alhoun	008	35	513,785	Floyd	001	23	271,587
allahan	002	10	212,797	Foard Foard	002	2	40,091
ameron	011	356	4,046,062	Fort Bend	006	150	2,226,328
amp	004	38	329,592	Franklin	004	19	134,744
arson	001	9	53,647	Freestone	007	20	376,237
ass	004	39	212,914	Frio	800	68	965,569
astro	001	16	182,410	Gaines	009	25	397,053
hambers	006	33	389,661	Galveston	006	194	2,233,059
herokee	004	105	1,409,146	Garza	001	15	183,387
hildress	001	15	182,376	Gillespie	008	28	605,843
lay	002	5	83,707	Glasscock	009	0	0
<u>ochran</u>	001	7	103,286	Goliad	008	9	108,495
oke	009	6	77,775	Gonzales	008	38	402,261
oleman	002	16	216,820	Gray	001	50	972,730
ollin	003	322	3,934,818	Grayson	003	190	2,307,652
ollingsworth	001	10	43,018	Gregg	004	230	3,168,583
olorado	006	12	128,032	Grimes	007	34	417,799
omal	008	173	1,995,449	Guadalupe	008	92	1,374,773
omanche	002	12	221,322	Hale	001	86	1,216,748
Concho	009	2	\$39,515	Hall	001	4	\$68,363

Foster Care Expenditures

County	Region	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*	County	Region	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
Hamilton	007	3	\$66,893	Leon	007	27	\$378,363
Hansford	001	5	105,659	Liberty	006	157	2,267,257
Hardeman	002	10	48,359	Limestone	007	27	388,629
Hardin	005	40	392,171	Lipscomb	001	1	8,988
Harris	006	4,253	61,894,387	Live Oak	011	33	328,823
Harrison	004	106	1,123,166	Llano	007	29	313,765
Hartley	001	1	2,190	Loving	009	0	0
Haskell	002	10	205,863	Lubbock	001	627	8,775,632
Hays	007	128	1,648,561	Lynn	001	7	66,443
Hemphill	001	11	125,204	Madison	007	12	140,657
Henderson	004	218	2,719,187	Marion	004	11	175,857
Hidalgo	011	794	9,080,121	Martin	004	0	0
Hill	007	60	768,360	Mason	009	1	7 , 669
Hockley	007	51	740 , 290		009	42	405,811
Hood	001	106	1,279,416	Matagorda Maverick	008	42 25	
	003	39		McCulloch	008	25 13	401,890
Hopkins	004	59 50	522,058 735,464		009	13 411	205,502
Houston Howard	005	50 109	735,464 1,564,856	McLennan McMullen	007		5,805,889
						1	1,007
Hudspeth	010	3	1,894	Medina	008	104	1,676,570
Hunt Hutchinson	003	187	1,527,925	Menard	009	4	54,887
	001	38	466,946	Midland	009	115	1,850,266
Irion	009	1	554	Milam	007	61	699,118
lack	002	14	197,698	Mills	007	10	78,470
ackson	008	10	56,791	Mitchell	002	5	116,558
asper	005	39	501,804	Montague	002	45	623,048
leff Davis	010	0	0	Montgomery	006	417	7,481,404
lefferson	005	322	2,623,584	Moore	001	20	266,254
Jim Hogg	011	3	22,613	Morris	004	11	60,451
im Wells	011	52	608,435	Motley	001	1	54,397
ohnson	003	321	3,506,384	<u>Nacogdoches</u>		143	2,001,142
Jones	002	8	133,543	Navarro	003	73	899,498
Karnes	008	30	430,593	Newton	005	17	351,875
Kaufman	003	92	1,250,379	Nolan	002	31	389,756
Kendall	008	27	314,992	Nueces	011	362	4,125,197
Kenedy	011	0	0	Ochiltree	001	6	201,765
Kent	002	4	68,925	Oldham	001	1	115,454
Kerr	008	117	1,568,841	Orange	005	171	1,727,912
Kimble	009	21	359,916	Palo Pinto	003	144	1,595,951
King	001	0	0	Panola	004	25	296,226
Kinney	008	3	20,005	Parker	003	183	1,800,603
Kleberg	011	65	933,854	Parmer	001	3	63,377
Knox	002	9	184,865	Pecos	009	15	175,734
Lamar	004	86	709,197	Polk	005	78	796,603
Lamb	001	30	238,241	Potter	001	367	5,855,415
Lampasas	007	33	233,767	Presidio	010	4	7,319
La Salle	008	16	327,309	Rains	004	14	185,155
Lavaca	008	16	279,944	Randall	001	199	3,199,548
Lee	007	30	\$334,336	Reagan	009	2	\$1,777

Foster Care Expenditures

County	Region	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*	County	Region	Paid Foster Care Clients	Foster Care Expenditures*
Real	008	7	\$118,063	Tom Green	009	349	\$5,290,416
Red River	004	19	121,048	Travis	009	935	" * *
	004		*		007	933 24	11,387,774
Reeves		16 10	267,320	Trinity	005	22	165,221
Refugio	011		60,874	Tyler		51	194,849
Roberts	001	0	0	Upshur	004		500,173
Robertson	007	19	260,760	Upton	009	6	100,048
Rockwall	003	23	257,568	Uvalde	008	47	751,520
Runnels	002	24	278,370	Val Verde	008	27	441,871
Rusk	004	76 -	588,243	Van Zandt	004	127	1,796,357
Sabine	005	5	21,530	Victoria	008	168	2,494,304
San Augustine	005	11	119,087	Walker	006	41	642,551
San Jacinto	005	32	403,387	Waller	006	38	477,024
San Patricio	011	118	1,407,653	Ward	009	13	130,081
San Saba	007	10	190,525	Washington	007	43	467,967
Schleicher	009	10	138,596	Webb	011	588	7,678,031
Scurry	002	19	234,503	Wharton	006	27	220,885
Shackelford	002	0	0	Wheeler	001	5	11,408
Shelby	005	46	444,692	Wichita	002	287	3,470,916
Sherman	001	1	49,913	Wilbarger	002	15	256,265
Smith	004	277	3,161,949	Willacy	011	55	387,050
Somervell	003	12	156,395	Williamson	007	244	3,761,226
Starr	011	89	907,480	Wilson	008	54	685,253
Stephens	002	15	258,879	Winkler	009	8	95,384
Sterling	009	0	0	Wise	003	77	996,936
Stonewall	002	0	0	Wood	004	108	1,473,083
Sutton	009	1	21,783	Yoakum	001	5	82,415
Swisher	001	12	217,549	Young	002	27	227,788
Tarrant	003	1,621	21,242,876	Zapata	011	39	659,436
Taylor	002	273	3,537,070	Zavala	008	20	435,908
Terrell	009	0	0	State Total		30,736	\$397,462,117
Terry	001	32	519,357	2 = 2			1-7-1-4
Throckmorton		4	13,589	Unduplicated	Total Cli	ents:	30,645
Titus	004	28	\$505,441	2T 34400G			2 3,3 10

Note: 76 clients received foster care in more than one County.

^{*} Actual dollars paid to date as of 11/7/2014, does not include completion factors, delayed billings or manual payments.

County	Region	Children Receiving Sub- Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In- Home Services
Anderson	004	67	\$58,611.93	128	36	\$4,601.92	141
Andrews	009	13	16,771.78	32	14	2,271.54	92
Angelina	005	123	122,419.43	163	88	9,432.06	271
Aransas	011	48	39,127.99	106	16	2,010.71	233
Archer	002	6	660.00	6	0	0.00	28
Armstrong	001	0	0.00	2	0	0.00	10
Atascosa	008	95	100,691.68	238	27	5,139.89	307
Austin	006	26	25,920.85	40	8	2,340.33	84
Bailey	001	15	20,623.77	26	0	0.00	44
Bandera	008	17	22,849.33	66	4	207.25	78
Bastrop	007	79	80,916.61	284	4	744.20	259
Baylor	002	0	0.00	3	1	35.00	14
Bee	011	63	36,398.14	149	28	4,800.62	216
Bell	007	362	404,895.51	938	100	27,209.22	1,207
Bexar	008	3,808	6,082,701.73	5,364	1,595	350,413.43	10,418
Blanco	007	4	3,478.70	20	0	0.00	17
Borden	009	5	670.00	5	0	0.00	2
Bosque	007	13	27,454.25	47	6	7,315.27	50
Bowie	004	85	61,133.43	202	119	12,077.04	251
Brazoria	006	190	154,760.04	260	163	43,611.04	786
Brazos	007	110	135,700.54	165	21	4,543.48	256
Brewster	010	1	3,320.00	6	2	564.40	23
Briscoe	001	2	168.00	3	0	0.00	6
Brooks	011	9	13,095.10	24	13	1,855.14	84
Brown	002	198	157,585.21	115	14	3,162.57	202
Burleson	007	14	6,730.20	60	0	0.00	46
Burnet	007	51	38,413.59	153	17	2,530.69	143
Caldwell	007	53	74,285.99	121	7	1,076.42	110
Calhoun	008	5	7,255.80	51	1	27.00	113
Callahan	002	5	7,600.00	14	4	252.00	38
Cameron	011	316	307,163.29	571	206	29,956.51	2,529
Camp	004	33	48,727.84	54	18	1,548.12	68
Carson	001	3	459.52	8	5	363.00	23
Cass	004	33	23,209.07	94	50	4,773.35	112
Castro	001	13	2,841.06	35	10	1,023.89	52
Chambers	006	19	31,586.02	58	17	3,169.85	106
Cherokee	004	49	84,204.94	168	20	1,340.27	188
Childress	001	13	14,865.32	11	15	2,836.24	33
Clay	002	5	4,653.70	11	1	95.00	17
Cochran	001	2	3,582.18	7	0	0.00	13
Coke	009	3	569.67	11	0	0.00	24
Coleman	002	14	18,883.66	21	6	568.45	76
Collin	003	544	272,507.15	491	35	9,614.60	1,206
Collingsworth	001	1	187.76	11	6	759.30	12
Colorado	006	12	11,057.15	22	2	61.12	42
Comal	008	23	23,385.33	295	36	6,842.67	480
Comanche	002	18	8,955.09	19	2	179.50	53
Concho	009	4	\$6,279.26	3	0	\$0.00	6

County	Region	Children Receiving Sub- Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In- Home Services
Cooke	003	150	\$94,445.06	140	2	\$329.80	163
Coryell	007	34	40,462.45	175	6	991.63	210
Cottle	002	0	0.00	4	0	0.00	8
Crane	009	1	95.00	4	0	0.00	11
Crockett	009	1	97.46	10	2	118.55	23
Crosby	001	4	1,910.14	22	0	0.00	61
Culberson	010	1	350.00	6	2	470.52	5
Dallam	001	0	0.00	8	5	718.92	27
Dallas	003	4,288	3,196,280.86	4,324	288	77,659.87	6,187
Dawson	009	27	16,886.13	80	25	4,902.03	127
Deaf Smith	001	39	18,059.95	54	20	3,608.07	81
Delta	004	9	11,376.40	21	10	2,020.18	15
Denton	003	809	654,628.98	582	94	22,646.54	1,006
DeWitt	008	8	2,285.00	66	1	402.90	89
Dickens	001	1	287.50	12	0	0.00	18
Dimmit	008	11	2,760.09	80	3	327.00	47
Donley	001	6	2,969.87	10	5	1,670.08	38
Duval	011	9	6,359.10	27	10	3,401.47	85
Eastland	002	11	7,083.32	24	5	269.50	89
Ector	002	309	314,534.43	447	105	12,436.72	693
Edwards	008	1	525.00	8	0	0.00	5
Ellis	003	178	93,002.55	123	60	23,802.66	424
El Paso	010	620	803,482.80	822	130	24,188.53	3,277
Erath	003	72	41,499.38	48	10	4,294.37	136
Falls	007	12	11,590.58	30	1	28.70	48
Fannin	003	36	10,794.25	41	0	0.00	109
Fayette	007	21	35,427.02	61	1	28.70	34
Fisher	002	1	1,280.61	7	0	0.00	20
Floyd	001	5	4,719.11	36	0	0.00	57
Foard	002	1	400.00	2	0	0.00	0
Fort Bend	006	189	162,848.46	220	159	43,565.27	1,338
Franklin	004	10	1,516.71	28	12	1,094.65	18
Freestone	007	10	24,800.00	41	1	67.15	30
Frio	008	29	18,500.00	85	9	312.00	113
Gaines	009	8	13,832.23	33	4	362.64	45
Galveston	006	168	120,133.22	341	134	29,220.69	1,059
Garza	001	5	4,279.43	29	0	0.00	44
Gillespie	008	18	22,100.35	33	14	1,881.98	48
Glasscock	009	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
Goliad	008	3	4,675.00	10	0	0.00	42
Gonzales	008	15	10,652.00	50	2	136.95	81
Gray	001	36	36,031.45	62	60	10,876.63	152
Grayson	003	235	129,840.35	253	11	1,153.65	572
Gregg	004	182	203,368.45	333	122	15,191.95	514
Grimes	007	13	14,600.34	42	0	0.00	69
Guadalupe	008	50	31,458.54	190	31	5,297.60	378
Hale	001	36	15,102.97	116	22	2,260.64	232
Hall	001	1	\$770.00	9	4	\$563.40	13

County	Region	Children Receiving Sub- Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In- Home Services
Hamilton	007	6	\$4,200.00	9	0	\$0.00	15
Hansford	001	3	1,979.64	13	3	422.91	9
Hardeman	002	0	0.00	13	0	0.00	21
Hardin	005	34	21,522.16	53	23	5,529.22	88
Harris	006	5,877	7,894,242.50	6,322	1,444	423,850.86	12,206
Harrison	004	80	55,347.92	139	40	2,775.65	204
Hartley	001	0	0.00	4	1	84.00	3
Haskell	002	4	900.00	14	3	81.00	15
Hays	007	71	55,450.09	214	10	1,793.18	288
Hemphill	001	5	1,770.51	11	1	84.00	9
Henderson	004	110	95,564.34	287	104	11,012.50	392
Hidalgo	011	610	479,420.40	1,000	687	125,245.43	5,624
Hill	007	20	35,089.35	108	4	1,419.36	98
Hockley	001	36	25,165.22	84	5	283.85	212
Hood	003	178	85,608.48	127	14	2,714.53	292
Hopkins	004	42	27,806.59	80	29	2,724.55	81
Houston	005	19	16,892.80	62	11	2,003.58	66
Howard	009	79	64,012.84	168	46	8,327.59	185
Hudspeth	010	1	315.00	1	1	95.00	11
Hunt	003	403	264,193.17	257	13	3,036.16	347
Hutchinson	001	27	3,516.53	55	28	3,741.96	115
Irion	009	0	0.00	1	0	0.00	2
Jack	002	3	7,777.00	27	4	327.23	69
Jackson	008	5	6,369.10	18	3	924.98	47
Jasper	005	40	15,564.16	49	35	4,542.09	98
Jeff Davis	010	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	10
Jefferson	005	519	605,884.33	373	68	11,813.91	484
Jim Hogg	011	7	2,141.06	9	9	810.70	48
Jim Wells	011	52	37,882.53	89	33	9,001.16	190
Johnson	003	472	379,553.91	400	68	9,402.49	891
Jones	002	7	4,741.22	17	7	189.00	96
Karnes	008	12	6,988.20	51	4	710.91	60
Kaufman	003	245	105,684.49	146	16	6,651.28	321
Kendall	008	21	34,650.10	37	14	2,141.15	42
Kenedy	011	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
Kent	002	4	8,250.00	4	0	0.00	8
Kerr	008	93	183,653.37	149	36	4,775.89	304
Kimble	009	2	3,011.50	22	0	0.00	15
King	001	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
Kinney	008	0	0.00	5	0	0.00	0
Kleberg	011	52	55,102.07	92	17	2,996.42	181
Knox	002	2	450.00	9	0	0.00	9
Lamar	004	66	36,113.90	130	72	6,786.15	195
Lamb	001	8	8,375.28	54	4	193.95	71
Lampasas	007	14	35,525.00	66	0	0.00	87
La Salle	008	3	1,100.00	27	0	0.00	43
Lavaca	008	15	15,154.45	26	0	0.00	42
Lee	007	10	\$12,489.45	57	2	\$622.45	36

County	Region	Children Receiving Sub- Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In- Home Services
Leon	007	3	\$7,480.52	52	0	\$0.00	36
Liberty	006	264	166,491.27	269	44	12,931.23	375
Limestone	007	15	25,913.02	53	0	0.00	53
Lipscomb	001	7	22,664.86	2	2	54.00	4
Live Oak	011	8	5,490.00	58	5	1,121.35	74
Llano	007	21	16,564.70	60	3	253.20	76
Loving	009	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0
Lubbock	001	724	1,053,485.88	908	376	39,569.35	1,816
Lynn	001	2	799.58	14	0	0.00	60
Madison	007	15	15,596.09	22	2	904.80	24
Marion	004	5	780.30	17	11	759.63	31
Martin	009	1	75.00	1	0	0.00	6
Mason	009	1	3,000.00	1	0	0.00	2
Matagorda	006	38	17,840.13	57	32	8,380.17	183
Maverick	008	10	13,100.00	29	4	764.41	69
McCulloch	009	10	16,290.00	23	0	0.00	51
McLennan	007	318	287,948.56	697	54	13,682.44	886
McMullen	011	0	0.00	4	0	0.00	10
Medina	008	77	64,942.63	183	14	654.09	277
Menard	009	8	5,240.00	5	0	0.00	6
Midland	009	228	239,144.76	177	72	8,972.59	308
Milam	007	25	31,576.56	108	10	1,653.82	85
Mills	007	8	4,433.50	12	0	0.00	12
Mitchell	002	6	2,044.24	6	5	159.00	96
Montague	002	15	12,579.22	56	1	27.00	150
Montgomery	006	740	672,868.82	645	117	22,664.59	942
Moore	001	4	995.48	24	11	1,690.05	59
Morris	004	18	9,350.34	21	16	3,528.80	47
Motley	001	0	0.00	2	1	84.00	2
Nacogdoches	005	128	112,267.44	181	36	7,061.41	92
Navarro	003	141	118,277.27	94	12	4,045.67	151
Newton	005	11	13,654.74	19	3	360.77	21
Nolan	002	8	1,479.34	42	9	733.15	150
Nueces	011	361	487,915.18	475	225	29,817.71	2,144
Ochiltree	001	5	2,384.70	9	5	248.00	30
Oldham	001	1	260.00	1	0	0.00	0
Orange	005	135	91,214.09	218	14	1,355.14	175
Palo Pinto	003	154	58,587.08	204	12	3,952.74	309
Panola	004	16	18,118.01	31	21	1,967.15	86
Parker	003	175	86,749.15	272	33	4,589.65	412
Parmer	001	3	10,547.00	3	4	497.00	14
Pecos	009	7	2,852.54	24	5	829.37	39
Polk	005	62	51,918.08	124	28	2,929.95	159
Potter	001	524	463,846.32	435	475	56,899.26	967
Presidio	010	0	0.00	7	0	0.00	9
Rains	004	10	16,794.04	22	12	1,170.56	28
Randall	001	215	148,645.35	269	90	9,063.03	423
Reagan	009	4	\$5,281.38	2	0	\$0.00	6

County	Region	Children Receiving Sub- Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In- Home Services
Real	008	0	\$0.00	20	4	\$1,566.80	12
Red River	004	23	20,281.50	31	12	1,558.30	35
Reeves	009	2	1,493.00	17	3	220.14	31
Refugio	011	7	3,931.75	15	4	1,103.88	52
Roberts	001	0	0.00	0	1	84.00	0
Robertson	007	9	4,611.36	24	3	674.37	27
Rockwall	003	64	28,174.21	36	4	453.25	80
Runnels	002	2	4,524.43	38	0	0.00	59
Rusk	004	46	32,936.10	154	37	3,079.55	135
Sabine	005	2	3,521.15	8	3	81.00	44
San Augustine	005	7	1,875.69	11	3	217.00	28
San Jacinto	005	25	12,943.39	48	20	2,156.88	73
San Patricio	011	126	107,958.17	163	65	11,915.87	589
San Saba	007	16	30,326.26	36	4	909.06	23
Schleicher	009	3	570.00	13	2	688.29	33
Scurry	002	16	14,461.58	26	8	1,015.76	103
Shackelford	002	1	697.43	1	0	0.00	22
Shelby	005	43	48,949.48	66	21	3,361.72	96
Sherman	001	0	0.00	1	0	0.00	5
Smith	004	735	894,191.72	470	97	16,738.24	747
Somervell	003	22	20,555.49	16	0	0.00	38
Starr	011	57	40,158.00	108	46	10,922.78	337
Stephens	002	2	427.35	21	4	644.28	52
Sterling	009	1	4,400.00	4	1	27.00	7
Stonewall	002	5	5,499.18	1	0	0.00	18
Sutton	009	1	400.00	2	0	0.00	25
Swisher	001	3	918.29	23	8	711.52	52
Tarrant	003	1,792	2,165,765.70	1,992	559	145,187.14	5,965
Taylor	002	350	540,116.25	347	109	15,321.81	1,238
Terrell	009	4	2,531.27	0	0	0.00	1
Terry	001	9	2,232.87	69	2	63.48	148
Throckmorton	002	3	2,660.39	0	0	0.00	6
Titus	004	46	30,753.46	48	40	4,277.4 0	41
Tom Green	009	378	627,087.96	580	76	12,708.64	767
Travis	007	1,74 0	3,479,942.68	1,542	295	106,740.83	2,199
Trinity	005	31	21,683.93	33	13	1,125.66	28
Tyler	005	15	9,678.78	30	13	1,031.00	35
Upshur	004	54	61,665.71	74	47	4,896.16	124
Upton	009	15	11,323.00	8	0	0.00	12
Uvalde	008	21	15,500.80	80	11	1,405.65	132
Val Verde	008	13	10,906.00	50	2	265.50	58
Van Zandt	004	45	62,713.36	185	46	5,619.64	164
Victoria	008	72	50,888.29	245	50	8,796.19	331
Walker	006	45	25,926.08	76	7	296.46	160
Waller	006	63	47,036.11	62	6	690.53	154
Ward	009	114	101,129.06	22	1	35.00	44
Washington	007	20	27,364.00	61	4	1,935.00	31
Webb	011	336	\$309,011.27	691	185	\$18,159.61	2,836

County	Region	Children Receiving Sub- Care Purchased Services	Expenditures For Sub-Care Purchased Services	Children In Substitute Care	Children Receiving In-Home Purchased Services	Expenditures For In-Home Purchased Services	Children Receiving In- Home Services
Wharton	006	27	\$28,871.63	36	21	\$4,956.26	122
Wheeler	001	2	3,084.00	11	0	0.00	17
Wichita	002	307	318,922.30	307	68	7,149.91	890
Wilbarger	002	17	10,248.47	22	5	194.00	56
Willacy	011	24	52,433.76	80	2	444.25	208
Williamson	007	193	270,221.49	419	167	60,262.15	712
Wilson	008	28	24,915.36	107	17	5,022.08	120
Winkler	009	3	5,620.00	8	0	0.00	14
Wise	003	156	89,724.56	137	9	836.92	202
Wood	004	64	61,865.09	158	50	4,428.09	110
Yoakum	001	2	2,597.44	16	3	304.15	30
Young	002	25	32,335.51	34	3	546.46	92
Zapata	011	7	7,614.14	48	11	768.55	93
Zavala	008	4	6,708.79	47	22	3,162.74	70
Out of State		0	0.00	0	0	0.00	26
State Total		35,276	\$39,647,291.04	45,625	10,904	\$2,220,906.15	92,954

This table addresses Texas Family Code §261.004, Subsection (b) (5).

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Child Care Centers*	Total Child Care Centers Capacity	Licensed Child Care Homes	Licensed Child Care Homes Capacity	Listed Family Homes	Registered Child Care Homes
Anderson	004	9,025	10	816	1	12	8	4
Andrews	009	3,552	4	374	0	0	1	1
Angelina	005	18,413	36	3,382	4	48	7	2
Aransas	011	3,467	6	400	0	0	2	1
Archer	002	1,501	4	224	0	0	3	2
Armstrong	001	286	0	0	0	0	2	2
Atascosa	008	10,178	7	538	3	36	2	3
Austin	006	5,660	12	983	0	0	3	2
Bailey	001	1,925	3	158	0	0	2	0
Bandera	008	2,887	5	431	0	ő	0	0
Bastrop	007	15,991	33	2,823	4	48	15	7
Baylor	002	588	3	150	0	0	2	1
Bee	011	5,292	8	786	0	0	3	2
Bell	007	80,288	152	13,756	40	478	89	106
Bexar	007	381,606	544	61,298	40	480	197	360
Blanco	007	1,643	6	226	0	0	2	0
Borden	007	1,043	1	13	0	0	0	0
	009	3,059	5	246	1	12	-	1
Bosque					5		1	7
Bowie	004	16,909	36	3,619		60	17	
Brazoria Brazoria	006	74,641	149	17,632	13	156	34	55
Brazos	007	39,389	73	7,308	13	153	39	30
Brewster	010	1,498	3	230	1	12	9	0
Briscoe	001	253	0	0	0	0	1	0
Brooks	011	1,579	5	283	0	0	1	0
Brown	002	7,024	12	919	2	24	7	8
Burleson	007	3,162	6	397	2	24	6	1
Burnet	007	7,720	18	1,444	1	12	5	1
Caldwell	007	7,818	15	830	0	0	8	0
Calhoun	008	4,463	8	564	2	24	0	1
Callahan	002	2,473	3	131	1	12	6	2
Cameron	011	109,395	210	14,679	24	281	24	25
Camp	004	2,578	5	377	1	12	0	0
Carson	001	1,115	0	0	0	0	3	1
Cass	004	5,283	4	334	0	0	6	0
Castro	001	1,924	3	99	0	0	0	1
Chambers	006	7,922	16	1,707	1	12	7	4
Cherokee	004	10,638	12	1,135	1	12	9	3
Childress	001	1,161	2	54	3	36	9	3
Clay	002	1,759	3	151	0	0	3	2
Cochran	001	687	1	50	0	0	1	0
Coke	009	464	1	17	0	0	0	0
Coleman	002	1,489	1	64	3	36	2	5
Collin	003	188,777	356	54,097	103	1,229	208	168
Collingsworth	001	654	0	0	1	12	1	0
Colorado	006	3,802	9	581	2	24	4	4
Comal	008	20,340	38	3,935	4	46	21	8
Comanche	002	2,540	1	66	5	60	4	2
Concho	009	434	2	85	0	0	0	1

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Child Care Centers*	Total Child Care Centers Capacity	Licensed Child Care Homes	Licensed Child Care Homes Capacity	Listed Family Homes	Registered Child Care Homes
Cooke	003	7,687	9	676	9	108	19	14
Coryell	007	18,304	31	2,559	3	34	10	6
Cottle	002	259	1	34	0	0	0	0
Crane	009	986	1	40	0	0	1	0
Crockett	009	767	2	80	1	12	1	0
Crosby	001	1,348	0	0	0	0	2	2
Culberson	010	507	1	59	0	0	0	0
Dallam	001	1,703	1	54	1	12	3	3
Dallas	003	545,979	784	89,966	126	1,502	703	541
Dawson	009	2,689	2	322	3	36	4	0
Deaf Smith	001	5,151	6	450	0	0	11	1
Delta	004	846	1	34	0	0	0	1
Denton	003	156,798	257	34,851	98	1,176	197	180
DeWitt	008	3,460	7	431	1	12	4	0
Dickens	001	361	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dimmit	008	2,257	6	448	0	0	3	0
Donley	001	563	0	0	2	24	3	1
Duval	011	2,337	6	230	0	0	0	2
Eastland	002	3,293	6	255	1	9	3	1
Ector	009	33,741	44	4,651	9	108	34	6
Edwards	008	338	1	25	0	0	0	0
Ellis	003	34,211	58	6,4 77	10	120	43	26
El Paso	010	191,101	267	25,076	127	1,520	250	78
Erath	003	7,150	13	949	5	60	7	15
Falls	007	3,024	4	225	1	12	2	0
Fannin	003	5,834	6	628	1	12	13	5
Fayette	007	4,078	8	447	5	60	8	3
Fisher	002	614	1	20	0	0	o o	0
Floyd	001	1,349	2	227	0	Ö	ő	1
Foard	002	189	1	54	0	Ö	ő	0
Fort Bend	006	145,152	282	38,068	49	582	88	255
Franklin	004	2,105	4	320	0	0	3	0
Freestone	007	3,601	7	396	2	24	9	2
Frio	008	3,299	7	524	1	12	3	1
Gaines	009	5,428	2	103	3	36	0	1
Galveston	006	59,384	120	15,227	14	163	46	53
Garza	001	1,044	1	44	0	0	0	0
Gillespie	008	3,844	9	525	3	36	6	3
Glasscock	009	224	1	12	0	0	0	0
Goliad	009	1,161	2	179	0	0	2	0
Gonzales	008	4,383	6	298	1	12	9	2
Gray	000	4,774	11	845	0	0	1	1
Grayson	001	22,720	35	3,067	7	84	38	18
	003	25,393	52	5,825	5	60	19	13
Gregg Grimes	004	4,681	6	3,623	10	119	6	5
	007			3,902	10	119	32	29
Guadalupe		29,611	36					
Hale	001	8,434	6	629	4	48	11	4
Hall	001	609	0	0	0	0	1	0

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Child Care Centers*	Total Child Care Centers Capacity	Licensed Child Care Homes	Licensed Child Care Homes Capacity	Listed Family Homes	Registered Child Care Homes
Hamilton	007	1,346	3	199	3	36	1	4
Hansford	001	1,321	2	89	1	12	3	0
Hardeman	002	795	2	94	2	24	1	2
Hardin	005	11,023	12	1,492	1	12	5	5
Harris	006	947,881	1,570	181,203	176	2,094	712	1,319
Harrison	004	13,553	1,570	1,522	4	48	13	1,517
Hartley	004	984	13	1,322	1	12	2	2
Haskell	001	936	2	95	0	0	1	0
	002	36,550	81	6,135	12	144	38	26
Hays	007	-	1	98		0	2	0
Hemphill		894			0 2	24		
Henderson	004	14,051	16	1,677			11	1
Hidalgo	011	219,729	416	30,252	86	1,025	42	88
Hill	007	6,710 5,010	11	576	2	24	6	3
Hockley	001	5,010	10	488	6	72	7	1
Hood	003	8,532	17	1,275	6	72	8	8
Hopkins	004	7,191	12	1,188	3	36	10	3
Houston	005	3,755	2	267	1	12	4	5
Howard	009	6,345	10	999	1	12	5	2
Hudspeth	010	709	1	48	0	0	2	0
Hunt	003	17,313	23	2,425	4	48	22	12
Hutchinson	001	4,466	4	238	3	36	7	1
Irion	009	216	1	20	0	0	0	1
Jack	002	1,446	3	133	0	0	2	2
Jackson	008	2,763	5	277	7	84	11	1
Jasper	005	6,907	9	868	2	24	3	3
Jeff Davis	010	276	0	0	0	0	3	1
Jefferson	005	48,129	78	7,998	7	84	27	24
Jim Hogg	011	1,207	3	193	0	0	2	2
Jim Wells	011	9,408	23	880	2	24	6	2
Johnson	003	33,061	34	4,198	4	48	28	12
Jones	002	2,812	2	68	2	24	5	4
Karnes	008	2,232	4	126	1	12	2	2
Kaufman	003	24,776	34	4,377	6	72	41	20
Kendall	008	5,987	11	1,053	2	24	6	2
Kenedy	011	72	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	119	1	12	0	Ö	1	0
Kerr	008	7,943	17	1,389	1	12	14	4
Kimble	009	676	2	100	0	0	3	0
King	001	36	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	001	508	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kleberg	011	6,823	13	743	2	24	5	2
Knox	002	731	3	94	0	0	0	1
Lamar	002	9,396	13	1,353	8	94	6	4
Lamb	004			1,333 94	0	0		0
		3,124	2		-		6	
Lampasas	007	3,724	4	306	4	48	0	5
La Salle	008	1,182	2	99	0	0	1	0
Lavaca	008	3,264	7	402	9	106	13	6
Lee	007	3,044	7	576	2	24	4	0

,								
County	Region	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Child Care Centers*	Total Child Care Centers Capacity	Licensed Child Care Homes	Licensed Child Care Homes Capacity	Listed Family Homes	Registered Child Care Homes
Leon	007	2,947	3	126	2	24	4	0
Liberty	006	15,550	17	1,558	2	24	4	4
Limestone	007	4,372	5	472	3	36	3	5
Lipscomb	001	670	0	0	0	0	2	1
Live Oak	011	1,681	3	90	1	12	0	1
Llano	007	2,398	8	420	0	0	3	0
Loving	009	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	58,193	113	11,577	34	408	57	34
Lynn	001	1,204	2	103	1	12	2	2
Madison	007	2,335	7	276	1	12	2	2
Marion	004	1,452	1	97	0	0	1	1
Martin	009	1,124	1	37	Ö	0	2	1
Mason	009	570	2	94	0	0	0	0
Matagorda	006	7,372	9	865	4	48	12	1
Maverick	008	14,346	17	1,706	1	12	4	5
McCulloch	009	1,507	3	220	2	24	3	0
McLennan	007	47,738	101	10,259	23	276	45	28
McMullen	011	47,736 84	0	0,239	0	0	0	0
Medina	008		15	864		0		7
	008	9,142 324	15	20	0		3	
Menard Midland					0 11	120	34	0 11
	009	31,088	64	6,489		129		
Milam	007	4,895	6	350	4	48	10	0
Mills	007	833	1	33	2	24	3	3
Mitchell	002	1,389	2 7	77	0	0	1	3
Montague	002	3,576		368	3	36	2	3
Montgomery	006	105,650	156	19,516	19	224	52	22
Moore	001	5,775	4	269	0	0	5	3
Morris	004	2,336	4	168	2	24	2	2
Motley	001	179	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	005	13,559	15	2,074	2	24	6	3
Navarro	003	10,279	14	1,333	3	36	9	9
Newton	005	2,311	4	222	0	0	0	0
Nolan	002	3,154	8	562	1	12	3	2
Nueces	011	68,170	153	13,288	38	450	62	50
Ochiltree	001	2,799	4	306	0	0	4	0
Oldham	001	362	1	51	0	0	0	0
Orange	005	15,837	24	2,531	4	48	16	12
Palo Pinto	003	5,459	6	619	1	12	10	7
Panola	004	4,539	6	537	1	12	3	0
Parker	003	24,071	41	3,783	1	12	16	10
Parmer	001	2,609	1	48	0	0	5	0
Pecos	009	3,173	4	299	2	24	1	1
Polk	005	7,519	8	862	1	12	2	1
Potter	001	27,857	41	4,317	0	0	36	21
Presidio	010	1,646	0	0	0	0	9	2
Rains	004	1,686	3	139	0	0	0	0
Randall	001	24,715	44	4,535	4	48	57	43
Reagan	009	765	2	120	0	0	3	0

County	Region	Child Population	Licensed Child Care	Total Child Care Centers	Licensed Child Care	Licensed Child Care Homes	Listed Family	Registered Child Care
,		Age 0-13	Centers*	Capacity	Homes	Capacity	Homes	Homes
Real	008	444	2	77	0	0	0	0
Red River	004	2,074	1	72	3	36	4	0
Reeves	009	2,469	2	144	2	24	2	0
Refugio	011	1,307	3	115	0	0	4	2
Roberts	001	177	0	0	0	0	1	0
Robertson	007	3,228	3	279	3	36	3	1
Rockwall	003	18,950	25	3,727	5	60	23	1
Runnels	002	1,936	3	102	3	36	3	3
Rusk	004	10,030	12	911	4	48	4	0
Sabine	005	1,514	1	100	0	0	0	0
San Augustine	005	1,386	2	86	0	0	0	0
San Jacinto	005	4,765	4	236	0	0	0	0
San Patricio	011	13,831	27	1,869	4	44	17	1
San Saba	007	930	1	31	1	12	1	2
Schleicher	009	893	1	20	1	12	1	0
Scurry	002	3,513	4	374	0	0	4	2
Shackelford	002	616	1	51	0	0	1	0
Shelby	005	5,392	3	172	1	12	2	3
Sherman	001	652	1	51	0	0	1	1
Smith	004	43,865	90	9,039	7	84	38	10
Somervell	003	1,574	3	68	1	12	4	2
Starr	011	15,956	37	2,290	4	48	6	10
Stephens	002	1,745	1	152	1	12	2	3
Sterling	009	217	1	35	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	002	249	0	0	1	12	1	Ö
Sutton	009	846	1	43	0	0	1	1
Swisher	001	1,585	2	200	ő	0	2	0
Tarrant	003	410,804	650	74,107	118	1,412	<u>4</u> 47	619
Taylor	002	26,818	49	5,009	4	48	39	33
Terrell	009	170	0	0	Ö	0	0	0
Terry	001	2,653	1	100	3	36	1	1
Throckmorton	002	242	1	12	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	7,933	6	1,065	Ö	ő	ő	1
Tom Green	009	21,490	53	5,429	4	48	26	20
Travis	007	222,173	499	47,477	63	752	207	148
Trinity	005	2,274	4	213	0	0	0	0
Tyler	005	3,152	6	342	3	36	0	0
Upshur	003	7,557	5	465	3	36	8	0
Upton	004	732	1	20	0	0	0	0
Uvalde	008	5,979	14	928	1	12	2	2
Val Verde	008	11,862	15	1,160	3	36	6	4
Van Zandt	004	9,488	11	1,084	4	48	3	2
Victoria	004	18,213	40	3,209	20	238	17	12
Walker	006	9,198	20	1,894	0	0	12	2
Waller	006	9,198	11	846	4	46	7	4
Ward	000	2,272	3	222	0	0	0	0
			12			36	7	
Washington	007	5,958		1,120	3			4
Webb	011	72,146	97	6,969	33	393	178	34

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-13	Licensed Child Care Centers*	Total Child Care Centers Capacity	Licensed Child Care Homes	Licensed Child Care Homes Capacity	Listed Family Homes	Registered Child Care Homes
Wharton	006	8,669	18	1,878	3	36	7	1
Wheeler	001	1,066	1	80	0	0	1	0
Wichita	002	24,429	52	4,009	21	252	15	31
Wilbarger	002	2,764	7	425	2	24	5	6
Willacy	011	4,760	8	566	1	12	0	4
Williamson	007	105,230	249	31,218	63	754	174	101
Wilson	008	8,572	14	1,089	1	12	5	9
Winkler	009	1,662	0	0	1	12	5	0
Wise	003	12,203	27	1,742	0	0	14	5
Wood	004	6,657	13	857	0	0	4	5
Yoakum	001	2,019	2	67	1	12	4	0
Young	002	3,525	9	494	1	12	4	2
Zapata	011	4,028	6	341	0	0	4	0
Zavala	008	2,937	9	619	0	0	0	1
State Total		5,653,304	9,508	999,785	1,740	20,761	5,285	5,079

Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio) - based on Census 2010 data

^{*} Includes 10 Small Employer Based Child Care and 8 Temporary Shelter Programs.

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-17	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Independent Foster and Group Homes	Residential Treatment Centers*	Total Residential	Total Residential Capacity
Anderson	004	11,594	0	16	0	0	0	16	33
Andrews	009	4,542	0	7	0	ő	0	7	31
Angelina	005	23,772	2	39	0	ő	0	41	119
Aransas	011	4,520	0	5	0	0	0	5	20
Archer	002	2,117	0	5	0	0	0	5	10
Armstrong	001	375	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	13,538	0	17	0	0	0	17	45
Austin	006	7,524	0	5	0	0	2	7	116
Bailey	000	2,383	0	6	0	0	0	6	29
Bandera	001	2,363 3,979	0	11	1	0	0	12	173
Bastrop	007	21,379	0	44	1	0	0	45	180
Baylor	007	764	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
Bee	011	6,856	0	8	1	0	0	9	172
Bell	007	98,721	8	o 224	2	0		235	758
Bexar	007		o 35		14	0	1 7		
Blanco	008	491,136	0	1,072		0	0	1,128	4,014
Borden	007	2,278 117	0	0	0	0		0	0
			_	_	-	-	0	-	-
Bosque	007	4,089	0	6	0	0	0	6	20
Bowie	004	21,900	0	24	0	0	0	24	68
Brazoria	006	96,020	1	121	3	0	3	128	676
Brazos	007	47,729	3	51	1	0	1	56	187
Brewster	010	1,947	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Briscoe	001	333	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Brooks	011	1,996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brown	002	9,154	6	53	1	0	0	60	261
Burleson	007	4,140	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
Burnet	007	10,299	0	21	0	0	0	21	52
Caldwell	007	10,317	0	26	0	0	1	27	292
Calhoun	008	5,879	0	3	0	0	0	3	13
Callahan	002	3,306	0	13	0	0	0	13	35
Cameron	011	141,748	10	197	10	0	0	217	2,138
Camp	004	3,404	0	7	0	0	0	7	16
Carson	001	1,509	0	6	0	0	0	6	15
Cass	004	6,993	0	7	0	0	0	7	29
Castro	001	2,502	0	3	0	0	0	3	9
Chambers	006	10,728	0	10	0	0	0	10	27
Cherokee	004	13,753	0	12	0	0	0	12	34
Childress	001	1,524	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Clay	002	2,362	0	8	0	0	0	8	18
Cochran	001	884	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Coke	009	630	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Coleman	002	1,970	0	4	0	0	0	4	18
Collin	003	250,047	3	194	1	0	0	198	468
Collingsworth	001	838	0	2	0	0	0	2	3
Colorado	006	4,972	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Comal	008	27,772	1	46	4	0	1	52	315
Comanche	002	3,350	1	9	0	0	0	10	37
Concho	009	568	0	2	0	0	0	2	6

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-17	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Independent Foster and Group Homes	Residential Treatment Centers*	Total Residential	Total Residential Capacity
Cooke	003	9,873	0	7	0	0	0	7	17
Coryell	003	22,926	1	30	0	0	0	31	100
Cottle	007	341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crane	002	1,293	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crockett	009	968	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Crosby	009	1,772	0	2	0	0	0	2	7
Culberson	010	651	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dallam	001	2,138	0	0	0	0	0		0
Dallas			48	717	_	_	-	0	~
	003 009	684,069			4	0	4	773	2,469
Dawson Deaf Smith		3,405	0	6	0	0	0	6	27
	001	6,519	0	4 7	0	0		4 7	9
Delta	004	1,116	0		0	0	0		15
Denton	003	204,770	3	205	1	0	0	209	528
DeWitt	008	4,497	0	5	1	0	0	6	64
Dickens	001	461	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Dimmit	008	2,903	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
Donley	001	728	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
Duval	011	3,025	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastland	002	4,237	0	8	0	0	0	8	28
Ector	009	42,345	2	35	0	0	0	37	84
Edwards	008	406	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
Ellis	003	46,146	3	92	1	0	0	96	460
El Paso	010	248,080	9	185	6	0	0	200	849
Erath	003	8,966	1	11	1	0	0	13	111
Falls	007	3,876	0	7	0	0	1	8	50
Fannin	003	7,611	0	12	0	0	0	12	34
Fayette	007	5,417	0	4	0	0	1	5	24
Fisher	002	805	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Floyd	001	1,784	0	2	0	0	0	2	1
Foard	002	247	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fort Bend	006	195,786	5	267	1	0	4	277	1,081
Franklin	004	2,717	0	5	0	0	0	5	13
Freestone	007	4,646	0	8	1	0	0	9	76
Frio	008	4,250	0	9	0	0	0	9	18
Gaines	009	6,804	0	5	0	0	0	5	10
Galveston	006	76,964	3	83	1	0	1	88	292
Garza	001	1,303	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Gillespie	008	5,226	0	4	0	0	0	4	13
Glasscock	009	304	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goliad	008	1,583	0	5	0	0	0	5	20
Gonzales	008	5,590	1	5	1	0	1	8	145
Gray	001	5,972	0	11	0	0	0	11	33
Grayson	003	29,638	0	12	1	0	0	13	51
Gregg	004	32,181	4	38	0	0	0	42	121
Grimes	007	6,105	0	2	0	0	0	2	7
Guadalupe	008	39,986	0	73	0	0	1	74	242
Hale	001	10,700	0	17	0	0	0	17	53
Hall	001	805	0	1	0	0	Ō	1	6

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-17	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Independent Foster and Group Homes	Residential Treatment Centers*	Total Residential	Total Residential Capacity
Hamilton	007	1,789	1	1	0	0	0	2	1
Hansford	001	1,702	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hardeman	002	1,028	0	2	0	0	0	2	11
Hardin	005	14,554	0	18	0	0	0	18	51
Harris	006	1,201,493	53	1,300	20	3	19	1,395	5,277
Harrison	004	17,542	0	28	2	0	1	31	229
Hartley	001	1,297	0	1	0	0	0	1	5
Haskell	002	1,205	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Hays	007	47,624	3	80	1	0	3	87	520
Hemphill	001	1,144	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
Henderson	004	18,376	1	30	0	0	0	31	86
Hidalgo	011	284,166	9	140	0	0	0	149	601
Hill	007	8,734	0	6	1	0	0	7	79
Hockley	001	6,387	0	21	0	0	2	23	126
Hood	003	11,219	1	13	0	0	0	14	34
Hopkins	004	9,229	1	13	0	0	0	14	24
Houston	005	4,811	0	4	O	0	0	4	14
Howard	009	8,012	0	7	O	0	0	7	13
Hudspeth	010	953	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hunt	003	22,830	1	34	1	0	1	37	168
Hutchinson	001	5,750	0	9	0	0	0	9	36
Irion	009	310	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jack	002	1,959	0	3	0	0	0	3	7
Jackson	008	3,562	0	10	0	0	0	10	35
Jasper	005	8,806	0	18	0	0	0	18	73
Jeff Davis	010	367	0	0	0	0	1	1	84
Jefferson	005	61,551	6	95	4	0	0	105	43 0
Jim Hogg	011	1,548	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jim Wells	011	12,095	0	8	0	0	0	8	23
Johnson	003	43,533	0	71	0	0	0	71	209
Jones	002	3,758	0	8	0	0	0	8	15
Karnes	008	2,924	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Kaufman	003	33,128	0	62	1	0	0	63	235
Kendall	008	8,456	1	26	1	0	1	29	159
Kenedy	011	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	162	0	1	0	0	0	1	12
Kerr	008	10,314	4	25	3	0	1	33	284
Kimble	009	910	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
King	001	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kinney	008	681	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kleberg	011	8,565	0	11	0	0	0	11	46
Knox	002	931	0	1	0	0	0	1	6
Lamar	004	12,229	0	15	0	0	0	15	34
Lamb	001	4,020	0	9	0	0	0	9 10	28
Lampasas	007	4,923	0	18	1	0	0	19	107
La Salle	008 008	1,562	0	1 7	0	0	0	1 7	0
Lavaca	008	4,263 4,076	0		0	$\frac{0}{0}$	0		28 55
Lee	007	4,076	U	14	0	U	0	14	55

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-17	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Independent Foster and Group Homes	Residential Treatment Centers*	Total Residential	Total Residential Capacity
Leon	007	3,867	1	3	0	0	0	4	6
Liberty	006	20,300	0	22	0	0	0	22	54
Limestone	007	5,653	0	7	0	0	0	7	14
Lipscomb	007	3,033 878	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Lipscomb Live Oak	011	2,218	0	7	0	0	0	7	14
Llano	007	3,144	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
Loving	007	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lubbock	001	72,975	13	218	4	0	1	236	791
Lynn	001	1,594	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
Madison	007	3,082	0	3	0	0	0	3	4
Marion	004	1,925	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
Martin	009	1,449	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
Mason	009	803	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Matagorda	006	9,567	0	6	0	0	1	7	33
Maverick	008	18,645	0	3	0	0	0	3	5
McCulloch	008	1,998	0	17	0	0	0	17	67
McLennan	007	61,080	3	99	3	0	1	106	671
McMullen	011	121	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medina	008	12,245	1	27	1	0	0	29	109
Menard	008	422	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	009	39,433	3	50	1	0	0	54	157
Milam	007	6,366	0	3	1	0	0	4	60
Mills	007	1,153	0	9	0	0	1	10	151
Mitchell	007	1,813	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montague	002	4,611	0	5	0	0	0	5	10
Montgomery	002	139,135	7	180	5	0	1	193	712
Moore	001	7,286	ó	3	0	0	0	3	11
Morris	004	3,038	0	6	0	0	0	6	19
Motley	001	244	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nacogdoches	005	17,077	1	42	0	0	0	43	136
Navarro	003	13,432	2	48	1	0	1	52	225
Newton	005	3,067	0	1	0	0	0	1	5
Nolan	002	4,006	0	5	0	0	0	5	11
Nueces	011	88,260	10	117	3	0	0	130	760
Ochiltree	001	3,525	0	5	0	0	0	5	700
Oldham	001	541	0	0	1	0	0	1	437
Orange	005	20,768	Ö	44	0	Ö	0	44	159
Palo Pinto	003	7,172	Ö	7	0	Ö	0	7	16
Panola	004	5,913	Ö	3	0	0	0	3	8
Parker	003	32,597	Ö	45	1	Ö	0	46	195
Parmer	001	3,335	0	3	0	0	0	3	10
Pecos	009	3,967	0	1	0	0	0	1	10
Polk	005	9,774	0	18	0	0	0	18	85
Potter	001	34,841	7	47	1	0	0	55	156
Presidio	010	2,215	ó	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rains	004	2,379	0	4	0	0	0	4	12
Randall	004	32,138	2	100	4	0	0	106	439
Reagan	001	1,004	0	2	0	0	0	2	3
Magaii	009	1,004	U	4	U	U	U	4	3

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-17	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Independent Foster and Group Homes	Residential Treatment Centers*	Total Residential	Total Residential Capacity
Real	008	595	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red River	004	2,700	0	3	0	0	0	3	5
Reeves	009	3,126	0	2	0	Ö	0	2	3
Refugio	011	1,710	Ö	4	0	Ö	Ö	4	15
Roberts	001	241	Ö	Ö	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	0
Robertson	007	4,243	0	3	0	0	0	3	11
Rockwall	003	26,244	Ö	30	0	Ö	Ö	30	80
Runnels	002	2,576	Ö	6	0	Ö	Ö	6	14
Rusk	004	12,988	Ö	22	0	Ö	0	22	67
Sabine	005	2,022	Ö	2	Ö	Ö	0	2	7
San Augustine	005	1,810	0	8	0	0	0	8	30
San Jacinto	005	6,435	0	7	0	0	0	7	11
San Patricio	011	17,858	0	22	2	0	1	25	181
San Saba	007	1,212	2	6	1	0	0	9	89
Schleicher	009	1,132	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
Scurry	002	4,4 60	0	3	0	0	0	3	9
Shackelford	002	803	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
Shelby	005	6,856	1	18	0	0	0	19	63
Sherman	001	854	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith	004	56,163	11	112	3	0	3	129	568
Somervell	003	2,203	0	4	0	0	0	4	9
Starr	011	20,650	0	8	0	0	0	8	40
Stephens	002	2,265	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Sterling	009	277	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Stonewall	002	320	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
Sutton	009	1,112	0	3	0	0	0	3	9
Swisher	001	2,025	0	6	0	0	0	6	24
Tarrant	003	529,400	31	686	5	0	1	723	2,158
Taylor	002	33,477	7	90	4	0	0	101	358
Terrell	009	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terry	001	3,378	0	2	0	0	0	2	5
Throckmorton	002	323	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	10,095	0	4	0	0	0	4	8
Tom Green	009	27,232	4	36	3	0	0	43	220
Travis	007	274,241	25	356	5	0	2	388	1,133
Trinity	005	3,000	0	7	0	0	0	7	23
Tyler	005	4,170	0	9	1	0	1	11	82
Upshur	004	9,982	0	21	0	0	0	21	88
Upton	009	963	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Uvalde	008	7,748	0	5	0	0	0	5	14
Val Verde	008	15,133	1	10	0	0	0	11	22
Van Zandt	004	12,704	0	50	0	0	0	50	166
Victoria	008	23,319	3	40	2	0	1	46	244
Walker	006	11,681	0	10	1	0	1	12	285
Waller	006	11,991	0	15	0	0	0	15	40
Ward	009	2,893	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	007	7,696	0	4	1	0	0	5	35
Webb	011	92,593	2	84	2	0	0	88	408

County	Region	Child Population Age 0-17	Child Placing Agencies (CPA)	Homes Verified by CPAs	General Residential Operations	Independent Foster and Group Homes	Residential Treatment Centers*	Total Residential	Total Residential Capacity
Wharton	006	11,154	0	14	0	0	0	14	51
Wheeler	001	1,372	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wichita	002	31,177	4	43	1	0	0	48	156
Wilbarger	002	3,466	0	2	0	0	0	2	3
Willacy	011	6,085	0	4	1	0	0	5	58
Williamson	007	137,516	9	233	4	0	1	247	881
Wilson	008	11,834	0	30	0	0	0	30	86
Winkler	009	2,129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wise	003	16,317	0	14	0	0	0	14	40
Wood	004	8,810	0	20	1	0	0	21	110
Yoakum	001	2,597	0	2	0	0	0	2	5
Young	002	4,524	0	9	0	0	0	9	18
Zapata	011	5,076	0	4	0	0	0	4	15
Zavala	008	3,701	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Out of State		0	0	137	0	0	0	137	280
State Total		7,266,760	381	9,692	156	3	75	10,307	40,525

Population Data Source: Texas State Data Center, University of Texas (San Antonio) - based on Census 2010 data

^{*} Residential Treatment Centers (RTC) are a care type of General Residential Operations (GRO). To determine total number of GROs, add the counts of RTCs to the count of GROs.

Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	STAR*	CYD**	SYSN***	Total	County	Region	STAR*	CYD**	SYSN***	Total
Anderson	004	126	1	1	128	Cooke	003	13	0	33	46
Andrews	009	4	0	0	4	Coryell	007	58	0	54	112
Angelina	005	235	0	30	265	Cottle	002	8	0	0	8
Aransas	011	78	0	0	78	Crane	009	3	0	0	3
Archer	002	1	0	0	1	Crockett	009	4	0	0	4
Armstrong	001	1	0	0	1	Crosby	001	30	0	0	30
Atascosa	008	121	0	0	121	Culberson	010	7	0	0	7
Austin	006	64	0	40	104	Dallam	001	13	6	1	20
Bailey	001	16	0	0	16	Dallas	003	580	1,940	335	2,855
Bandera	008	50	1	16	67	Dawson	009	15	0	7	22
Bastrop	007	114	0	5	119	Deaf Smith	001	110	0	24	134
Baylor	002	11	0	0	11	Delta	004	22	0	1	23
Bee	011	85	0	0	85	Denton	003	549	1	72	622
Bell	007	317	2	180	499	DeWitt	008	34	0	0	34
Bexar	008	732	962	253	1,947	Dickens	001	8	0	0	8
Blanco	007	55	0	0	55	Dimmit	008	0	0	0	0
Borden	009	0	0	0	0	Donley	001	5	0	0	5
Bosque	007	17	0	0	17	Duval	011	4	0	0	4
Bowie	004	106	0	0	106	Eastland	002	4	0	0	4
Brazoria	006	271	0	65	336	Ector	009	64	0	23	87
Brazos	007	220	0	1	221	Edwards	008	11	0	1	12
Brewster	010	9	Ö	0	9	Ellis	003	69	2	32	103
Briscoe	001	0	Ö	0	0	El Paso	010	779	1,620	61	2,460
Brooks	011	7	Ö	0	7	Erath	003	173	0	12	185
Brown	002	27	0	20	47	Falls	007	31	0	10	41
Burleson	007	99	0	0	99	Fannin	003	31	0	1	32
Burnet	007	9	0	13	22	Fayette	007	81	0	17	98
Caldwell	007	122	2	4	128	Fisher	002	2	Ö	0	2
Calhoun	008	93	0	0	93	Floyd	001	8	Ö	Ö	8
Callahan	002	0	Ö	0	0	Foard	002	6	Ö	Ö	6
Cameron	011	464	1,902	72	2,438	Fort Bend	006	128	0	79	207
Camp	004	18	0	0	18	Franklin	004	1	Ö	0	1
Carson	001	6	Ö	0	6	Freestone	007	28	Ö	Ö	28
Cass	004	44	Ö	0	44	Frio	008	7	Ö	Ö	7
Castro	001	19	Ö	0	19	Gaines	009	24	Ö	0	24
Chambers	006	3	0	0	3	Galveston	006	433	933	1	1,367
Cherokee	004	164	0	0	164	Garza	001	4	0	0	4
Childress	001	4	0	0	4	Gillespie	008	45	Ö	0	45
Clay	002	0	0	0	Ö	Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0
Cochran	001	10	0	0	10	Goliad	008	8	1	0	9
Coke	009	14	0	0	14	Gonzales	008	75	0	0	75
Coleman	002	33	0	0	33	Gray	000	33	0	0	33
Collin	002	314	0	152	466	Grayson	001	100	0	17	117
Collingsworth	003	11	0	0	11	Gregg	003	299	0	15	314
Colorado	006	69	0	30	99	Grimes	004	246	0	0	246
Comal	008	520	0	13	533	Guadalupe	007	129	0	2	131
Comanche	008	320 44	0	0	333 44	Hale	008	89	0	19	108
Concho	002	0		0		Hall	001	5	0	0	
COHCHO	009	U	0	U	0	пан	001	3	U	U	5

Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	STAR*	CYD**	SYSN***	Total	County	Region	STAR*	CYD**	SYSN***	Total
Hamilton	007	18	0	0	18	Leon	007	26	0	0	26
Hansford	001	12	0	11	23	Liberty	006	8	0	0	8
Hardeman	002	25	0	0	25	Limestone	007	25	0	0	25
Hardin	005	48	0	0	48	Lipscomb	001	2	0	0	2
Harris	006	908	2,190	402	3,500	Live Oak	011	56	0	0	56
Harrison	004	191	0	35	226	Llano	007	27	0	0	27
Hartley	001	2	0	0	2	Loving	009	0	0	0	0
Haskell	002	8	0	0	8	Lubbock	001	374	998	134	1,506
Hays	007	290	0	51	341	Lynn	001	11	1	0	12
Hemphill	001	2	0	0	2	Madison	007	66	0	0	66
Henderson	004	223	0	0	223	Marion	004	14	0	0	14
Hidalgo	011	592	1,866	276	2,734	Martin	009	3	0	0	3
Hill	007	22	0	11	33	Mason	009	18	0	0	18
Hockley	001	40	0	0	40	Matagorda	006	9	0	37	46
Hood	003	33	0	0	33	Maverick	008	136	0	0	136
Hopkins	004	94	0	0	94	McCulloch	009	11	1	0	12
Houston	005	8	0	0	8	McLennan	007	440	921	17	1,378
Howard	009	15	0	21	36	McMullen	011	0	0	0	0
Hudspeth	010	2	0	0	2	Medina	008	77	0	0	77
Hunt	003	155	0	85	240	Menard	009	19	0	14	33
Hutchinson	001	32	0	0	32	Midland	009	156	0	31	187
Irion	009	3	0	0	3	Milam	007	146	0	0	146
Jack	002	0	0	4	4	Mills	007	3	0	0	3
Jackson	800	54	0	0	54	Mitchell	002	40	0	0	40
Jasper	005	22	0	0	22	Montague	002	0	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	0	Montgomery	006	720	0	9	729
Jefferson	005	344	0	0	344	Moore	001	15	0	0	15
Jim Hogg	011	1	0	0	1	Morris	004	14	0	0	14
Jim Wells	011	146	0	0	146	Motley	001	4	0	0	4
Johnson	003	103	0	2	105	Nacogdoches	005	123	0	15	138
Jones	002	64	0	0	64	Navarro	003	67	0	17	84
Karnes	008	4	0	0	4	Newton	005	2	0	0	2
Kaufman	003	83	0	0	83	Nolan	002	70	0	0	70
Kendall	008	83	0	1	84	Nueces	011	638	1,293	91	2,022
Kenedy	011	2	0	0	2	Ochiltree	001	11	0	6	17
Kent	002	2	0	0	2	Oldham	001	7	0	0	7
Kerr	008	119	0	7	126	Orange	005	40	0	0	40
Kimble	009	54	0	0	54	Palo Pinto	003	25	0	0	25
King	001	7	0	0	7	Panola	004	13	0	0	13
Kinney	008	7	0	0	7	Parker	003	18	0	0	18
Kleberg	011	171	0	25	196	Parmer	001	13	0	0	13
Knox	002	12	0	0	12	Pecos	009	18	0	0	18
Lamar	004	194	0	1	195	Polk	005	58	0	11	69
Lamb	001	3	0	0	3	Potter	001	155	680	14	849
Lampasas	007	15	0	2	17	Presidio	010	21	0	0	21
La Salle	008	2	0	0	2	Rains	004	18	0	0	18
Lavaca	008	37	0	0	37	Randall	001	59	5	8	72
Lee	007	15	0	0	15	Reagan	009	2	0	0	2

Youth Served in the Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	STAR*	CYD**	SYSN***	Total
Real	008	2	0	0	2
Red River	004	8	0	0	8
Reeves	009	13	0	0	13
Refugio	011	18	0	0	18
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	59	0	7	66
Rockwall	003	84	0	20	104
Runnels	002	13	0	0	13
Rusk	004	69	0	23	92
Sabine	005	2	0	0	2
San Augustine	005	33	0	13	46
San Jacinto	005	27	0	0	27
San Patricio	011	290	0	2	292
San Saba	007	12	0	0	12
Schleicher	009	2	0	0	2
Scurry	002	63	0	29	92
Shackelford	002	0	0	0	0
Shelby	005	30	0	0	30
Sherman	001	2	0	1	3
Smith	004	311	0	0	311
Somervell	003	3	0	0	3
Starr	011	114	0	0	114
Stephens	002	0	0	0	0
Sterling	009	3	0	0	3
Stonewall	002	1	0	0	1
Sutton	009	5	0	0	5
Swisher	001	7	0	0	7
Tarrant	003	1,269	910	231	2,410
Taylor	002	350	0	94	444
Terrell	009	1	0	0	1_
Terry	001	37	0	0	37
Throckmorton	002	1	0	1	2

County	Region	STAR*	CYD**	SYSN***	Total
Titus	004	67	0	0	67
Tom Green	009	268	0	65	333
Travis	007	731	1,692	301	2,724
Trinity	005	9	0	12	21
Tyler	005	43	1	1	45
Úpshur	004	76	0	0	76
Upton	009	2	0	0	2
Uvalde	008	74	0	0	74
Val Verde	008	448	0	12	460
Van Zandt	004	86	0	0	86
Victoria	008	402	0	11	413
Walker	006	29	0	20	49
Waller	006	11	0	2	13
Ward	009	8	0	0	8
Washington	007	94	0	4	98
Webb	011	468	0	79	547
Wharton	006	0	0	24	24
Wheeler	001	10	0	0	10
Wichita	002	126	0	113	239
Wilbarger	002	105	0	31	136
Willacy	011	131	0	0	131
Williamson	007	578	0	27	605
Wilson	008	94	1	1	96
Winkler	009	2	0	0	2
Wise	003	4	0	1	5
Wood	004	12	0	0	12
Yoakum	001	1	0	0	1
Young	002	0	0	0	0
Zapata	011	9	0	16	25
Zavala	008	78	0	0	78
State Total	2	23,943	17,932	4,191	46,066

NOTE: CYD county is the client's county and may not reflect the zip code of the school or community center in which the youth qualified for services.

^{*} STAR - Services to At-Risk Youth

^{**} CYD - Community Youth Development - Services are provided in select ZIP codes 75216, 75217, 76106, 76707, 77081, 77506, 77550, 78207, 78415, 78501, 78520, 78744, 79107, 79415, and 79924

^{***} SYSN - Statewide Youth Services Network

Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	CBCAP*	TFTS**	CBFS***	Total	County	Region	CBCAP*	TFTS**	CBFS***	Total
Anderson	004	0	0	0	0	Cooke	003	0	0	0	0
Andrews	009	0	0	0	0	Coryell	007	0	0	0	0
Angelina	005	0	0	0	0	Cottle	002	0	0	0	0
Aransas	011	0	0	0	0	Crane	009	0	0	0	0
Archer	002	0	0	0	0	Crockett	009	0	0	0	0
Armstrong	001	0	0	0	0	Crosby	001	0	0	0	0
Atascosa	008	0	0	0	0	Culberson	010	0	0	0	0
Austin	006	0	0	0	0	Dallam	001	0	0	0	0
Bailey	001	0	0	0	0	Dallas	003	1	0	0	1
Bandera	008	0	0	0	0	Dawson	009	0	0	0	0
Bastrop	007	0	0	0	0	Deaf Smith	001	0	0	0	0
Baylor	002	0	0	0	0	Delta	004	0	0	0	0
Bee	011	0	0	0	0	Denton	003	0	0	0	0
Bell	007	0	3	0	3	DeWitt	008	0	0	0	0
Bexar	008	469	558	257	1,284	Dickens	001	0	0	0	0
Blanco	007	1	0	0	1	Dimmit	008	0	0	0	0
Borden	009	0	0	Ō	0	Donley	001	0	0	0	0
Bosque	007	0	0	Ō	0	Duval	011	0	0	0	0
Bowie	004	0	Ö	Ö	Õ	Eastland	002	Ö	15	2	17
Brazoria	006	0	Ö	Ö	0	Ector	009	Ö	0	0	0
Brazos	007	0	257	0	257	Edwards	008	0	0	0	0
Brewster	010	0	0	0	0	Ellis	003	1	0	0	1
Briscoe	001	0	0	0	0	El Paso	010	322	ő	0	322
Brooks	011	0	0	0	0	Erath	003	0	0	0	0
Brown	002	0	111	15	126	Falls	007	0	0	0	0
Burleson	007	0	8	0	8	Fannin	003	0	0	0	0
Burnet	007	0	0	0	0	Fayette	007	0	0	0	0
Caldwell	007	0	0	0	0	Fisher	007	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	007	0	0	0	0	Floyd	002	0	0	0	0
Callahan	002	0	9	0	9	Foard	001	0	0	0	0
Cameron	011	25	112	0	137	Fort Bend	002	0	0	0	0
	004	0	0	0	0	Franklin	004	0	0	0	0
Camp Carson	004	0	0	0	0	Freestone	004	0	0	0	0
Carson	001	0	0	0	0	Frio	007	0	0	0	0
Cass	004	0	0	0	0	Gaines	008	0	0	0	0
Chambers	006	0		0	0	Galveston	009		0		0
Cherokee	004	0	0	0		Garza	000	0	0	0	0
Childress	004		0		0			0		0	
		0	0	0	0	Gillespie	008	0	0	0	0
Clay	002	0	0	0	0	Glasscock	009	0	0	0	0
Cochran	001	0	0	0	0	Goliad	008	0	0	0	0
Coke	009	0	0	0	0	Gonzales	008	0	0	0	0
Coleman	002	0	9	4	13	Gray	001	0	0	0	0
Collin	003	0	0	0	0	Grayson	003	0	0	0	0
Collingsworth	001	0	0	0	0	Gregg	004	0	0	0	0
Colorado	006	0	0	0	0	Grimes	007	0	0	0	0
Comal	008	0	0	0	0	Guadalupe	008	0	0	44	44
Comanche	002	0	12	6	18	Hale	001	0	0	0	0
Concho	009	0	0	0	0	Hall	001	0	0	0	0

Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

County	Region	CBCAP*	TFTS**	CBFS***	Total	County	Region	CBCAP*	TFTS**	CBFS***	Total
Hamilton	007	0	0	0	0	Leon	007	0	17	0	17
Hansford	001	0	0	0	0	Liberty	006	0	0	0	0
Hardeman	002	0	0	0	O	Limestone	007	0	0	0	0
Hardin	005	0	0	0	O	Lipscomb	001	0	0	0	0
Harris	006	0	0	0	O	Live Oak	011	0	0	0	0
Harrison	004	0	0	0	0	Llano	007	0	0	0	0
Hartley	001	0	0	0	0	Loving	009	0	0	0	0
Haskell	002	0	0	0	0	Lubbock	001	0	0	0	0
Hays	007	0	0	0	0	Lynn	001	0	0	0	0
Hemphill	001	0	0	0	0	Madison	007	0	48	0	48
Henderson	004	0	0	0	0	Marion	004	0	0	0	0
Hidalgo	011	0	351	0	351	Martin	009	0	0	0	0
Hill	007	0	0	0	0	Mason	009	0	0	0	0
Hockley	001	0	0	0	0	Matagorda	006	0	0	0	0
Hood	003	0	0	0	0	Maverick	008	0	0	0	0
Hopkins	004	0	0	0	0	McCulloch	009	0	13	0	13
Houston	005	0	0	0	0	McLennan	007	0	0	0	0
Howard	009	0	0	0	0	McMullen	011	0	0	0	0
Hudspeth	010	Ŏ	Ö	Ö	Ö	Medina	008	0	0	0	Ö
Hunt	003	ŏ	0	ő	Ö	Menard	009	Ö	Ö	0	Ö
Hutchinson	001	0	0	0	0	Midland	009	0	0	0	0
Irion	009	0	0	0	0	Milam	007	0	0	0	Ö
Jack	002	0	0	0	0	Mills	007	0	0	0	0
Jackson	008	0	0	0	0	Mitchell	007	0	0	0	0
Jasper	005	0	0	0	0	Montague	002	0	0	0	0
Jeff Davis	010	0	0	0	0	Montgomery	002	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	005	0	0	0	0	Moore	000	0	0	0	0
Jim Hogg	011	0	0	0	0	Morris	004	0	0	0	0
Jim Hogg Jim Wells	011	0	0	0	0	Motley	004	0	0	0	0
Johnson	003	0	0	0	0	•	001	0	0	0	0
	003	0	0	0	0	Nacogdoches Navagge	003	0	1	0	1
Jones	002	0	0	0		Navarro Newton	005	0			0
Karnes		_	-		0			-	0 5	0	5
Kaufman	003	0	0	0	0	Nolan	002	0	228	0	228
Kendall	008	0	0		0	Nueces	011	0		0	
Kenedy	011	0	0	0	0	Ochiltree	001	0	0	0	0
Kent	002	0	0	0	0	Oldham	001	0	0	0	0
Kerr	008	0	18	0	18	Orange	005	0	0	0	0
Kimble	009	0	0	0	0	Palo Pinto	003	0	0	0	0
King	001	0	0	0	0	Panola	004	0	0	0	0
Kinney	008	0	0	0	0_	Parker Parker	003	0	0	0	0
Kleberg	011	0	0	0	0	Parmer	001	0	0	0	0
Knox	002	0	0	0	0	Pecos	009	0	0	0	0
Lamar	004	0	0	0	0	Polk	005	0	0	0	0
Lamb	001	0	0	0	0	Potter	001	0	0	0	0
Lampasas	007	0	0	0	0	Presidio	010	0	0	0	0
La Salle	008	0	0	0	0	Rains	004	0	0	0	0
Lavaca	008	0	0	0	0	Randall	001	0	0	0	0
Lee	007	0	0	0	0	Reagan	009	0	0	0	0

Families (Primary Caregivers) Served in Child Abuse/Neglect Prevention Programs Fiscal Year 2014

			_		
County	Region	CBCAP*	TFTS**	CBFS***	Total
Real	008	0	0	0	0
Red River	004	0	0	0	0
Reeves	009	0	0	0	0
Refugio	011	0	0	0	0
Roberts	001	0	0	0	0
Robertson	007	0	7	0	7
Rockwall	003	0	0	0	0
Runnels	002	0	5	1	6
Rusk	004	0	0	0	0
Sabine	005	0	0	0	0_
San Augustine	005	0	0	0	0
San Jacinto	005	0	0	0	0
San Patricio	011	0	0	0	0
San Saba	007	0	8	0	8
Schleicher	009	0	0	0	0
Scurry	002	0	0	0	0
Shackelford	002	0	0	0	0
Shelby	005	0	0	0	0
Sherman	001	0	0	0	0
Smith	004	0	0	0	0
Somervell	003	0	0	0	0
Starr	011	0	0	0	0
Stephens	002	0	0	0	0
Sterling	009	0	0	0	0
Stonewall	002	0	0	0	0
Sutton	009	0	0	0	0
Swisher	001	0	0	0	0
Tarrant	003	12	301	0	313
Taylor	002	6	0	0	6
Terrell	009	0	0	0	0
Terry	001	0	0	0	0
Throckmorton	002	0	0	0	0
Titus	004	0	0	0	0

County	Region	CBCAP	* TFTS**	CBFS***	Total
Tom Green	009	0	0	0	0
Travis	007	0	0	0	0
Trinity	005	0	0	0	0
Tyler	005	0	0	0	0
Úpshur	004	0	0	0	0
Upton	009	0	0	0	0
Uvalde	008	0	0	0	0
Val Verde	008	0	0	0	0
Van Zandt	004	0	0	0	0
Victoria	008	0	0	0	0
Walker	006	0	0	0	0
Waller	006	0	0	0	0
Ward	009	0	0	0	0
Washington	007	0	0	0	0
Webb	011	0	0	0	0
Wharton	006	0	0	0	0
Wheeler	001	0	0	0	0
Wichita	002	0	0	0	0
Wilbarger	002	0	0	0	0
Willacy	011	0	0	0	0
Williamson	007	0	0	0	0
Wilson	008	0	0	0	0
Winkler	009	0	0	0	0
Wise	003	0	0	0	0
Wood	004	0	0	0	0
Yoakum	001	0	0	0	0
Young	002	0	0	0	0
Zapata	011	0	0	0	0
Zavala	008	0	0	0	0_
State Total		837	2,096	329	3,262

Note: CBCAP, TFTS and CBFS are offered in a limited number of Texas Counties. Counties selected are the result of a statewide competitive procurement.

^{*} CBCAP - Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention.

^{**} TFTS - Texas Families: Together and Safe

^{***}CBFS - Community-Based Family Services

Definitions

Adult Protective Services Program

Abuse (In-Home) - The negligent or willful infliction of injury, unreasonable confinement, intimidation, or cruel punishment with resulting physical or emotional harm or pain by a caretaker, family member, or other individual with whom the alleged victim has an ongoing relationship.

Adult - a person 18 or older or an emancipated minor.

Allegation (In-Home) - An assertion that alleged victim is in a state of or at risk of harm due to abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation.

Allegation (Facility Investigations) - A report by an individual that a person receiving services in a state operated and/or contracted setting that serves children and adults with mental illness or intellectual disabilities has been or is in a state of abuse, neglect, or exploitation.

Alleged Perpetrator (In-Home) - A person who is reported to be responsible for the abuse, neglect or financial exploitation of an alleged victim.

Alleged Perpetrator (Facility Investigations) - Staff employed in a state operated and/or contracted setting that serves persons with mental illness or intellectual disabilities who is reported to have abused, neglected or exploited a person served in these settings.

Alleged Victim (In-Home) - A person age 65 or older or an adult with a disability who has been reported to adult protective services to be in a state of or at risk of abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation.

Alleged Victim (Facility Investigations) - A person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting who is alleged to have been abused, neglected, or exploited.

APS - Adult Protective Services, a division of DFPS.

APS In-Home - The term used to refer to investigations and service delivery related to abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation of alleged victims who generally live in non-institutional settings, such as private homes, small foster homes, and legally unlicensed room and board facilities. APS In-Home caseworkers investigate financial exploitation in licensed facilities when the alleged perpetrator is not affiliated with the institution and has an ongoing relationship with the alleged victim. In previous years, Adult Protective Services In-Home cases have been referred to as "community" cases. To avoid confusion with Facility investigations in community centers, the title "community" is no longer used when referring to the APS In-Home program area.

APS Facility Investigations Program - Investigations conducted by APS related to abuse, neglect, or exploitation of persons with mental illness or intellectual disabilities who are served in state operated and/or state contracted settings.

Capacity to Consent to Protective Services - Having the mental and physical ability to understand the services offered and to accept or reject those services, knowing the consequences of the decision.

Caretaker - A guardian, representative payee, or other person who by act, words, or course of conduct has acted so as to cause a reasonable person to conclude that he has accepted the responsibility for protection, food, shelter, or care for an alleged victim. This excludes paid caretakers as defined in 40 TAC §705.1001(8).

Client (In-Home) - An alleged victim who has been determined in a validated finding to be in need of protective services. The alleged victim does not have to meet financial eligibility requirements.

Confidentiality - Records relating to the department's protective clients are not open to public inspection.

Confirmed (Facility Investigations) - There is a preponderance of credible evidence to support that abuse, neglect, or exploitation occurred.

Court-Authorized Entry (In-Home) - To carry out an investigation of reported abuse, neglect, or financial

exploitation, the court may authorize the department to enter the premises of an alleged victim. Designated Perpetrator - (In-Home) An alleged perpetrator who has been determined in a validated finding to have abused, neglected or financially exploited a designated victim.

Designated Perpetrator (Facility Investigations) - An alleged perpetrator who has been determined in a confirmed finding to have abused, neglected or exploited a person served in these settings.

Designated Victim (In-Home) - An alleged victim with a valid abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation finding.

Designated Victim (Facility Investigations) - An alleged victim with a confirmed abuse, neglect, or exploitation finding.

Developmental Disability - A severe, chronic disability of an individual that:

- results from an intellectual and/or physical impairment;
- begins before age 22;
- is likely to be life-long;
- results in major limitations in three or more areas of everyday functioning (self-care, receptive and expressive language, learning, mobility, self-direction, capacity for independent living, and economic self-sufficiency); and
- reflects the individual's need for special services that are individually planned and coordinated.

Disabled Person - A person with a mental, physical, or developmental disability that substantially impairs the person's ability to provide adequately for the person's care or protection and who is 18 years of age or older (or under 18 years of age and who has had the disabilities of minority removed).

Emancipated Minor - A person under 18 years of age who has the power and capacity of an adult. This includes a minor who has had the disabilities of minority removed by a court of law or a minor who, with or without parental consent, has been married. (Marriage includes common-law marriage).

Emotional or Verbal Abuse (In-Home) - When an alleged perpetrator is

- a caretaker, family member, or other individual who has an ongoing relationship with the alleged victim any act or use of verbal or other communication to threaten violence that makes a reasonable person fearful of imminent physical injury.
- a paid caretaker any act or communication that is used to curse, vilify, humiliate, degrade, or threaten and that results in emotional harm; or is of such a serious nature that a reasonable person would consider it emotionally harmful.

Emotional or Verbal Abuse (Facility Investigations) - Any act or use of verbal or other communication, including gestures to curse, vilify or degrade a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting, or threaten a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting with physical or emotional harm. The act or communication must result in observable distress or harm to the person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting, or be of such nature that a reasonable person would consider it harmful or causing distress.

Exploitation (Facility Investigations) - The illegal or improper act or process of using a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting or the resources of a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting for monetary or personal benefit, profit, or gain when the alleged perpetrator is an employee, agent, or contractor.

Facility - State Supported Living Centers (SSLC), State Hospitals, privately operated ICF-IID, and the Rio Grande State Center.

Facility Non-Institutional Settings - Home and Community-Based Waiver (HCS-W) services, Texas Home Living Waiver program and Community Centers. Community Centers may contract to provide a number of IDD services including privately operated Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF-IID), home and community-based services Waiver (HCS-W) and adult day programs.

False Reports - A person commits an offense if the person knowingly or intentionally reports information that the person knows is false or lacks factual foundation. Such an offense is a Class B misdemeanor.

Financial Exploitation (In-Home) - When an alleged perpetrator is a caretaker or paid caretaker, family member, or other individual who has an ongoing relationship with the alleged victim, financial exploitation is defined as the illegal or improper act or process of an alleged perpetrator using, or attempting to use, the resources of the alleged victim, including the alleged victim's Social Security number or other identifying information for monetary or personal benefit, profit, or gain; and without the informed consent of the alleged victim.

There is no informed consent when the consent is:

- not voluntary;
- induced by deception or coercion; or
- given by an alleged victim who the alleged perpetrator knows or should have known to be unable to make informed and rational decisions because of diminished capacity or mental disease or defect.

When the alleged perpetrator is a caretaker, family member, or other individual who has with an ongoing relationship with the alleged victim, financial exploitation does not include Theft in Texas Penal Code, Chapter 31 (theft offenses).

When the alleged perpetrator is a paid caretaker, financial exploitation includes, but is not limited to, Theft in Texas Penal Code, Chapter 31 (theft offenses).

Immunity - A person filing a report, participating in an investigation, testifying, or participating in any judicial proceeding arising from a petition, report, or investigation is immune from civil or criminal liability. A person, including an authorized department volunteer, medical personnel, or law enforcement officer, who participates in an investigation or the provision of services is also immune as long as the person is acting in good faith.

Incapacitated Person - An adult individual who, because of a physical or mental condition is substantially unable to provide food, clothing, or shelter for himself or herself, to care for the individual's own physical health, or to manage the individual's own financial affairs; a missing person; or a person who must have a guardian appointed to receive funds due the person from any governmental source. (A minor is also a legally incapacitated person.)

Incidence of Maltreatment - Ratio of the number of validated APS In-Home cases in a geographic area to the total population in that area of persons who are age 65 or older and persons who have disabilities.

Inconclusive (Facility Investigations) - There is not a preponderance of credible evidence to indicate that abuse, neglect, or exploitation did or did not occur due to lack of witnesses or other available evidence.

Information Management Protecting Adults and Children in Texas (IMPACT) - The browser-based software application by which Adult Protective Services (APS) and Child Protective Services (CPS) staff document cases.

Intake Priorities for In-Home Investigations - In establishing priorities, the department defines the time frames for beginning an investigation and for conducting a face-to-face interview with the alleged victim. Adult Protective Services' priorities are based on the degree of severity and immediacy of the alleged harm to the individual.

Priority I - APS reports that allege the victim is in a state of serious harm or is in danger of death from abuse or neglect. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within 24 hours of the department's receipt of a Priority I report, which may include, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. Serious injuries. Examples: spinal injury, fractured ribs puncturing lung, head injury, severe burns, broken hip, internal injuries.
- 2. Lack of life-sustaining medication.
- 3. Serious threats by caretaker to harm or kill alleged victim.
- 4. Lack of basic physical necessities severe enough to result in freezing, starvation, or dehydration.
- 5. Need for immediate medical attention to treat conditions that could result in irreversible physical harm, Examples: unconsciousness, acute pain, severe respiratory distress, gangrene, hemorrhaging, severe malnutrition, advanced bedsores.
- 6. Sexual abuse when there is danger of repeated abuse.

- 7. No caretaker is available; the alleged victim is unable to perform critical personal care activities, and his or her needs cannot be met by other community resources.
- 8. Living conditions that pose a serious health or safety hazard. Examples: fecal contamination, dead animals, major structural damage to residence

Priority II - APS reports that allege the victim is abused, neglected, or financially exploited and as a result is at risk of serious harm. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within three calendar days of the department's receipt of a Priority II report. Priority II reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Critical need for mental health or medical treatment. Examples: overly aggressive behavior, pressure spots, small bedsores or other small but open wounds, malnutrition, sprains, or disease or illness that is acute but not life-threatening.
- 2. Falling or being pushed, hit, or scratched, which is alleged to have resulted in bruises, other injuries, or severe mental anguish.
- 3. Inadequate attention to physical needs. Examples: insufficient food or medicine, but not life-threatening.
- 4. Illegal or improper use of alleged victim's income or resources to the degree that the alleged victim is unable to meet basic needs or is threatened with substantial loss of income or resources.
- 5. Unreasonable confinement.
- 6. Sexual abuse of the alleged victim by the caretaker, but clearly no immediate danger of repeated abuse.
- 7. Threats of physical violence or harm to the alleged victim.
- 8. Imminent eviction from a nursing home because the alleged victim's representative failed to use the alleged victim's income to pay for care.
- 9. Threat of losing the caretaker's services when the alleged victim is dependent on the caretaker for basic needs.

Priority III - Consists of all other APS reports that allege the victim is in a state of abuse or neglect. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within seven calendar days of the department's receipt of a Priority III report. Priority III reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Verbal or emotional abuse. Examples: harassment, cursing, degrading remarks, intimidation.
- 2. Marginal care or threatened withdrawal of care by caretaker when the alleged victim needs some assistance with his or her basic activities of daily living.
- 3. Falling or being pushed, hit, or scratched when such actions are not reported to result in bruises, other injuries, or severe mental anguish.
- 4. Need for mental health or medical treatment that is not urgent. Examples: mild depression, delusional thinking that is not dangerous to the alleged victim or others, poor nutrition, or disease or illness that is not acute.

Priority IV - APS reports that allege financial exploitation when there is no danger of imminent impoverishment or deprivation of basic needs. The caseworker must attempt a face-to-face visit with the alleged victim within 14 calendar days from the date the department receives a Priority IV report. Priority IV reports may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Lack of appropriate contribution to food and shelter expenses by household members.
- 2. Misuse of a nursing home resident's personal needs allowance by someone who is not affiliated with the nursing home. (If the alleged perpetrator is an employee of the nursing home, the report is referred to the Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services.)
- 3. Improper use of income or resources but the alleged victim's needs are still met.

Intake Priorities for Facility Investigations -

Priority I - Priority I reports have a serious risk that a delay in the investigation will impede the collection of evidence, or allege that the victim has been subjected to maltreatment by an act or omission that caused or may have caused serious physical or emotional harm. Priority I reports include, but are not limited to: death, sexual

abuse, serious physical abuse injury, serious verbal or emotional abuse, or incitement to harm self or others. The investigator must attempt a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim within 24 hours of receipt of the report by the department.

Priority II - Priority II reports have some risk that a delay in investigation will impede the collection of evidence, or allege that the victim has been subjected to maltreatment by act or omission that caused or may have caused non-serious physical injury, or emotional harm not included in Priority I. Priority II reports include, but are not limited to, the following: non-serious physical injury, non-serious verbal/emotional abuse, and/or exploitation. The investigator must attempt a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim within three calendar days of receipt of the report by the department.

Priority III - Priority III reports allege maltreatment that would otherwise be classified as Priority I or II but the alleged incident occurred more than 30 days prior to the date of the report and there is no known or perceived risk. The investigator must attempt a face-to-face contact with the alleged victim within seven calendar days of receipt of the report by the department. Investigations in SSLCs and the ICF-IID component of the Rio Grande State Center can only be PI or PII.

Institution - An establishment that furnishes, in one or more facilities, food and shelter to four or more persons who are unrelated to the proprietor of the establishment and provides minor treatment under the direction and supervision of a physician licensed by the Texas State Board of Medical Examiners, or other services that meet some need beyond the basic provision of food, shelter, and laundry.

Invalid (In-Home) - based on the standard of preponderance of the evidence, it is more likely than not that the abuse, neglect or financial exploitation did not occur.

Least Restrictive Alternative - An action or service that protects a client while allowing personal autonomy to the fullest degree possible.

Neglect (In-Home) - When an alleged perpetrator is:

- the alleged victim the failure of one's self to provide the protection, food, shelter, or care necessary to avoid emotional harm or physical injury.
- the caretaker or paid caretaker -the failure to provide the protection, food, shelter, or care necessary to avoid emotional harm or physical injury; or a negligent act or omission that caused or may have caused emotional harm, physical injury, or death.

Neglect (Facility Investigations) - When the alleged perpetrator is an employee, agent, or contractor of a state operated and/or contracted facility serving persons with mental illness or intellectual disabilities, neglect is defined as a negligent act or omission by any individual responsible for providing services to a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting, which caused or may have caused physical or emotional injury or death to a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting or which placed a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting at risk of physical or emotional injury or death. Neglect includes, but is not limited to, the failure to:

- establish or carry out an appropriate individual program plan or treatment plan for a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting, if such failure results in a specific incident or allegation involving a person served by a facility or program;
- 2. provide adequate nutrition, clothing, or health care to a specific person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting residential or inpatient program; or
- 3. provide a safe environment for a specific person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting, including the failure to maintain adequate numbers of appropriately trained staff if such failure results in a specific incident or allegation involving a person served by a facility or program.

Objection to Medical Treatment - Medical treatment may not be ordered for clients who object to treatment on religious grounds.

Physical Abuse (In-Home) - When an alleged perpetrator is:

• a caretaker, family member, or other individual who has an ongoing relationship with the alleged victim - any

knowing, reckless, or intentional act or failure to act, including unreasonable confinement, corporal punishment, inappropriate or excessive force, or intimidation, which caused physical injury, death, or emotional harm.

• a paid caretaker - any knowing, reckless, or intentional act or failure to act, including unreasonable confinement, corporal punishment, inappropriate or excessive force, or intimidation, which caused or may have caused physical injury, death, or emotional harm.

Physical Abuse (Facility Investigations) - When the alleged perpetrator is an employee, agent, or contractor of a state operated and/or contracted facility serving persons with mental illness or intellectual disabilities, physical abuse is defined as:

- an act or failure to act performed knowingly, recklessly, or intentionally, including incitement to act, which
 caused or may have caused physical injury or death to a person served in a state operated and/or contracted
 setting;
- 2. an act of inappropriate or excessive force or corporal punishment, regardless of whether the act results in a physical injury to a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting; or
- 3. the use of chemical or bodily restraints on a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting not in compliance with federal and state laws and regulations.

Protective Services (In-Home) - The services furnished by the department or by a protective services agency to a designated victim or to a relative or caretaker of that person if the department determines the services are necessary to prevent that person from returning to a state of abuse, neglect, or exploitation. These services may include social casework, case management, and arranging for psychiatric and health evaluation, home care, day care, social services, health care, respite services, and other services consistent with chapter 48 of the Human Resources Code. Protective services are provided in In-Home cases and may be provided to recipients of HCS-W services.

Purchased Client Services (PCS) - Purchased client services provided in accordance with §48.002(5) of the Human Resources Code, includes, but is not limited to, emergency shelter, medical and psychiatric assessments, In-Home care, residential care, heavy housecleaning, minor home repairs, money management, transportation, emergency food, medication, and other supplies. Specific purchased client services are only provided if those specific services are not available through other state and local resources. A person who is age 65 or older or an adult with disabilities is eligible to receive purchased client services from Adult Protective Services in accordance with §48.002(5) and §48.202 of the Human Resources Code when a service plan has been developed by the department under these sections that indicates that purchased client services are needed to remedy abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation. All other available resources must be used where feasible before purchased client services are initiated.

Reporter - A person who makes a referral to Adult Protective Services staff about a situation of alleged abuse, neglect, or exploitation of a person who is age 65 or older or has a disability.

Sexual Abuse (In-Home) - Nonconsensual sexual activity, which may include, but is not limited to, any activity that would be a sexually-oriented offense per Texas Penal Code, Chapter 21 (indecent exposure), Chapter 22 (sexual assault), or Chapter 43 (public indecency) committed by:

- the alleged victim's caretaker;
- · a paid caretaker;
- a family member; or
- another individual who has an ongoing relationship with the alleged victim.

There is no consent when:

- the alleged perpetrator knows or should know that the alleged victim is incapable of consenting because of impairment in judgment due to mental or emotional disease or defect;
- · consent is induced by force or threat against any person;
- the alleged victim is unconscious or physically unable to resist;
- the alleged perpetrator has intentionally impaired the alleged victim by administering any substance without the person's knowledge; or

• consent is coerced due to fear of retribution or hardship, or by exploiting the emotional dependency of the alleged victim on the alleged perpetrator.

Sexual Abuse (Facility Investigations) - When the alleged perpetrator is an employee, agent, or contractor of a state operated and/or contracted facility serving persons with mental illness or intellectual disabilities, sexual abuse is defined as any sexual activity, including but not limited to:

- 1. kissing a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting with sexual intent;
- 2. hugging a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting with sexual intent;
- 3. stroking a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting with sexual intent;
- 4. fondling a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting with sexual intent;
- 5. engaging in with a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting:
 - sexual conduct as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.01; or
 - any activity that is obscene as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.21;
- 6. requesting, soliciting, or compelling a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting to engage in:
 - sexual conduct as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.01; or
 - any activity that is obscene as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.21;
- 7. in the presence of a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting:
 - engaging in or displaying any activity that is obscene, as defined in the Texas Penal Code §43.21; or
 - requesting, soliciting, or compelling another person to engage in any activity that is obscene, as defined in the Texas Penal Code §43.21;
- 8. committing sexual exploitation as defined in §711.15 of this title (relating to "How is sexual exploitation defined?") against a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting;
- 9. committing sexual assault as defined in the Texas Penal Code §22.011, against a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting;
- 10. committing aggravated sexual assault as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §22.021, against a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting; and
- 11. causing, permitting, encouraging, engaging in, or allowing the photographing, filming, videotaping, or depicting of a person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting if the employee, agent, or contractor knew or should have known that the resulting photograph, film, videotape, or depiction of the person served in a state operated and/or contracted setting is obscene as defined in the Texas Penal Code, §43.21, or is pornographic.

Unable to Determine (In-Home) - a preponderance of the available evidence is insufficient to support a finding of Valid or Invalid.

Unconfirmed (Facility Investigations) - there is a preponderance of credible evidence to support that abuse, neglect, or exploitation did not occur.

Unfounded (Facility Investigations) - evidence gathered indicates that the allegation is spurious or patently without factual basis.

Valid (In-Home) - based on the standard of preponderance of the evidence, it is more likely than not that the abuse, neglect or financial exploitation occurred.

Child Protective Services Program

CPS Abuse and Neglect Dispositions-The principal governing legislation for CPS investigations is Chapter 261 of the Texas Family Code (TFC). The TFC definitions of abuse or neglect investigated by CPS are:

Physical Abuse - Physical injury that results in substantial harm to the child, or the genuine threat of substantial harm from physical injury to the child, including an injury that is at variance with the history or explanation given and excluding an accident or reasonable discipline by a parent, guardian, or managing or possessory conservator that does not expose the child to a substantial risk of harm; failure to make a reasonable effort to prevent an action by another person that results in physical injury that results in substantial harm to the child; the current use by a person of a controlled substance as defined by Chapter 481, Health and Safety Code, in a manner or to the extent that the use results in physical injury to a child; or causing, expressly permitting, or encouraging a child to use a controlled substance as defined by Chapter 481, Health and Safety Code.

Sexual Abuse - Sexual conduct harmful to a child's mental, emotional, or physical welfare, including conduct that constitutes the offense of indecency with a child under Section 21.11, Penal Code, sexual assault under Section 22.011, Penal Code, or aggravated sexual assault under Section 22.021, Penal Code; failure to make a reasonable effort to prevent sexual conduct harmful to a child; compelling or encouraging a child to engage in sexual conduct as defined by Section 43.01, Penal Code; causing, permitting, encouraging, engaging in, or allowing the photographing, filming, or depiction of the child if the person knew or should have known that the resulting photograph, film, or depiction of the child is obscene (as defined by the Penal Code) or pornographic; or causing, permitting, encouraging, engaging in, or allowing a sexual performance by a child as defined by 43.25, Penal Code.

Emotional Abuse - Mental or emotional injury to a child that results in an observable and material impairment in the child's growth, development, or psychological functioning; causing or permitting the child to be in a situation in which the child sustains a mental or emotional injury that results in an observable and material impairment in the child's growth, development, or psychological functioning; or the current use by a person of a controlled substance as defined by Chapter 481, Health and Safety Code, in a manner or to the extent that the use results in mental, or emotional injury to a child.

Neglectful Supervision - Placing the child in or failing to remove the child from a situation that a reasonable person would realize requires judgment or actions beyond the child's level of maturity, physical condition, or mental abilities and that results in bodily injury or a substantial risk of immediate harm to the child; or placing a child in or failing to remove the child from a situation in which the child would be exposed to a substantial risk of sexual conduct harmful to the child.

Medical Neglect - Failing to seek, obtain, or follow through with medical care for a child, with the failure resulting in or presenting a substantial risk of death, disfigurement, or bodily injury or with the failure resulting in an observable and material impairment to the growth, development, or functioning of the child.

Physical Neglect - Failure to provide the child with food, clothing, or shelter necessary to sustain the life or health of the child, excluding failure caused primarily by financial inability unless relief services had been offered and refused.

Refusal to Assume Parental Responsibility - Failure by the person responsible for a child's care, custody, or welfare to permit the child to return to the child's home without arranging for the necessary care for the child after the child has been absent from the home for any reason, including having been in residential placement or having run away.

Abandonment - The leaving of a child in a situation where the child would be exposed to a substantial risk of physical or mental harm, without arranging for necessary care for the child, and a demonstration of an intent not to return by a parent, guardian, or managing or possessory conservator of the child.

Children in Foster Care - All children in DFPS' legal responsibility who are in a placement paid by DFPS or other public facility. These placements include foster homes, foster group homes, institutions, residential treatment facilities, and juvenile facilities. This is a subset of Children in Substitute Care.

Children in Substitute Care - Children in Substitute Care - Children under 18 years of age in DFPS' legal responsibility who are removed and placed outside their own home (home of origin). This includes foster homes, institutions, foster group homes, residential treatment facilities, hospitals, adoptive homes, juvenile facilities, kinship care placements, and independent living arrangements. Also included are the youth who age out of DFPS' legal responsibility and continue in foster care placements to complete vocational training by age 19 or to graduate from high school before they turn 22 years old.

Kinship care- The term used to describe those situations in which children, who are no longer able to live with their own parents, are cared for by relatives or other people known as "fictive kin" that have a significant relationship with the child or the child's family, such as a godparent or family friend.

Verified Kinship Caregiver (Foster Parent) - A verified kinship caregiver is licensed or verified as a foster parent to provide 24-hour residential care for a child, in accordance with Chapter 42 of the Human Resources Code and related regulations. Verification as a foster parent is offered by either DFPS through the CPS Foster and Adoptive Home Development program (FAD); or a private child-placing agency.

Children in the Legal Responsibility of the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services - All children for whom the courts have appointed the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services legal responsibility by temporary or permanent managing conservatorship or other court ordered legal basis. These children may be residing in an out-of-home placement or may have been returned to their own home (home of origin). When there is an immediate danger to the physical health or safety of a child, the Texas Family Code(TFC) provides three options for intervention by DFPS into a family: (1) emergency removal of the child from the home prior to obtaining a court order; (2) removal of the child after obtaining an ex parte order; or, (3) removal of the child after notice and hearing. Within 14 days from the date the child is taken into possession, a Full Adversary Hearing is held at which time the child is either returned home or if there is a continuing danger, temporary orders for managing conservatorship are issued. Within 12 months from the order appointing DFPS as the child's temporary managing conservator, the court must either return the child to the parent and dismiss the suit, appoint a parent, relative, or DFPS as managing conservator on a permanent basis, or grant a-one-time extension of the lawsuit, not to exceed 180 days.

CPS Investigations of Child Abuse and Neglect - The agency is required by state law to conduct civil investigations of reports of suspected child abuse or neglect. The objectives of the investigation are to ensure child safety, determine whether abuse or neglect occurred, determine whether children are at risk for abuse or neglect in the future, provide child or family needed safety services and refer the family to services available in the community, if needed. At the conclusion of the investigation, staff must assign a disposition to each allegation to specify conclusion regarding the occurrence of abuse or neglect. The dispositions that staff must use are: Reason to Believe, Ruled Out, Unable to Complete, or Unable to Determine.

Intake Priorities - To establish time frames for investigations, CPS assigns each report of child abuse and neglect to one of two priority groups. The level of identified risk and child safety concerns determine the priority assigned. Initial assessment regarding the immediacy of risk and severity of harm to the child are based on information provided by the reporter and other available information about the alleged perpetrators, child vulnerability, prior history, specific nature of the harm, and whether the harm has occurred.

The two priority groups are as follows:

Priority I - Intake reports that concern children who appear to face an immediate risk of abuse or neglect that could result in death or serious harm. CPS must initiate the investigation within 24 hours of receiving a Priority I report.

Priority II - All reports of abuse or neglect that are not assigned as Priority I are assigned as Priority II. These are reports that contain allegations of abuse or neglect in which there does not appear to be an immediate threat of serious harm or death. CPS must initiate the investigation within 72 hours of receiving a Priority II report. The initial priority may be changed if information gathered during the intake stage indicates that the abuse or neglect is either more or less serious than originally reported.

Service Level - DFPS seeks to place each child in the department's conservatorship with a foster caregiver who is well qualified to meet the child's needs. To achieve this, the department participates in a statewide system for classifying the needs of children and the capabilities of foster caregivers in four Service Levels:

a) What is the description of the Basic Service Level?

The Basic Service Level consists of a supportive setting, preferably in a family, that is designed to maintain or improve the child's functioning, including:

- (1) routine guidance and supervision to ensure the child's safety and sense of security;
- (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in activities appropriate to the child's age and development to promote the child's well-being;
- (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child to maintain a sense of identity and culture; and
- (4) access to therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance from professionals or paraprofessionals, on an as-needed basis, to help the child maintain functioning appropriate to the child's age and development.

b) What is the description of the Moderate Service Level?

- (a) The Moderate Service Level consists of a structured supportive setting, preferably in a family, in which most activities are designed to improve the child's functioning including:
 - (1) more than routine guidance and supervision to ensure the child's safety and sense of security;
 - (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in structured activities appropriate to the child's age and development to promote the child's well-being;
 - (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child to maintain a sense of identity and culture; and
 - (4) access to therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance from professionals or paraprofessionals to help the child attain or maintain functioning appropriate to the child's age and development.
- (b) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with primary medical or habilitative needs may require intermittent interventions from a skilled caregiver who has demonstrated competence.

c) What is the description of the Specialized Service Level?

- (a) The Specialized Service Level consists of a treatment setting, preferably in a family, in which caregivers have specialized training to provide therapeutic, habilitative, and medical support and interventions including -
 - (1) 24-hour supervision to ensure the child's safety and sense of security, which includes close monitoring and increased limit setting;
 - (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in therapeutic activities appropriate to the child's age and development to promote the child's well-being;
 - (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child to maintain a sense of identity and culture; and
 - (4) therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance that is regularly scheduled and professionally designed and supervised to help the child attain functioning appropriate to the child's age and development.
- (b) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with primary medical or habilitative needs may require regular interventions from a caregiver who has demonstrated competence.

d) What is the description of the Intense Service Level?

(a) The Intense Service Level consists of a high degree of structure, preferably in a family, to limit the child's access to environments as necessary to protect the child. The caregivers have specialized training to provide intense therapeutic and habilitative supports and interventions with limited outside access, including -

- (1) 24-hour supervision to ensure the child's safety and sense of security, which includes frequent one-to-one monitoring with the ability to provide immediate on-site response.
- (2) affection, reassurance, and involvement in therapeutic activities appropriate to the child's age and development to promote the child's well-being;
- (3) contact, in a manner that is deemed in the best interest of the child, with family members and other persons significant to the child, to maintain a sense of identity and culture;
- (4) therapeutic, habilitative, and medical intervention and guidance that is frequently scheduled and professionally designed and supervised to help the child attain functioning more appropriate to the child's age and development; and
- (5) consistent and frequent attention, direction, and assistance to help the child attain stabilization and connect appropriately with the child's environment.
- (b) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with developmental delays or intellectual disabilities needs professionally directed, designed and monitored interventions to enhance mobility, communication, sensory, motor, and cognitive development, and self-help skills.
- (c) In addition to the description in subsection (a) of this section, a child with primary medical or habilitative needs requires frequent and consistent interventions. The child may be dependent on people or technology for accommodation and require interventions designed, monitored, or approved by an appropriately constituted interdisciplinary team.

Permanency Goal Definitions - Permanency goals for children must be one of the following:

- 1) **Family Preservation -** identifies a child's own home as the safe and permanent living situation toward which CPS services are directed, without removing the child from the home.
- 2) **Family Reunification** identifies that the child was removed from his or her home and that with CPS assistance, the family appears able and willing to reduce the risk of abuse or neglect enough for the child to return home and live there safely for the foreseeable future.
- 3) Alternative Family Placement with Long Term Commitment indicates that the child has been removed from the home, family reunification is not appropriate, and a family has been found or is being sought as follows:
 - (A) adoption and care by a relative;
 - (B) permanent conservatorship and care by a relative;
 - (C) adoption and care by an unrelated family;
 - (D) permanent conservatorship and care by an unrelated family;
 - (E) care by a foster family with DFPS having permanent conservatorship;
 - (F) care in some other family arrangement with DFPS having permanent conservatorship;
 - 4) Another Planned Living Arrangement with Support of a Family indicates that the youth has been removed from the home, family reunification or another higher ranking permanency goal is not appropriate, and CPS will provide services directed toward:
 - (A) preparation for independent living, for youth who are at least 16 years old and have no developmental disability; or
 - (B) preparation for adult living with community assistance in the most integrated setting, for youth who are at least 18 years old and who have a developmental disability.

Recidivism - Refers to the re-occurrence of child abuse or neglect involving confirmed victims where the second incidence occurs within six months of the first incidence.

Reports of Child Abuse and Neglect - The agency receives many reports of children who are in situations that are not optimal for their growth or development, but do not appear to involve child abuse or neglect as defined by law. Only the reports that appear to meet the statutory definition of abuse or neglect are required by state law to be investigated by the agency.

Risk Assessment of Child Abuse/Neglect - CPS workers investigate allegations of abuse and neglect and make assessments regarding risk. The terms "at risk" and "not at risk" are conclusions regarding whether there is a reasonable likelihood that the child will be abused or neglected in the foreseeable future. The decision to provide services is based on the assessment of risk, not on the disposition of the allegations. Risk assessment is a casework process in which the worker explores individual and family functioning associated with the recurrence of abuse or neglect, and individual and family strengths and resources associated with protective capacities. There are four possible risk findings:

- No Significant Risk Factors No significant risk factors were identified in the family's current situation or history.
- Risk Factors Controlled Risk factors were identified; however, family strengths and available resources are sufficient to provide for the safety of the child without CPS assistance.
- Risk Indicated Risk factors were identified, and there are not sufficient family strengths and available resources to manage the risk conditions without CPS assistance.
- Risk Assessment Not Applicable- This finding is documented if the investigation involved school personnel
 or an only child who died, or the investigation was administratively closed or given a disposition of "unable
 to complete".

By using a risk-based system for provision of services, CPS is able to identify children in need of protection and direct its resources to those most in need. Child Care Licensing Program.

Child Care Licensing Program

Abuse - An intentional, knowing, or reckless act or omission by someone working under the auspices of an operation that causes or may cause emotional harm or physical injury to, or the death of, a child served by the operation. See the Texas Family Code, §261.401(a)(1) and DFPS Rules, 40 TAC, §745.8553 745.8557.

Administrative Review - An informal review meant to give certain individuals or operations the opportunity to dispute a specific Licensing decision or action.

Adverse Actions - A type of remedial action that Licensing may impose to address a deficiency. This action may require closure of an operation or the addition of permanent restrictions or conditions to a permit. The four types of adverse actions are - denial, adverse amendment, suspension, and revocation.

Application Process - The application process for licensed and registered operations involves a pre-application interview, inquiry meeting, or orientation; the submission of the application materials; public notice and hearing requirements for residential child-care operations; reviewing the application for compliance with minimum standards, rules and statutes; accepting the application as completed or returning it if incomplete; and the decision to issue or deny. Listed homes are not required to attend a pre-application interview or orientation.

Background Checks - Searches of different databases that are conducted on an individual. There are three types of background checks: criminal history checks conducted by the Department of Public Safety for crimes committed in the State of Texas, criminal history checks conducted by the FBI for crimes committed anywhere in the U.S., and central registry checks conducted by DFPS. The central registry is a database of people who have been found by Child Protective Services, Adult Protective Services, or Licensing to have abused or neglected a child or vulnerable adult. Background checks are conducted on child care providers, foster and adoptive parents (and their household members), and child-placing staff. Background checks are also conducted on employees at licensed operations as well as at registered and listed family homes. Rules are in place to dictate which types of background checks are required of each person. For more information about these requirements, see 40 Texas Administrative Code §6.745.615.

Before or After-school Program - A type of licensed center that provides care before or after or before and after the customary school day and during school holidays, for at least two hours a day, three days a week, to children who attend pre-kindergarten through grade six.

Branch Office - Office space used by a child-placing agency (CPA) separate from the main office or Texas head-quarters for the CPA. A branch office is at a location other than the location for which the CPA is licensed/certified. A branch office operates under the license issued to the CPA and performs many of the same functions as the main office of the CPA.

Capacity - The maximum number of children that a permit holder may care for at one time.

Care Types - The type of care offered by a licensed center or general residential operation based on the months, days, and hours of operation, the ages of the children in care, or the services provided. Care types for Licensed Centers include Child Care Program, Before or After School Program, and School Age Program. Care types for General Residential Operations include Child Care Services Only, Emergency Care Services Only, Multiple Services, or Residential Treatment Center. This information reflects the law that was in effect through August 31, 2011.

Caregiver - A person whose duties include the supervision, guidance, and protection of a child or children.

Central Registry - A subset of data within the IMPACT system of people who have been found by CPS, APS, or CCL to have abused or neglected a child or vulnerable adult.

Child-Care Administrator - A person who supervises and exercises direct control over a general residential child-care operation, and who is responsible for the operation's program and personnel, regardless of whether he or she has an ownership interest in the operation or shares duties with anyone.

Child-Care Facility - An establishment subject to regulation by Licensing that provides assessment, care, training, education, custody, treatment, or supervision for a child who is not related by blood, marriage, or adoption to the owner or operator of the facility, for all or part of the 24-hour day, whether or not the establishment operates for

profit or charges for its services. A child-care facility includes the people, administration, governing body, activities on or off the premises, operations, buildings, grounds, equipment, furnishings, and materials.

Child Care Licensing (CCL) - The division within DFPS that regulates child day care operations, residential child-care operations, other child-care activities, and the licensing of child-care administrators and child-placing agency administrators.

Child Care Licensing Law - Chapter 42 of the Human Resources Code.

Child-Placing Agency (CPA) - A person, including an organization, other than the parents of a child who plans for the placement of or places a child in a child-care operation, foster home or adoptive home. A CPA is a licensed residential child-care operation that verifies and regulates its own foster homes and approves adoptive homes subject to DFPS minimum standard rules.

Child-Placing Agency Administrator - A person who supervises and exercises direct control over a child-placing agency and who is responsible for the operation's program and personnel, regardless of whether he or she has an ownership interest in the operation or shares duties with anyone.

CLASS - Child Care Licensing Automation Support System. A case-management computer application used by DFPS licensing staff and is the system of record for many licensing activities.

Complete Application - A packet of materials submitted by an applicant that contains all of the documentation required to apply for a permit.

Corrective Action - A type of remedial action that Licensing may impose to help an operation improve compliance without requiring it to close. The two types of corrective actions include evaluation and probation. Corrective actions are not imposed on listed family homes.

CPA Adoptive Home - A person or persons approved by a CPA to adopt a child or children.

CPA Foster Family Home - A home verified by a child-placing agency to provide care for six or fewer children up to the age of 18 years. The Child-Placing Agency issues verifications, inspects, and may investigate its homes to ensure compliance with minimum standards. Also known as an agency foster family home.

CPA Foster Group Home - A home verified by a child-placing agency to provide care for seven to 12 children up to the age of 18 years. The child-placing agency issues verifications, inspects, and may investigate its homes to ensure compliance with m minimum standards. Also known as an agency foster group home.

Deficiency - Any failure to comply with a minimum standard rule, law, specific terms associated with a permit, or condition of a remedial action. Also referred to as a violation.

Director - An adult designated to have daily, on-site responsibility for a licensed day care facility, including maintaining compliance with the minimum standards and licensing laws.

e-Application - An electronic version of the application for a permit to operate a child-care operation.

Enforcement Team Conferences - A conference held to thoroughly review the investigations and inspections of a child-placing agency and all of its agency homes to monitor and enforce compliance by the child-placing agency with rules and standards. Enforcement Team Conferences also began for residential treatment centers starting in fiscal year 2011.

Evaluation - A type of corrective action in which a corrective action plan is imposed that may include conditions beyond the minimum standard rules and the basic permit requirements. During the evaluation period, inspections are conducted more frequently to assist the operation in meeting conditions, improving compliance, and assessing risk to children in care.

Exempt from Regulation - Certain facilities or programs can operate legally without receiving a permit from Licensing. A facility or program exempt from regulation is not required to comply with Licensing's statutes and rules.

Follow-Up Inspection - Inspections that include evaluating individual standards, conditions, or restrictions, rather

than evaluating an entire subchapter of minimum standards

Foster Family Home (Independent) - A licensed operation that provides residential child-care for six or fewer children up to the age of 18 years. An independent foster family home is not affiliated with a CPA but is monitored and regulated directly by the DFPS Licensing Division. See CPA foster family home for a home verified (monitored and regulated) by a child-placing agency (CPA).

Foster Group Home (Independent) - A licensed operation that provides residential care for seven to 12 children up to the age of 18 years. An independent foster group home is not affiliated with a CPA but is monitored and regulated directly by the DFPS Licensing Division. See CPA foster group home for a home verified (monitored and regulated) by a child-placing agency (CPA).

General Residential Operation - A residential child-care operation that provides child care for 13 or more children under age 18 and may provide various treatment services or programmatic services. Residential Treatment Centers, a subset of general residential operations, provide care exclusively for children requiring treatment services for emotional disorders.

Illegal Operation - An operation that provides child care that is subject to regulation, but does not have a permit.

IMPACT - Information Management Protecting Adults and Children in Texas, a case-management computer application used by DFPS staff. IMPACT is the statewide automated child welfare information system (SACWIS) for Texas.

Inspection - The physical presence of licensing staff at an operation to determine an operation's compliance with the child-care licensing law and DFPS rules. Inspection Types: Monitoring, Investigation, Follow-up, Other, and Sampling. See specific definitions in this section.

Investigation - An action conducted by licensing staff when a report is received alleging a violation of the minimum standards/law or abuse/neglect. An investigation is conducted to determine the validity of the allegations and to ensure the protection of children in care.

Investigation Inspection - Inspections that include the investigation of reports alleging abuse, neglect, violation of the law, violations of administrative rule, minimum standard rules, or a combination of these

Issuance - The Licensing division issues a permit to an operation after it determines that an operation or home has met minimum standards and is approved to operate as a child-care operation.

Licensed Child-Care Center - An operation providing care for seven or more children under age 14 for less than 24 hours per day at a location other than the permit holder's home. A licensed center may also be referred to as a day-care center and includes the care types Child Care Program, Before or After-School Program, School-Age Program, and Temporary Shelter Program.

Licensed Child-Care Home - A child day-care operation that is licensed. The primary caregiver provides care in the caregiver's own residence for children from birth through age 13. The total number of children in care varies with the ages of the children, but the total number of children in care at any given time, including the children related to the caregiver, must not exceed 12.

Listed Family Home - Listed Family Homes provide child care on a regular basis (at least 4 hours per day, 3 or more days a week, for 3 or more consecutive weeks) for one to three unrelated children. The total number of children in care at any given time, including the children related to the caregiver, must not exceed 12. Care is provided in the caregiver's home. Providers are required to go through an application process that includes a criminal background check and issuance of a certificate. Listed family home providers must be at least 18 years old. However, there are no orientation classes or training requirements for listed family homes. Until 9/1/2011, listed family homes currently were not routinely inspected; however, reports alleging more than 3 children are in care or reports alleging abuse or neglect of children in care were investigated by CCL.

Maternity Home - A licensed operation that provides care for four or more minor and/or adult women and their children during pregnancy and/or during the six-week postpartum period. Senate Bill 1178 (82nd Legislature) made changes to the statutes to delete references to and definitions of maternity homes as a facility licensed and regulated

by the Department of Family and Protective Services. This was effective 9/1/2012.

Minimum Standard Rules - The rules from Title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code which serve as the minimum requirements that a permit holder must meet to maintain their permit and which are enforced by DFPS to protect the health, safety, and well-being of children. These include all rules in 40 TAC Chapters 727, 743, 744, 746, 747, 748, 749, and 750.

Monetary Actions - A type of remedial action. These actions are fines or penalties that Licensing may impose as provided by the Human Resources Code, §42.075 and §42.078. There are two types of monetary actions - administrative penalties and civil penalties.

Monitoring Frequency - The interval between routine inspections at a child-care operation. The Licensing division determines how frequently to inspect an operation based on risk assessment factors focused on the health, safety, and well-being of children in care.

Monitoring Inspection - Inspections that include evaluation of at least one entire subchapter of the applicable minimum standards and may include evaluation of additional individual laws, administrative rules, or minimum standard rules, or any conditions or restrictions.

Neglect - Neglect is an act or omission that constitutes a breach of a duty by a person working under the auspices of an operation that causes or may cause substantial emotional harm or substantial physical injury to a child. See the Texas Family Code §261.401, DFPS Rules, 40 TAC, §§745.8553, 745.8555, and 745.8559.

Operation - A person or entity offering a program that may be subject to regulation by Child Care Licensing. An operation includes the building and grounds where the program is offered, any person involved in providing the program, and any equipment used in providing the program. The term "operation" is used to refer to any entity providing child care and is usually accompanied by a qualifier to describe the type of care being referenced (for example, "illegal operation" or "daycare operation").

Other Inspection - Inspections conducted for purposes other than determining compliance with pre-identified minimum standards.

Permit - A license, certificate, registration, listing or any other written authorization granted by Licensing to operate a child-care facility, child-placing agency, general residential operation, listed family home, registered home or maternity home. This also includes a Licensed Administrator's permit.

Probation - A type of corrective action in which Licensing imposes a corrective action plan that is more restrictive and intense than an evaluation corrective action plan. It may include conditions beyond the requirements of the minimum standards and the basic permit requirements. During the probationary period, inspections are conducted more frequently to assist the operation in meeting conditions, improving compliance, and assessing risk to children in care.

Provider - A person or entity associated with an operation. This term generally implies a degree of responsibility for the operation, and refers to applicants, operations that are subject to regulation, or permit holders.

Registered Child-Care Home - Registered Child Care Homes provide care in the caregiver's home for up to 6 children under age 14, and may also take in six additional school-aged children before and/or after the customary school day. The number of children allowed in a home is determined by the ages of the children. No more than 12 children can be in care at any time, including children of the caregiver. The application process requires that a provider complete an orientation class and receive clearances on background checks. A registration certificate is issued after CCL staff completes an on-site inspection to ensure the provider is meeting minimum standards. Registered homes are inspected by CCL at least once every 2 years or if a report is received related to child abuse/neglect or standards violations.

Remedial Actions - An action Licensing may impose if an operation is deficient in a minimum standard, rule, law, a specific term associated with the operation's permit, or a condition of evaluation, probation, or suspension. There are four types of remedial actions: corrective, adverse, judicial, and monetary.

Report - An expression of dissatisfaction or concern about an operation, made known to DFPS staff, that alleges a possible violation of minimum standards, rules, law, or abuse/neglect, and that involves potential risk to a child or children in care.

Reporter - The person who reports to DFPS an expression of dissatisfaction or concern that alleges a possible violation of minimum standards, rules, law, or abuse/neglect, and that involves potential risk to a child or children in care.

Residential Child-Care - (24-Hour Residential Child-Care) The care, custody, supervision, assessment, training, education, or treatment of an unrelated child or children up to the age of 18 years for 24 hours a day, that occurs in a place other than the child's own home. See DFPS Rules, 40 TAC, §745.35.

Residential Treatment Center (RTC) - A general residential operation that exclusively provides care and treatment services for emotional disorders for 13 or more children up to the age of 18 years.

Sampling Inspection - Licensing inspects a percentage of agency foster homes annually to determine compliance with applicable law, rules, and minimum standards, and to ensure that the child-placing agency is appropriately managing the foster home. The homes inspected are selected through a random sampling process, and the inspections are called "sampling" inspections.

School-Age Program - A licensed center that provides supervision along with recreation or skills instruction or training, and may provide transportation before or after the customary school day, for at least two hours a day, three days a week, to attending pre-kindergarten through grade six. A school-age program may also operate during school holidays, the summer period, or any other time when school is not in session.

Self-Report - An account from an operation of a serious incident that occurred at the operation. All regulated operations are required to make reports to Licensing about certain types of incidents that could pose a risk to children in care.

Small Employer Based Child-Care - A child-care facility that is operated by a small employer to provide care to not more than 12 children of the employer's employees and is located on the employer's premises. A "small employer" means a corporation, partnership, sole proprietorship, or other legal entity that employs fewer than 100 full-time employees.

SOAH - The State Office of Administrative Hearings is the state agency that conducts administrative hearings to satisfy a child-care provider's rights to due process regarding either a substantiated finding of abuse/neglect or a remedial action.

Suspension - A temporary closure of an operation that may be voluntary or involuntary. Voluntary suspensions are usually at the request of an operation, and licensing staff must inspect the operation prior to reopening to ensure compliance with standards. Involuntary, or emergency, suspension is imposed by Licensing for a maximum of 30 days based on serious and immediate risk of harm to children.

Team Inspections - Inspections that are conducted by at least two licensing staff. At least one of the unannounced annual inspections of a 24-hour residential child-care facility must be conducted by a team of at least two CCL monitoring staff. Other operation types may also have team inspections. Whenever possible, members of the inspection team are from different CCL units, to facilitate objectivity, and consistency in regulation.

Technical Assistance - Training, information, and consultation that licensing staff offer to a permit holder, applicant, and operation employees to help them comply with the minimum standard rules and applicable law.

Temporary Shelter Care Providing Child Care- A child-care program at a temporary shelter, such as a family violence or homeless shelter, providing care for seven or more children under age 14 while the resident parent is away from the shelter. The child care program operates for at least four hours a day three days a week. Temporary Shelter Care Providing Child Care Programs are issued a Certificate of Compliance.

Texas Family Code References Sec. 261.004. Statistics of Abuse and Neglect of Children

(a) The department shall prepare and disseminate statistics by county relating to the department's activities under this subtitle and include the information specified in Subsection (b) in an annual report available to the public.

(b) T	he d	depar	tment shall report the following information:	2014 Data Book Page				
(1)	the r	number of initial phone calls received by the department alleging abuse and ect;	pg 138-143, Column A				
(2	2)		number of children reported to the department as having been abused and ected;	pg 156-161, Column A				
(;	3)		number of reports received by the department alleging abuse or neglect and need by the department for investigation;	pg 138-143, Column B				
(-	4)	of th	e children to whom Subdivision (2) applies:					
		(A)	the number for whom the report was substantiated;	pg 162-167, Column B				
		(B)	the number for whom the report was unsubstantiated;	pg 156-161, Column B				
		(C)	the number for whom the report was determined to be false;	pg 156-161, Column C				
		(D)	the number who did not receive services from the department under a state or federal program;	pg 156-161, Column E				
		(E)	the number who received services, including preventative services, from the department under a state or federal program; and	pg 156-161, Column D				
		(F)	the number who were removed from the child's home during the preceding year;	pg 156-161, Column F				
(:	5)		number of families in which the child was not removed, but the child or family ived services from the department;	pg 226-231, Column D				
(6)	the number of children who died during the preceding year as a result of child pg 174 Column A abuse or neglect;						
(7	7)		e children to whom Subdivision (6) applies, the number who were in foster at the time of death;	pg 174, Column B				
(8	8)		number of child protective services workers responsible for report intake, ssment, or investigation;	pg 138-143, Column C				
(9	9)		esponse time by the department with respect to conducting an initial stigation of a report of child abuse or neglect;	pg 138-143, Column D-G				
(10)		esponse time by the department with respect to commencing services to lies and children for whom an allegation of abuse or neglect has been made;	pg 138-143, Column D-G				
(11)	prese rece	number of children who were returned to their families or who received family ervation services and who, before the fifth anniversary of the date of return or ipt, were the victims of substantiated reports of child abuse or neglect, ding abuse or neglect resulting in the death of the child;	pg 181-183				
(12)	proc	number of cases pursued by the department in each stage of the judicial ess, including civil and criminal proceedings and the results of each eeding;	pg 214-219				
(14)	571.0 man	number of children who suffer from a mental illness, as defined by Section 1003, Health and Safety Code, and for whom the department is appointed aging conservator because a person voluntarily relinquished custody of the I solely to obtain mental health services for the child.	pg 184-189				