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## Background and Summary

Senate Bill 6, passed during the $79^{\text {th }}$ Texas Legislature, requires the Adult Protective Services (APS) Program to conduct a quarterly review of performance for the APS In-Home program.

In the first two quarters of FY 2012, intakes are at almost the same level they were this time last fiscal year, while completed investigations have increased. Case durations continue to steadily decrease, without negatively affecting the quality of casework. Turnover is slightly higher than FY 2011, with an annualized caseworker turnover rate of 18.8 percent.

## Investigation and Service Delivery Performance - 2nd Quarter FY 2012

The following table provides an overview of the APS In-Home program statewide performance indicators and benchmarks for the second quarter of FY 2012. After two years of high growth, APS intakes are flat in FY 2012. Data for the first two quarters indicate intakes are well below last fiscal year and the target, although this is due in part to seasonality (summer is the highest season). Completed investigations, however, are 1\% above the target ( 44,103 compared to 43,803 ), meaning APS is receiving a higher percentage of intakes that meet the definition of abuse, neglect and exploitation and need to be investigated. Confirmed investigations are $1 \%$ above the target $(29,941$ compared to 28,389$)$ and have remained fairly consistent over the last four quarters.

In FY 2012, case durations continue to decline in both investigation and service delivery, although the average days in service delivery slightly increased in the second quarter over the first quarter. Lower case durations are decreasing the average daily caseload, which decreased from 30.9 cases the first quarter of 2012 to 28.6 cases for the second quarter of 2012.

| Performance Indicators | FY 2011 Actual | FY 2012 Benchmark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 2012 \\ & \text { 1st Qtr } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2012 <br> 2nd Qtr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 2012 \\ & \text { 3rd Qtr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 2012 \\ & \text { 4th Qtr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2012 \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Hold Time Statewide Intake Phone Calls | 7.3 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.5 |  |  | 8.6 |
| Number of APS Reports of adult abuse/neglect/ exploitation | 108,529 | 126,718 | 25,996 | 25,910 |  |  | 51,906 |
| Number of Completed APS Investigations | 87,741 | 87,605 | 22,467 | 21,636 |  |  | 44,103 |
| Number (percent) of Confirmed APS Investigations | $\begin{gathered} 58,068 \\ (66.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56,778 \\ (64.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,199 \\ (67.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,742 \\ (68.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 29,941 \\ (67.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average Days per Investigation Stage | 43.9 | 50 | 42.2 | 41.1 |  |  | 41.6 |
| Average Days per Service Delivery Stage | 42.9 | 60 | 38.8 | 40.4 |  |  | 39.6 |
| Average Daily Caseload per Worker | 31.0 | 35.1 | 30.9 | 28.6 |  |  | 29.8 |

## Employee Performance - 2nd Quarter FY 2012

The following table provides an overview of employee performance indicators and benchmarks. APS met or exceeded performance benchmarks for all of the investigation, risk assessment and delivery of protective services measures. The two quality assurance scales - Investigation and Client Intervention - roll-up scores for nine and seven individual case reading standards respectively and remain well above the benchmarks. Statewide Intake has recently converted to a new tracking system. What was originally a single task, "appropriately obtains and documents detailed information from reporters," has been separated into two tasks, one for obtaining the information, and one for documentation (these are tasks $1 \& 2$ in the table, below). A new performance category - "provided appropriate customer service" - (task 5 in the table below) has been added. All second quarter 2012 performance indicators are at or above their levels for this time last year.

| Performance Indicators | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 2011 \\ \text { Actual } \\ * \end{gathered}$ | FY 2012 <br> Benchmark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 212 \\ & \text { 1st Qtr } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2012 2nd Qtr | FY 2012 3rd Qtr | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 2012 \\ \text { 4th Qtr } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2012 \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appropriately obtains detailed information from reporters <br> (Task 1 - Interviewing) | 94\% | 90-92\% | Data not available | 97.3\% |  |  | 97.3\% |
| Appropriately documents detailed information from reporters <br> (Task 2 - Documenting) | 94\% | 90-92\% | Data not available | 96.3\% |  |  | 96.3\% |
| Assesses and prioritizes reports of abuse/neglect accurately <br> (Task 3 - Assessing) | 97.5\% | 92-94\% | Data not available | 97.6\% |  |  | 97.6\% |
| Accurately distributes reports, information and inquiries within timeframes (Task 4 Processing) | 98.5\% | 92-94\% | Data not available | 99.3\% |  |  | 99.3\% |
| Provided appropriate Customer Service (Task 5 - Customer Service) | Data not establis hed | Data not established | Data not available | 98\% |  |  | 98\% |

*Data are from the first two quarters of FY 2011, prior to implementation of the new SWI tracking system. The new system came online on December 1, 2011; hence data for the first quarter of FY 2012 are unavailable.

| INVESTIGATION <br> Performance <br> Indicators | FY 2011 <br> Actual | FY 2012 <br> Benchmark | FY2012 <br> 1st Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 2nd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 3rd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 4th Qtr | FY 2012 <br> YTD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of cases <br> initiated within 24 hours | $94.6 \%$ | $94-95 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ |  |  | $94.8 \%$ |
| Percentage of cases in <br> which the initial client <br> face-to-face visit <br> occurred within the <br> appropriate timeframe | $91.4 \%$ | $89-91 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Investigation Rating <br> Scale | $90.7 \%$ | $80-86 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ |  |  | $91.9 \%$ |


| RISK ASSESSMENT <br> Performance <br> Indicators | FY 2011 <br> Actual | FY 2012 <br> Benchmark | FY 2012 <br> 1st Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 2nd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 3rd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 4th Qtr | FY 2012 <br> YTD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thoroughness of <br> problem identification | $81.6 \%$ | $80-86 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |  |  | $84.7 \%$ |
| Adequacy of supporting <br> documentation | $92.8 \%$ | $80-86 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ |  |  | $86.1 \%$ |


| DELIVERY OF <br> PROTECTIVE <br> SERVICES <br> Performance <br> Indicators | FY 2011 <br> Actual | FY 2012 <br> Benchmark | FY 2012 <br> 1st Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 2nd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 3rd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 4th Qtr | FY 2012 <br> YTD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Client Intervention Scale | $94.1 \%$ | $80-86 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ | $94.9 \%$ |  |  | $96.3 \%$ |

## Staffing - 2nd Quarter FY 2012

The following table provides information on the total number of FTEs, filled FTEs, and turnover rates. These indicators provide regional and state office management with additional information to explain variations in performance. During the second quarter of FY 2012, the In-Home caseworker turnover rate was 5.2\% and 1.1\% for supervisors. Turnover in FY 2012 is at a higher rate than in FY 2011.

| IN-HOME <br> CASEWORKERS <br> Performance <br> Indicators | FY 2011 <br> Actual | FY 2012 <br> 1st Qtr | FY 2012 <br> $\mathbf{2 n d}^{\text {Qd }}$ Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 3rd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 4th Qtr | FY 2012 <br> YTD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-to-Date <br> Average Filled <br> FTEs | 568.9 | 549.5 | 539.8 |  |  | 544.6 |
| Turnover | $16.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |  |  | $9.4 \%$ |


| IN-HOME <br> SUPERVISORS <br> Performance <br> Indicators | FY 2011 <br> Actual | FY 2012 <br> 1st Qtr | FY 2012 <br> $\mathbf{2 n d}^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 3rd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 4th Qtr | FY 2012 <br> YTD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-to-Date <br> Average Filled <br> FTEs | 84.9 | 86.1 | 86.8 |  |  | 86.4 |
| Turnover | $7.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |  |  | $1.1 \%$ |


| ALL IN-HOME <br> PROGRAMS <br> Performance <br> Indicators | FY 2011 <br> Actual | FY 2012 <br> 1st Qtr | FY 2012 <br> $\mathbf{2 n d}^{\text {Qd }}$ Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 3rd Qtr | FY 2012 <br> 4th Qtr | FY 2012 <br> YTD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total FTEs <br> Appropriated | 890 | 890 | 890 | 890 | 890 | 890 |
| YTD Average <br> FTEs Filled | 844 | 838.2 | 832.8 |  |  | 835.5 |
| Turnover | $14.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |  |  | $7.8 \%$ |

