DFPS Rider 25 Report for Foster Care Redesign August 2016

As required in Sections 25 (a) and (b) of Rider 25 (page II-41) in Article II of The General Appropriations Act, 84th Texas Legislature, the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) shall, on August 1 and February 1 of each year of the biennium, "Report selected performance measures identified by the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) that will allow for comparative analysis between the legacy foster care and redesigned foster care systems". Additionally DFPS shall, "Provide a report that contains the most recent data for the selected comparative performance measures, an analysis of the data that identifies trends and impact occurring in the redesigned foster care system, identification and analysis of factors negatively impacting any outcomes, recommendations to address problems identified from the data, and any other information necessary to determine the status of the redesigned foster care system". To meet these requirements DFPS is using a report format specified by the LBB which is now attached as a spreadsheet. This narrative discusses trends, impact, analyses of the factors that affect the outcomes, and recommendations to address problems that have been identified, if any.

Background

The goal of Foster Care Redesign (FCR) is to improve outcomes for children and families by creating sustainable placement resources in communities that will meet the service needs of children and youth in foster care, using the least restrictive placement settings.

The redesign changes the way DFPS procures, contracts, and pays for foster care services. Under FCR, a single contractor provides a full continuum of services to children and families within a designated geographic area. In Stage I, this Single Source Continuum Contractor (SSCC) is responsible for finding foster homes or other living arrangements for children in state care and providing them services to meet identified needs. In Stage II, the SSCC expands services to include planning, coordination, and delivery of services to the families of children and youth in paid foster care. The SSCC is competitively procured and the contract is performance-based with financial incentives and disincentives for permanency outcomes, and additional performance measures for child safety and well-being. Stage III activates incentive payments. The contract is "no eject, no reject" and the SSCC must take custody of children within four hours of referral.

DFPS fully executed a contract with Providence Services Corporation of Texas (PSC) to serve as the initial Single Source Continuum Contract (SSCC) for DFPS Region 2/9 on February 1, 2013. PSC did not accept its first referral to place a child until August 26, 2013. On August 1, 2014, PSC provided formal notice to the department of its intent to terminate services provided under the SSCC contract in Region 2/9. The department had been working with PSC to address performance concerns and therefore accepted the notice of termination. This report does not contain performance data for PSC for this reason.

DFPS executed the second SSCC contract with ACH Child and Family Services (ACH) on January 1, 2014 for DFPS Region 3b. This SSCC catchment area includes Tarrant, Erath, Hood, Johnson, Palo Pinto, Parker, and Somervell counties in DFPS Region 3. The Region 3b SSCC under ACH Our Community Our Kids (OCOK) accepted its first referral for new and subsequent placements on September 1, 2014. On April 1, 2015, DFPS and the SSCC completed the transition of the legacy children in Region 3b. As of August 2016, OCOK had 1,354 children in the SSCC continuum which represents 97 percent of the children/youth in paid foster care from 3b, and approximately 8 percent of the overall children and young adults in paid foster care in Texas. The OCOK network consists of 147 service providers.

Limitations for the Rider 25 Report

As noted in previous Rider 29 Reports, the SSCC 3b percentages for some of the LBB measures appear significantly different from the Region 3b, Legacy 3b, and statewide percentages. This remains true for the current report. Some differences in performance measure results can be attributed to both the number of clients placed with the 3b SSCC (a small percentage of children from the legacy system that were subsequently placed with the SSCC in the first year), when those clients were placed (some children had longer service experiences with the SSCC than others more quickly exiting care), and the small number of children who remained in the legacy system in 3b. For example, in Section A, the percentage of children "conserved to majority" (children in DFPS' conservatorship who exit to adulthood) for the 3b SSCC is comparatively high in fiscal year 2015 at 33 percent, but the underlying numbers as found in Appendix A are 4 out of a total of 12 children. Legacy 3b measures in fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2016 are based on the few children placed in homes and facilities that did not transfer to the SSCC. This number continues to decline with 35 children in legacy 3b as of August 1, 2016. "

Additionally, there are limitations to data collection and coding. For example, DFPS identifies sibling groups by common case number with no mechanism for producing aggregate data that captures relationships where case numbers are not the same. OCOK identifies sibling groups based on documented relationships. Future interoperability of systems will help improve data collection and reporting. DFPS continues to refine data methodologies to support the best measurement of outcomes and anticipates that measures may be refined in future reports.

Finally, child outcome measurement requires time. Not until an SSCC has served all catchment area children in paid foster care for a sufficient amount of time will the data clearly reflect performance. Chapin Hall data and performance experts define "sufficient" time as a minimum of two years of full implementation with additional time required to collect data on those children and families' experience and outcomes from entry to exit.

Evaluation of Foster Care Redesign

Evaluation of FCR is on-going to support Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) and includes both process and outcome components. DFPS and the Public Provider Partnership (PPP) that serves as the guiding body for FCR defined the key elements to be evaluated. Process and evaluation findings help

determine whether these elements are being implemented with fidelity to the model and are yielding the desired outcomes. The process evaluation plan (found in the Foster Care Redesign Implementation Plan) includes an assessment of the development, implementation, and delivery of catchment area services including the SSCC infrastructure.

Outcome evaluation includes both contract performance and system change. DFPS continues to work with Chapin Hall of the University of Chicago to provide an independent data analysis of each SSCC's performance on paid care days which will become the basis for incentive payments in stage III. DFPS uses evaluation findings to assist in identifying performance, trends, changes, and any problems and issues in the redesign catchment areas.

Process Component

The 84th Legislature supported implementation of FCR into one additional catchment area over the fiscal years 2016-2017. The Legislature approved increases to some of the residential foster care rates which subsequently raised the blended foster care rate in catchment 3b. In addition, funds were appropriated to support fringe and benefits associated with the resource transfer, FCR Network Support Funding at \$1,200 per child FTE, start-up funding for the new catchment area as well as approval to hire a performance and evaluation lead.

In April 2015, in accordance with the recommendations of the Sunset Committee, DFPS published an initial Implementation Plan for Foster Care Redesign (to be updated regularly as needed). As a part of the implementation, DFPS considers the following criteria in determining selection of the next catchment area:

- Minimum of 500 new entries of children per year
- Proximity to existing catchment area
- Local community support
- Regional readiness and stability
- Placements in and out of catchment area
- Challenges with capacity
- Affordability

DFPS has announced DFPS Region 2 (Abilene) as the next catchment area for implementation of FCR. A Request for Proposal was released on August 1, 2016, and with proposals due October 3, 2016. Information obtained through the on-going evaluation of FCR was used to inform further improvements to the procurement and will inform implementation processes in the next catchment area.

Areas of focus for continuous quality improvements include resource transfer, data, and information technology.

Area of Focus	Current Challenges	Identified Solutions
Resource Transfer	 Resources have transferred based on transfer of entire function (i.e. placement staff, foster/adopt, contracts staff) but does not account for staff specific transfer of function SSCC has responsibility for some tasks for which no transfer of resources has occurred, this will especially be true in Stage II of Implementation 	 Short Term: Mapping of roles and responsibilities Focus groups (both in and outside of catchment area) Define methodology for resource transfer based on actual task distribution Long Term: Use results of time study both in and out of catchment areas Cost Reports
Data	 Reconciling numbers and data definitions across multiple systems Disentangling SSCC performance from the performance of the legacy system during the time of transition Point-in-time measures complicate CQI process Lag in Data Entry Accuracy of data entry 	 Development of a data dictionary (defines key terms to the coding level) Continue to refine "admissions" cohort (all children removed after implementation) versus legacy component (children in paid foster care prior to implementation.) Address lag in entry through improved tracking, training and IT system changes Recalibration of some measures-adds some non-Point in time measures to more directly measure SSCC specific activities. Data reconciliation processes
Information Technology Compatibility	 Federal SACWIS rules did not support interoperability of systems without human intervention IMPACT entry requires SSCC manual data entry of information, which results in duplication of effort and time lag Technology and process changes needed to authorize and make timely payments to the SSCC for purchased services provided for families in Stage 2. Involves some changes to service codes to enable more flexibility under a performance-based contract. 	 Federal SACWIS rule changes to support interoperability Bi-monthly meetings to explore best manner to proceed with building interoperable systems Internal work with Budget and IT to identify and implement automated billing solution. SSCC to produce reports on service utilization.

Outcome Component

OCOK began offering SSCC services in the 3b catchment area in September 2014. Some of the key responsibilities of the SSCC include:

- Taking physical custody of children within four hours of referral
- Placing children within Region 3b to the greatest extent possible
- No eject/no reject of all referrals received

Although sufficient outcome data is not expected until an SSCC has been in operation for at least two years, systems-level results may be seen earlier. As described in the FCR logic model, results that would indicate that FCR is working include:

- Appropriate services provided in locations needed
- Creative/innovative service provision and/or expansion in type and number of available services
- Improvement in quality of care
- Increased foster care capacity in catchment area
- No children supervised temporarily by CPS in offices due to lack of placement.

By the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2016 in its second year of operation, OCOK has demonstrated:

System Improvement	Description
Increased placement capacity	 Increased licensed foster family home bed capacity by 33% (almost 550 beds) Improved rural capacity (280% increase in foster family homes in Palo Pinto County)^{iv} Expansion of therapeutic foster care with ongoing efforts to grow up to 100 more therapeutic homes and open a residential treatment facility No children supervised in CPS settings overnight
Implemented the Every Child A Priority (ECAP) placement matching system	OCOK uses decision-support software to ensure a child's first placement is the best placement. ECAP ranks potential placements for a child according to a research-based algorithm that is designed to support placing children closer to home and with their siblings.
Activated disruption mitigation system to help proactively preserve placements	Best practice Disruption Mitigation Guidelines were developed by the OCOK Network Clinical Committee in consultation with a number of providers who have systems to reduce the number of disruptions occurring in their families. OCOK providers are required to have a formal system in their policies that contain the elements of these guidelines and are required to demonstrate that they are implementing practices consistent with their policies.
Implemented the Quality Parenting Initiative	The Quality Parenting Initiative (QPI) is one of the OCOK approaches to strengthening the quality of care provided to children and youth living in foster care. The goal of QPI is to develop a systematic approach to recruiting and retraining high-quality caregivers in our

System Improvement	Description
	community. This initiative has proven to be effective in improving the quality of care for children in foster care by redefining the expectations and roles of caregivers and child welfare system in excellent parenting.
Implemented network information exchange	The Provider Information Exchange (PIX), developed by Five Points, Inc. combines different data sources in one seamless process that uniquely identify resources and children in care, integrated with state systems.
Implemented the Child and Adolescent Needs Survey (CANS)	OCOK uses the CANS assessment to gather standardized clinical information on children to inform service plans, support placement decisions, and is beginning to track clinical progress. The CANS is administered for every child over age 5 entering care and every 90 days for children in treatment settings. The test is administered by a trained person who knows the child and is the responsibility of the placing agency to administer. Initial analysis performed by OCOK of a sample of 164 children showed initial high levels of trauma followed by clinical success for more children than deterioration in CANS score.
Completed comprehensive safety audits in100% of the OCOK network child placing agencies	Praesidium, Inc., the largest child abuse risk management company in the United States, offers an online training tool to help providers implement research-proven abuse prevention strategies. Child-placing agencies in the ACH Child and Family Services/Our Community Our Kids (OCOK) network took thorough safety reviews required annually to assess how their policies and practices stacked up against nationally recognized standards and received consultation to improve their practices and scores. In 2016, OCOK providers are completing Peer to Peer Abuse Risk Mitigation training developed by Praesidium.

Resulting positive outcomes for fiscal year 2015 and third quarter 2016 include:

Outcome	Description
Child safety	99.97% of placements in fiscal year 2015 and 99.97% for quarter
	three fiscal year 2016 provided safety for children ^{vi}
Increased placement in least	80.8% of children entering paid care under the SSCC (new admissions)
restrictive foster home settings	and 75.9% of all children placed with SSCC ^{vii} compared to 74.4% for
	children statewide.viii As DFPS seeks to make a shift from congregate
	care to foster home settings across the state this is a significant
	achievement. OCOK's focus on stepping children and youth down
	form residential treatment stays has resulted in 54 children
	successfully transitioned with a 70% success rate of staying in the
	community. ^{ix}
Improved placement proximity	Placement within 50 miles of home of removal (82.7% for new
	admissions in fiscal year 2015 and 70.2% for all children served by the
	SSCC including those already in a paid care prior to the start date
	compared to 61.5% statewide) * This measure will next be reported
	for FY 2016.

Outcome	Description
Improved placement stability	Two or fewer placement moves while in paid foster care Data
	reported by Chapin Hall shows 87.2% for new admissions at 21
	months for the 2 year measure and 92.3% for children already in care
	and transferred to the SSCC compared to 89.3% and 90.8% 3b
	baselines respectively.xi (This measure was anticipated to decrease as
	the number of moves increased with time.)

Note: DFPS and Chapin Hall are tracking data that isolates new admissions to the SSCC from children who transferred to the SSCC from the legacy system. The SSCC has been solely responsible for decisions that impact outcomes for the new admissions.

OCOK continues to work to recruit more homes for sibling groups as this performance measure lags statewide performance. When isolating new admissions to the SSCC since September 1, 2014, the measure is more aligned with statewide performance at 64 percent. As with the rest of the state, OCOK was impacted by the prohibition of foster group homes resulting in a net decrease of 15 homes and 65 percent decrease in group home bed capacity since September 2014. At the same time, OCOK saw an increase in the number of sibling groups in its two years of operation (16 percent since fiscal year 2014) compared to a declining number of sibling group statewide (-8 percent) according to the data in this report. With a focus on placing more children closer to home, the SSCC is intentionally limited from accessing supply available in the legacy system that may accommodate large sibling groups. The performance measure for monthly personal contact supports contact between sibling groups who may be separated. OCOK tracks performance for each sibling group size and is seeing more placements available to larger sibling groups despite the measure remaining steady overall.

DFPS is also monitoring the impact of FCR on CPS staff in the catchment area. In October 2015, CPS conducted focus groups with Investigative (INV), Family Based Safety Services (FBSS), and Conservatorship (CVS) caseworkers and supervisors in Region 3 to assess time spent on tasks assumed by the SSCC resulting in changes to workload. INV and FBSS workers estimated time savings on removal and placement tasks such as matching placements and documentation. For CVS, significant areas of time savings were placement activity (including care of children without placement), service planning, providing information for court reports, providing transitional living services, and transportation.

A follow up focus group with 3b conservatorship staff in March of 2016 confirmed staff were realizing this time savings. Focus group participants expressed the following benefits:

- More timely documentation
- Better quality narratives
- Returning calls timely
- Spending more quality time with children above and beyond required monthly visits
- Better quality relationships with parents and children
- More time to follow-up on the extras such as access to extracurricular activities for youth

Based on the current success of Stage I, CVS caseworkers project that Stage II activities will yield even more time savings for workers in areas such as transportation, supervision of parent child visits, and family service planning and authorization.

DFPS reviewed earned overtime and staff turnover data to test focus group findings. While earned overtime by staff in 3b overall continued to increase since fiscal year 2014, particularly in investigations, overtime of CVS caseworkers decreased slightly in fiscal year 2015 before returning to similar levels in 2016. XIII CVS staff turnover data supports a positive impact with a nearly 70 percent decrease between fiscal years 2014 and 2015 and turnover continuing to decrease in fiscal year 2016 as of April. XIV An additional factor is the creation of a CVS unit in Region 3b in fiscal year 2016 that helped to decrease overall caseload. DFPS will continue to monitor trends.

ⁱ DFPS Legacy Children 2-9-3b Weekly Data Report, August 1, 2016

ii DFPS IMPACT Data Warehouse extract, prepared by DFPS Foster Care Redesign Contract Management, August 31, 2016

iii DFPS Legacy Children 2-9-3b Weekly Data Report, August 1, 2016

iv DFPS CLASS Data Warehouse and IMPACT Data Warehouse, Report Number: sscc_02slx.asp

^v Progress Report: Foster Care Redesign In Texas Region 3B, July 2016

vi DFPS Management Reporting and Statistics Rider 25 Report

vii DFPS Management Reporting and Statistics

viii IMPACT Data Warehouse, Management Reporting and Statistics Data Log 79480 as of 7/7/16

ix Progress Report: Foster Care Redesign In Texas Region 3B, July 2016

x Fiscal Year 2015 Foster Care Redesign Data Report prepared by Kempe Center, 2015.

xi Region 3b Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Texas Foster Care Redesign Report, One Year Report prepared by Chapin Hall at the University of Chicago, 2016.

xii IMPACT Data Warehouse, Management Reporting and Statistics Data Log 79842 as of 7/7/16

xiii Data prepared by Health and Human Services Fiscal Payroll and Leave

xiv DFPS CPS IMPACT Dataset, prepared by CPS Division of Field, May 2016