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Executive Summary

With over seven million children in Texas, the safety net that exists to protect children and help them reach their greatest potential begins at home and includes family, neighbors, schools and communities. It is through partnerships within the community that the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) can address child maltreatment before it starts as well as to protect children from future harm by providing a complete continuum of prevention and intervention programs. These partnerships with families, communities, service providers, law enforcement, and the medical community allow DFPS to utilize a public health framework to address fatal and near fatal child maltreatment.

Specifically, through analyzing and addressing trends in child abuse and neglect fatalities, DFPS continually improves policy and practices for investigations, interventions, and services provided to children, youth, and families to address child safety. This work also contributes to partnerships between DFPS and the community to proactively address child safety and well-being through prevention efforts before families are in crisis.

Many are familiar with safety campaigns that are embedded in a public health framework, especially in Texas: Click it or Ticket, Turn Around…Don’t Drown, Move Over or Slow Down. These messages have become part of the norms in our society to help keep us safe; whether it is wearing your seatbelt, avoiding high water crossings, or giving space on the road to first responders. Similarly, child safety messages continue to play a pivotal role in reducing child fatalities and near fatalities. To address fatal and near-fatal child maltreatment, it is key that families are supported in their parenting experience through universal messages and services on topics such as: ensuring support for new parents; understanding expected child development; selecting a caregiver; education around the ABCS of Safe Sleep, water safety, and vehicle safety; and community supports for major risk factors such as substance abuse, domestic violence, and mental health.

We have seen communities take on these issues directly--from water safety outreach, to working to ensure all birthing hospitals in a community are safe sleep certified, and even partnering with parent education resources to connect parents with the support they need. More than half of all child maltreatment fatalities in FY2019 had no prior involvement with DFPS; this highlights the importance of community in child protection and well-being. For children to remain safe, and to thrive, it takes community collaboration so that support networks, resources, and normalizing a parent’s ability to seek help can be built and families engaged, before tragedy strikes.

Child maltreatment fatalities are generally thought of as either physical abuse or unavoidable accidents. But in nearly every child maltreatment fatality, someone or some system could have intervened and prevented the child’s death. By utilizing a proactive, public health approach, DFPS continues to work with communities to improve child safety by increasing the awareness of the community, service providers, and local leaders about the scope and problems associated with child maltreatment. These efforts include consistent messaging about water safety, safe
sleep practices, and caregiver selection. DFPS policies surrounding discussing safe sleep practices, supporting family preservation efforts, and connecting families to services have been strengthened to support building a stronger safety net for families that come to the attention of the agency. Additionally, through Prevention and Early Intervention, DFPS uses prevention strategies to address the needs of families that are high risk for child maltreatment through a continuum of services such as home visiting, parent education, youth development, mentoring and education, and support services.

The DFPS Office of Child Safety produces this annual report in accordance with Texas Family Code §261.204 to support internal and external work to address risk factors associated with child maltreatment, as well as to support ongoing work to increase resiliency within the community and reach positive outcomes for Texas children. Tasked with systematically investigating and addressing child maltreatment fatalities, DFPS is extremely aware of the risk factors that lead to child fatalities--young, vulnerable children often left with caregivers or in dangerous situations. The co-occurrence of substance abuse, domestic violence, and mental health concerns with child maltreatment is prevalent and requires intensive coordination and collaboration between DFPS, other state agencies, and community providers so that families can be helped.

Together with efforts by other state agencies to address child fatalities and child maltreatment, this report can inform the development of prevention and early intervention programs and intervention strategies if abuse and neglect is suspected as well as to support child safety in regulated child care settings.

Based on administrative data and individual case reviews for confirmed child abuse and neglect related fatalities during FY2019, the following trends and areas for review have been identified:

**General Findings**
- Texas had 235 confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities in FY2019 (Table 1).
- In the vast majority of these cases – 214 – there was no CPS investigation or ongoing services stage open at that time, so there was no regular monitoring of the family occurring that could have protected the child (Figure 24).
- This year, 48 children drowned—an increase of 33 percent from the previous year and the highest number in the last ten years (Figure 3).
- Most of this year’s increase was due to neglectful supervision: a significant increase in drownings, vehicle related deaths, and ongoing concerns of unsafe sleep practices combined with substance abuse (Figure 3).
- There continues to be a high number of physical abuse fatalities after an all-time low in FY2017--but in the vast majority of those cases, abuse in the family was never reported to DFPS, or the agency had not been involved with the family for two years, before the child fatally injured was born (Figure 4).
• The number of child fatalities investigated by DFPS decreased from 785 in FY2018 to 772 in FY2019, continuing the overall downward trend since an all-time high in FY2010 (Figure 2).
• Confirmed neglect-related fatalities account for almost 56 percent of child maltreatment fatalities (Figure 4).
  o The most common causes of fatalities involving neglect were drowning, unsafe sleep, and physical/medical neglect (Figure 7, 8).
  ▪ Vehicle-related deaths increased in FY2019 after a ten-year low in FY2018. Examples of vehicle-related deaths include: a child left in a hot car; a child unsupervised and struck by a vehicle; and a child riding in a car where the parent or caregiver driving was intoxicated or under the influence (Figure 7).
• In FY2019, Texas had 100 confirmed abuse and neglect-related near fatalities (Figure 38).

Victims
• Based on the confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities over the past ten fiscal years, children 3 years of age and younger were almost 80 percent of all confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities; however, in FY2019, there was an increase in child fatalities involving children over the age of 3. Male children made up more than half of all confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities (Figure 9, 10).
• During FY2019, Hispanic children accounted for the largest percentage of children who died from abuse or neglect. The per capita rate for African-American children who die from maltreatment continues to be higher than any other ethnicity in Texas. That is also true across the United States (Table 3).
• 60 percent of children who died from abuse or neglect in FY 2019 were too young for school and not enrolled in day care. Two children were being cared for by illegal day care operations that were unknown to DFPS (Page 24).

Perpetrators
• Physical abuse in fatalities most commonly involved blunt force trauma or intentional trauma inflicted by a father or boyfriend--combined represent 62 percent (Figures 13-15).
• In all confirmed cases of abuse and neglect, parents continue to be the most common perpetrators (Figure 13).
• In 54.9 percent of the confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities, the child or the perpetrator had no prior history with CPS (Figure 22, 23).
• When the perpetrator or the child was previously known to DFPS in the two years prior to fatality, the child fatality is often related to physical abuse or one of three major neglectful supervision issues: drowning, unsafe sleep, or general neglect. (Table 8, 9).
Definitions: Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities and Near Fatalities
Investigation Dispositions

**Child Fatality Investigations**
The Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) is required under the Texas Family Code to investigate child fatalities where allegations of abuse or neglect are present. Investigations are carried out to determine if abuse and/or neglect occurred and, if applicable, whether the fatality was caused by abuse or neglect.\(^1\)

DFPS investigates child abuse or neglect fatalities based on where the child was living at the time of death. Adult Protective Services (APS) investigates deaths of children in APS-regulated placements. DFPS Investigations investigate deaths of children when there is an allegation of abuse or neglect either at the time of the death or if the death is suspected to be caused by abuse or neglect. This includes investigations in a variety of settings: day care settings (Child Care Licensing settings); deaths of children in regulated care placements (Residential Child Care Licensing settings), including children in DFPS conservatorship in foster care placements; and/or deaths of children living with their families or who are in DFPS conservatorship and in non-foster care kinship placements (Child Protective Services placements). If a child dies while in DFPS conservatorship, either from natural causes, or injuries sustained before coming into foster care or when potentially a foster parent is involved at the time of death, an investigation will be completed. If the investigation determines that the death is related to abuse or neglect, it is counted as a confirmed child abuse or neglect fatality.

In abuse and neglect investigations, investigators are required by law to establish a preponderance of evidence in order to confirm an allegation of abuse and neglect. "Preponderance of evidence" is a standard of proof in which the facts more likely than not occurred. Sometimes this is referred to as the "51 percent" standard, a more stringent standard than reasonable doubt but less stringent than clear and convincing evidence.

The data used in this report were compiled from the IMPACT case reporting system as well as from individual case reviews completed on confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities.

**Investigation Dispositions for Child Fatalities**
Texas Family Code Section 261.203 states that "if, after a child abuse or neglect investigation is completed, the department determines a child's death was caused by abuse or neglect, the department shall promptly release" specific information. In order to track and report on these fatalities, DFPS utilizes case dispositions from every investigation.

**Reason to Believe (RTB) -** Based on a preponderance of evidence, staff concludes that abuse or neglect has occurred. For fatalities that have a disposition of RTB, a severity code as outlined below must be determined.
RTB-Fatal - Staff determine that there is enough evidence to support a finding that abuse or neglect caused or contributed to the death (e.g., when a parent physically assaults a child and the child dies from the injuries).

RTB - without the severity code of fatal - Staff determine there is sufficient evidence to support a finding of abuse or neglect, but not enough evidence that it caused or contributed to the death (e.g., child was malnourished but died in a car accident).

Ruled Out (RO) - Staff determine, based on available information that it is reasonable to conclude that the abuse or neglect has not occurred. "Available information," in the context of the "ruled out" disposition, is evidence that the worker gathered through the required and supplemental actions taken to conduct a thorough or an abbreviated investigation.

Unable to Complete (UTC) - Before staff could draw a conclusion, the persons involved in the allegation moved and could not be located, or the family refused to cooperate with the investigation. (CPI investigations only)

Unable to Determine (UTD) - Staff conclude there is not a preponderance of evidence that abuse or neglect occurred, but it is not reasonable to conclude that abuse or neglect has not occurred. The family did not move and become unable to locate before the worker could draw a conclusion about the allegation. (CPI Investigations only)

Preliminary Investigations/Administrative Closure (ADMIN) - Information received after a case was assigned for investigation reveals that continued intervention is unwarranted such as when there is no allegation of abuse or neglect or the fatality is not within DFPS jurisdiction.

Near Fatality Investigations
As set out in Texas Family Code, DFPS is required to investigate child abuse and neglect allegations. In some instances, the level of abuse or neglect caused the child to be in serious or critical condition. By Texas Family Code §264.5031, a near fatality is defined as a situation where a physician has certified that a child is in critical or serious condition, and a CPI investigator determines that the child’s condition was caused by the abuse or neglect of the child or that abuse or neglect contributed to the child’s condition.

As there is no universal definition of “serious” or “critical” condition, DFPS worked with child abuse pediatricians from around the state to help provide common, clarifying guidance for both staff and medical professionals to utilize.

A near fatality consists of an act of abuse or neglect to a child who, without imminent medical intervention, would likely have died as a result of the maltreatment. “Imminent medical intervention” must be performed by a licensed medical professional and requires some form of:

- Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) such as chest compressions, rescue breathing, removal of airway obstruction and/or intubation;
• Medical interventions or surgery to preserve brain function or to prevent impending circulatory collapse or respiratory failure.
In most circumstances, the child will have been admitted to an intensive care unit, including neonatal intensive care units, pediatric intensive care units, and trauma units.

Investigation Dispositions for Near Fatalities
If the investigator determines, after consulting with a licensed medical professional and/or child abuse pediatrician that the child was in serious or critical condition, and determines that abuse or neglect contributed to or was the cause of the medical condition, then the investigator would assign the following disposition:

**Reason to Believe (RTB) with a severity code of Near Fatal** – Based on a preponderance of evidence, staff concludes that abuse or neglect has occurred. For all child abuse and neglect investigations that have a disposition of RTB, a severity code of Near Fatal must be applied if staff determine that there is enough evidence to support a finding that abuse or neglect caused the child to need medical intervention and they were in serious or critical condition according to a licensed medical professional.

Should the child subsequently die due to the injuries that were determined to be near fatal, the child maltreatment would be included in the total number of child maltreatment fatalities and not as a near fatality.
Findings: Investigating Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities

Table 1 provides data regarding overall trends in child abuse and neglect in Texas. While the child population of Texas has continued to increase, the number of intakes assigned for investigation in general saw a decline from FY2010 through FY2013. In FY2014, the number of intakes assigned for investigation began to rise, with FY2018 being the highest in the past ten years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Child Population and Reports of Child Abuse and Neglect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Intakes Assigned for Investigation or Alternative Response by CPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Investigated Child Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fatalities where abuse/neglect was confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Fatality Rate per 100,000 Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Rate for Equivalent Federal Fiscal Year²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


** Child Maltreatment 2019 is scheduled to be released in January/February 2021.

Regarding child fatality investigations, the number of child fatalities reported to DFPS and investigated declined between FY2010 and FY2019 by more than 24 percent. The percent of confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities have varied between 21 percent and 30.4 percent in the past five years, with FY2019 at 30.4 percent of all investigated fatalities being related to maltreatment. The distribution of case dispositions for child fatality investigations conducted in FY2010 through FY2019 are presented in Table 2 - Percentage of Child Fatality Investigations by Disposition.
# Table 2. Percentage of Child Fatality Investigations by Disposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number of Investigated Child Fatalities</th>
<th>Reason to Believe and Fatality Confirmed for Abuse or Neglect* (RTB-Fatal)</th>
<th>Reason to Believe but Fatality not from Abuse or Neglect (RTB but not Fatal)</th>
<th>Ruled Out (RO)</th>
<th>Unable to Determine (UTD)</th>
<th>Unable to Complete (UTC)</th>
<th>Administrative Closure (Admin)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>22.17%</td>
<td>11.72%</td>
<td>35.55%</td>
<td>17.97%</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
<td>6.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>23.74%</td>
<td>14.59%</td>
<td>32.17%</td>
<td>16.24%</td>
<td>0.92%</td>
<td>7.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2012</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>24.04%</td>
<td>13.83%</td>
<td>35.83%</td>
<td>11.79%</td>
<td>1.02%</td>
<td>7.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2013</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>19.40%</td>
<td>18.78%</td>
<td>34.58%</td>
<td>12.19%</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
<td>10.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2014</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>18.94%</td>
<td>17.31%</td>
<td>37.51%</td>
<td>13.92%</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
<td>11.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2015</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>23.27%</td>
<td>15.01%</td>
<td>39.44%</td>
<td>12.48%</td>
<td>0.66%</td>
<td>9.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2016</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>28.94%</td>
<td>18.25%</td>
<td>31.55%</td>
<td>11.21%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>8.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2017</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>21.31%</td>
<td>17.65%</td>
<td>39.66%</td>
<td>11.97%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>9.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2018</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>25.18%</td>
<td>14.56%</td>
<td>41.89%</td>
<td>11.69%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>5.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2019</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>30.44%</td>
<td>16.58%</td>
<td>33.82%</td>
<td>11.92%</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
<td>7.54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Count by child. All other dispositions are count by investigation. Count by investigation includes duplicated children and may include confirmation of abuse and neglect of a child that is not the deceased child. Additionally, a child may die in a home where there are multiple families being investigated due to the child fatality.

Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report FT_01, FT_02, FT_06
Since FY2010, there has been a decrease in cases closed with an unable to determine disposition. The decrease indicates more thorough investigations with the collaboration of partners such as medical examiners, law enforcement, and DFPS Special Investigators (Figure 1). Additional training has been provided to Child Protective Investigations (CPI) staff on various topics to support more thorough investigations: contacting reporters, utilizing collateral contacts, family engagement, building a support network, and assessing safety throughout the investigation.

Several factors help support case dispositions:

- Increased understanding by the general public and first responders on what child fatalities should be reported to DFPS for investigation;
- Ongoing training within CPI to provide additional education on best practices for investigating child fatalities and properly dispositioning cases;
- Utilization of Special Investigators to investigate child fatalities and locate families if the primary investigator is unable to locate the family or surviving siblings;

* Count by Child, all other categories are count by investigation.
Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report FT_01, FT_02, FT_06
- Increased use of medical professionals, such as the Forensic Assessment Center Network and child abuse pediatricians, to determine the nature and extent of the maltreatment; and

**Figure 2. DFPS Investigated Child Fatalities and Confirmed Child Abuse/Neglect Fatalities**

![Graph showing child fatalities over time](image)

*Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report FT_06*

In FY2019, DFPS investigated 772 possible child abuse and neglect-related fatalities. That number peaked in FY2008 and FY2010 at 1,024, with an overall decrease continuing through FY2019, which is the lowest number of reported/investigated fatalities in the past 4 years. (Figure 2).

**Ensuring Consistency in Dispositions**

Part of the overall trends in child abuse and neglect fatalities is related to more consistent disposition of fatalities. In FY2012, guidelines were provided to CPI and CPS staff to help ensure consistent dispositions on child fatalities involving co-sleeping, drownings, firearm accidents, suicides and children left in cars. DFPS also continues to train staff and management to strengthen information gathering, engage the family and support systems, and utilize information from professionals who have contact with the family. This has helped to determine and support consistent dispositions.

The overall number of child fatality investigations may also reflect random fluctuation. The number of child abuse and neglect fatalities spiked in FY2009 despite a slight decline in the
number of reported deaths. After an exhaustive review of the fatalities through an independent analysis conducted by the Texas Health and Human Services Commission, the spike was attributed to a random increase in Harris County. No single factor was responsible for this increase. The following year, child abuse and neglect fatalities returned to previous lower levels, including Harris County (Figure 2). This same trend is true at the national reporting level with a spike in confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities in Federal Fiscal Year 2009 and a return to lower levels in the following year.3

**FY2019 Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect-Related Fatalities**

During the 81st Legislative Session, the Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill 1050 codifying Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) reporting requirements and directing DFPS to provide specific information regarding all child fatalities alleged to be from abuse or neglect as well as detailed information if the DFPS "determines a child’s death was caused by abuse or neglect."4 During the 84th Texas Legislature, Senate Bill 949 was passed to support additional reporting elements for child fatality investigations. In the 85th Texas Legislature, House Bill 1549 included collecting additional details on near fatalities and child fatalities, including past utilization of Family Based Safety Services and the relationship between number of caseworker and caseloads in past history. The following data are collected from IMPACT and individual case reads where the child’s death was caused by abuse or neglect which is distinguished with the disposition of reason to believe - fatal.

**General Findings**

- Texas had 235 confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities in FY2019, an increase of 11 percent compared to FY2018 (Table 1).
- In the vast majority of these cases – 214 – there was no CPS investigation or ongoing services stage open at that time, so there was no regular monitoring of the family occurring that could have protected the child (Figure 24).
- This year, 48 children drowned—an increase of 33 percent from the previous year and the highest number in the last ten years (Figure 3).
- Most of this year’s increase was due to neglectful supervision: a significant increase in drownings, vehicle-related deaths, and concerns of unsafe sleep practices combined with substance abuse (Figure 3).
- There continues to be a high number of physical abuse fatalities after an all-time low in FY2017—but in the vast majority of those cases, abuse in the family was never reported to DFPS, or the agency had not been involved with the family for two years, before the child fatally injured was born (Figure 4).
• The number of child fatalities investigated by DFPS decreased from 785 in FY2018 to 772 in FY2019, continuing the overall downward trend since an all-time high in FY2010 (Figure 2).
• Confirmed neglect-related fatalities account for almost 56 percent of child maltreatment fatalities (Figure 4).
  o The most common causes of fatalities involving neglect were drowning, unsafe sleep, and physical/medical neglect (Figure 7, 8).
  o Vehicle-related deaths increased in FY2019 after a ten-year low in FY2018. Examples of vehicle-related deaths include: a child left in a hot car; a child unsupervised and struck by a vehicle; and a child riding in a car where the parent or caregiver driving was intoxicated or under the influence (Figure 7).

General Cause/Manner of Child Abuse or Neglect Fatality
Medical examiners and community-based child fatality review teams differentiate intentional/homicides and unintentional/accidental fatalities. In the data discussed below, child fatalities are divided into these categories to allow for common understanding and provide more information to target child abuse and neglect prevention efforts.

In this section, intentional deaths are defined as those where the perpetrator intended to cause harm or death to the child and are most often to be a confirmed allegation of physical abuse. Unintentional deaths are those in which the level of inattention and/or impairment by the child’s caregiver was enough to be considered neglect.
Figure 3. General Cause of Confirmed Child Abuse or Neglect Fatality by Fiscal Year

*Other category includes medical neglect, physical neglect, suicide, premature birth due to drug use, abandonment at birth.

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Figure 4. Comparison of Intentional Physical Abuse and Neglect Fatalities by Fiscal Year

Source: DFPS individual case reviews

Figure 5. Physical Abuse Related Fatality: Blunt Force Trauma to Child

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Figure 6. Intentional Physical Abuse to Child by Cause

Source: DFPS individual case reviews

Figure 7. Neglect-Related Child Fatality by Cause

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Victim Demographic Characteristics - Age, Gender, Ethnicity

Victims

- Based on the confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities over the past ten fiscal years, children 3 years of age and younger were almost 80 percent of all confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities; however, in FY2019, there was an increase in child fatalities involving children over the age of 3. Male children made up more than half of all confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities. Male children made up more than half of all confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities (Figure 9, 10).

- During FY2019, Hispanic children accounted for the largest percentage of children who died from abuse or neglect. The per capita rate for African-American children who die from maltreatment continues to be higher than any other ethnicity in Texas. That is also true across the United States (Table 3).

- 60 percent of children who died from abuse or neglect in FY 2019 were too young for school and not enrolled in day care. Two children were being cared for by illegal day care care.

* Neglectful Supervision - Other includes choking, suffocation, suicide, dog attack, and unable to determine.

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
When reviewing the ethnicity of the victim, it is important to view fatalities in context of the child per capita rate for Texas. In FY2019, children of Hispanic heritage represented the largest number of child abuse and neglect fatalities. As in previous years, the child per capita rate of fatal abuse/neglect for African-American children is disproportionally higher as compared to the overall Texas child population (Table 3). DFPS is actively working with state agencies,
universities, private groups, communities, and stakeholders to address health and health access disparities among racial, multicultural, ethnic, and regional populations. Part of this work includes cross-program work between DFPS and the Texas Department of State Health Services to address child fatalities from a public health approach.

**Table 3. FY2019 Per Capita Rate (per 100,000 Children) by Ethnicity - Confirmed Child Abuse Neglect Fatalities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity Represented</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Anglo</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other / Non-Hispanic</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child Population</td>
<td>878,932</td>
<td>2,312,639</td>
<td>3,671,463</td>
<td>574,480</td>
<td>7,437,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Fatalities</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Capita Rate of Fatality</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Texas State Data Center; DFPS Data Book FY2019; DFPS Data Warehouse Report FT_06

**Risk Factors and Protective Factors Involved in Confirmed Child Abuse or Neglect Fatalities**

The United States Center for Disease Control and Prevention defines risk factors for child maltreatment as characteristics associated with child maltreatment.\(^5\) These factors may or may not be direct causes but are often found in situations where children have been the alleged victim or confirmed victim of child maltreatment. The data contained in this report supports those same findings for risk factors—children who are three or under, history of child maltreatment, substance abuse, mental health concerns, and/or domestic violence in the home. Children with special needs or medical concerns also may be more at risk.

Although risk factors may remain consistent or fluctuate in a given family, protective factors also can affect child safety. Protective factors, such as parent support systems and parenting skills, help safeguard a family from risk factors associated with child maltreatment.

*Special Needs & Medical Concerns as Risk Factor*

In FY2019, 29 percent of child maltreatment fatalities involved a child with special medical needs or medical concerns. Six children who died from abuse or neglect had drug or alcohol exposure while in utero or an identified addiction at birth; the majority of these fatalities were due to neglectful supervision. 12 of the children who died due to abuse or neglect were diagnosed with a developmental delay or disability and one was dependent on a feeding tube.
Table 4. Confirmed Child Abuse Neglect Fatalities where Child had Special Medical Needs*

*child may have more than one special medical need and appear more than once

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified Special Need</th>
<th>FY2019 Number of Confirmed Abuse or Neglect Fatalities and Cause of Fatality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None/Unknown</td>
<td>167 Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>4 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Medical Neglect (1) &lt;br&gt; • Physical Abuse (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD/ADHD</td>
<td>7 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Physical Abuse (1) &lt;br&gt; • Accidental overdose (1) &lt;br&gt; • House fire (1) &lt;br&gt; • Neglectful Supervision - drowning (2) &lt;br&gt; • Suicide (1) &lt;br&gt; • Vehicle related (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety/Depression</td>
<td>6 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Suicide (5) &lt;br&gt; • Vehicle related (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>5 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Neglectful Supervision - drowning (4) &lt;br&gt; • Physical Abuse (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar Disorder</td>
<td>1 Fatality &lt;br&gt; • Suicide (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disability/Delay</td>
<td>12 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Physical Abuse (4) &lt;br&gt; • Neglectful Supervision – drowning (4) &lt;br&gt; • Suicide (1) &lt;br&gt; • Vehicle Accident (1) &lt;br&gt; • Medical Neglect (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug or alcohol in utero exposure or addiction at birth</td>
<td>6 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Neglectful Supervision – co-sleeping/unsafe sleep (4) &lt;br&gt; • House fire (1) &lt;br&gt; • Birth – premature drug use (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating Disorder</td>
<td>1 Fatality &lt;br&gt; • Suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically Complex</td>
<td>6 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Physical Abuse (3) &lt;br&gt; • Medical Neglect (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood Disorder</td>
<td>2 Fatalities &lt;br&gt; • Neglectful Supervision – drowning (1) &lt;br&gt; • Suicide (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified Special Need</td>
<td>FY2019 Number of Confirmed Abuse or Neglect Fatalities and Cause of Fatality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oppositional Defiance Disorder</td>
<td>5 Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accidental overdose (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical Abuse (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neglectful Supervision – drowning (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Suicide (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• House fire (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically Disabled</td>
<td>1 Fatality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical Abuse (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder</td>
<td>2 Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accidental overdose (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neglectful Supervision – drowning (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>4 Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accidental overdose (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Suicide (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other—Failure to Thrive, Downs Syndrome, Traumatic Brain Injury, Chronic Lung Disease, Cerebral Palsy</td>
<td>8 Fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Neglectful Supervision - drowning (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical Abuse (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unable to determine (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unsafe sleep (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Medical Neglect (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Substance Use and Substance Abuse Disorder by Caregiver as Risk Factor*

During the review of confirmed child fatalities due to abuse and neglect, cases were reviewed for a documented history of substance use (including inappropriate use of prescribed medications) and for active concerns for substance use at the time of the child fatality.

For FY2019, 112 of the 235 child fatalities caused by abuse or neglect involved a parent or caregiver actively using a substance and/or under the influence of at least one substance that affected the ability to care for the child. In the tables and chart below, the substance abuse is described by type and if it was reported. While opioid use was identified in six child fatalities, marijuana was the substance most identified as an active substance in child abuse and neglect-related fatalities and was identified as prior use in over half of the cases. In 22 child fatalities, methamphetamines and amphetamines were being actively used by the caregiver.
**Figure 11. Confirmed Child Abuse or Neglect Fatality by Substance Abuse by Perpetrator**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-Occurring Substances</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Past History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and Marijuana</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana and Cocaine</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine and Alcohol</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines and Marijuana</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamines and Marijuana</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than two substances</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other includes lighter fluid, Kratom, ecstasy, morphine and Benadryl.

**Table 5. Confirmed Child Abuse or Neglect Fatality by Co-Occurring Substance Abuse by Perpetrator**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Health Concerns as Risk Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During the review of confirmed child fatalities due to abuse and neglect, cases were reviewed for a documented history of mental health concerns and if there were concerns for mental health at the time of the child fatality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FY2019, 32.34 percent of child fatalities involved a parent/caregiver who reported active mental health concerns compared to FY2016 where 9.5 percent of child fatalities involved a parent/caregiver who reported active mental health concerns.
Table 6. Mental Health Concerns both Active and in Past History for Perpetrator of Confirmed Child Abuse Neglect Fatalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Health Concern</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Past History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Parents/Caregivers with Mental Health Concern*</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bipolar Disorder</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Depression</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Anxiety</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Postpartum Depression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Psychosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Schizophrenia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Substance abuse disorder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ADD/ADHD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unknown Diagnosis – Reported by Individual</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (not identified in case read)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Many may have more than one mental health concern and appear more than once.

**Other includes mood disorder, behavior disorder, oppositional defiance disorder and personality disorder.

Domestic Violence Concerns as Risk Factor

Domestic violence is often a precursor to child maltreatment and often an indicator to larger issues in the home. DFPS is working closely with staff, providers, and stakeholders to recognize and address domestic violence with the families involved with DFPS. Part of this work includes:

- the hiring of a subject matter expert within CPS;
- development of training for all staff;
- guidance on how to investigate, disposition allegations, and provide services to families where domestic violence or intimate partner violence is a concern;
- strengthening connections between local providers and DFPS so that consultations about the danger in the home are more accurate and interventions can be improved;
- working closely with the Texas Council on Family Violence, DFPS is addressing barriers to provide more families with batterer intervention services statewide; and
- through the safety decision-making process and practice model, staff are trained on how to assess, provide services and work with families to ensure that case closure is based on behavioral change and establish safety plans with the family that are long-term and address day-to-day danger that might jeopardize child safety.
DFPS Prevention and Early Intervention also funds several partnerships in the community with the local domestic violence intervention provider to provide direct services and outreach, including in the Austin, Waco, Victoria, and Amarillo areas.

During the review of confirmed child fatalities due to abuse and neglect, cases were reviewed for a documented history of domestic violence concerns and active concerns for domestic violence in the home at the time of the child fatality. As with other risk factors, there is concern that individuals are underreporting active domestic violence either to the department, law enforcement, or to community providers.

In FY2019, there was active domestic violence present in the home environment for 51 families. 73 percent of those families with active domestic violence had a child fatality due to physical abuse. A history of domestic violence was identified in 102 case reviews; in 53 of those cases, the child’s death was due to physical abuse.

**Figure 12. FY2019 Domestic Violence Concerns both Active and in Past History for Perpetrator Confirmed Child Abuse Neglect Fatalities**

![Venn Diagram showing the relationship between active domestic violence concerns and history of domestic violence concerns in child abuse neglect fatalities.]

*Source: DFPS individual case reviews*

**School and Day Care Enrollment as Protective Factor**

With 72 percent of child fatalities involving children age three and younger, protective and attentive parents and caregivers are critical to maintaining child safety. When a parent works, care for the child must be found; sometimes that care is a family member or friend, or commonly a day care provider. Finding good care for a child’s needs is critical, especially when the primary parent/caregiver to the child is out of the home. School and day care also provide another adult outside the family the opportunity to be around the child regularly and be on the
lookout for signs of abuse or neglect. Sixty percent of children who died due to abuse or neglect were not involved with either a registered or licensed day care or a school system that could have provided additional eyes and ears.

FY2019 Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities:
- In 140 of the 235 child fatalities due to abuse or neglect, the child was not enrolled either in a day care or in school. In 36 case reviews, the status of the child being in school or day care was unknown.
- In 59 of the 235 child fatalities due to abuse or neglect, the child was enrolled in day care or school. 8 of the fatalities occurred when school was out of session for the summer or winter break.
- In 7 of the 235 child fatalities due to abuse or neglect, the child was being cared for by a caregiver that should have been registered or licensed through DFPS but was not.
- In 2 of the 235 child fatalities due to abuse or neglect, the child was being cared for by a relative or babysitter and 2 children were home schooled.
Table 7. FY2019 Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities- By County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities</th>
<th>Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexar</td>
<td>008</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazoria</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazos</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooke</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donley</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ector</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floyd</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bend</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freestone</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galveston</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayson</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hale</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardin</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidalgo</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hockley</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FY2019 Child Fatality and Near Fatality Annual Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities</th>
<th>Child Abuse/Neglect Related Fatalities in Foster Care at Time*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLennan</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midland</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milam</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacogdoches</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueces</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochiltree</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Pinto</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randall</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusk</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jacinto</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Patricio</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titus</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Green</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharton</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>235</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Four fatalities occurred while the child was in foster care. In nine cases, the fatal injuries were caused prior to the child entering foster care and were caused by the child’s parent or caregiver.

Fatality Counts were frozen on 02/01/2020. Does not include corrections or updates, if any that may subsequently be made to DFPS data.

Includes child fatalities investigated and confirmed by Child Protective Investigations – Field Division (221), Child Day Care Investigations (9), and Residential Child Care Investigations (5)
FY2019 Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities - Case Review Data

Based on the confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities that occurred during FY2019 several key demographic areas allow for specialized targeting of prevention and intervention in child abuse and neglect cases. Data from these fatalities tells us that these parents would benefit from support, education and targeted campaigns. Communities can use this data to strategically message and target available resources for families and caregivers.

FY2019 Perpetrator Demographic and Characteristics - Relationship and History

Perpetrators
- Physical abuse in fatalities most commonly involved blunt force trauma or intentional trauma inflicted by a father or boyfriend--combined represent 62 percent (Figures 13-15).
- In all confirmed cases of abuse and neglect, parents continue to be the most common perpetrators (Figure 13).
- In 54.9 percent of the confirmed child abuse and neglect-related fatalities, the child or the perpetrator had no prior history with CPS (Figure 22, 23).

Figure 13. FY2019 Relationship of Primary Perpetrator to Victim
FY2019 Primary Perpetrator, Child Age and Cause of Death
This analysis looks for patterns in the child’s age and the type of primary perpetrator. Only those where the cause/manner of death was identified in six or more abuse or neglect related fatalities are detailed below. Other categories (such as suicide, house fire, physical neglect, medical neglect, neglectful supervision), each involved fewer than six children. All data in this section is based on case reviews.

![Figure 14. FY2019 Blunt Force Trauma Fatalities by Perpetrator](image)

*Number of victims: 56 children*

*Age range of victims: Newborn to 9-year-old child. 24 children were younger than one year old; 84% were age two or younger*

*Finding: Usually involve young children being physically abused by the father (30%) or a boyfriend (39%)*
Figure 15. FY2019 Intentional Physical Abuse Fatalities by Perpetrator

Number of victims: 38 children
Age range of victims: Newborn to 17-year-old youth. 25 children were age five and younger
Finding: Usually involved young children with primary perpetrator as mother (39.5%), father (34%), or boyfriend (16%).
Figure 16. FY2019 Drowning (Accidental) Fatalities by Perpetrator

Number of victims: 48 children
Age range of victims: 1 month old to 16-years-old. Thirty seven children were 5 and younger (77%).
Finding: Usually involve young children with mother as primary perpetrator (70.8%).

Figure 17. FY2019 Unsafe Sleep Fatalities by Perpetrator
(includes bed-sharing and unsafe sleep environments)

Number of victims: 30 children
Age range of victims: Newborn old to 1 year old
Finding: Involved infants with primary perpetrator generally the mother, father, or both mother and father.
Figure 18. FY2019 Fire Related Fatalities by Perpetrator

Number of victims: 12 children  
Age range of victims: 1 year old to 7 years old  
Finding: Usually happens while in care of the father (58%) or grandparent (25%).

Figure 19. FY2019 Firearm - Accidental Related Fatalities by Perpetrator

Number of victims: 6 children  
Age range of victims: 2 years old to 16 years old  
Finding: Usually happens while in care of the mother’s boyfriend (33%) or other relative (33%).
**Figure 20. FY2019 Medical Neglect - Related Fatalities by Perpetrator**

- **Number of victims:** 12 children
- **Age range of victims:** Newborn to 17 years old
- **Finding:** Usually happens while in care of the mother (69%), father (31%), or relative (31%).

**Figure 21. FY2019 Vehicle Related Fatalities by Perpetrator**

- **Number of victims:** 19 children
- **Age range of victims:** 5 months old to 11 years old
- **Finding:** Usually happens while in care of the father (53%) or mother (37%). There were seven fatalities due children being left in the vehicle (hyperthermia) and eight children who died as a result of a car accident where the driver was under the influence.
Prior CPS History in Child Abuse and Neglect-Related Fatalities

To better understand fatalities, it is important to identify if families had prior involvement with DFPS. DFPS defines prior CPS history broadly – if the deceased child or a designated perpetrator in the fatality had been in a CPI investigation or received CPS services before the child’s death. Under this definition, it counts as prior CPS history even if the last contact with CPS was several years before the death or was unrelated to the circumstances of the fatality. Even under this broad definition, most child abuse and neglect fatalities had no prior CPS history. In about 11 percent of the child abuse and neglect fatalities, CPS was involved with the family or the child at the time of the death. In 45.5 percent of confirmed child fatalities, CPS had been involved with the child or the perpetrator in the past.

Figure 22. CPS History for Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities by Fiscal Year

*Note: in FY2019, three children were fatally injured in the care of their parent; they were brought into DFPS conservatorship while hospitalized and then succumbed to their injuries during the open conservatorship stage. These are not included in the open stage count since the fatal injuries occurred prior to DFPS involvement.
Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report FT_06

A child fatality may occur in an open case such as Investigations, Family Based Safety Services, or Conservatorship. Most fatalities that occur when a child is in DFPS conservatorship are not abuse or neglect-related, but from terminal medical conditions that existed prior to DFPS intervention. Child abuse and neglect-related fatalities where the child died while CPS was involved with the family in FY2019 often consisted of neglectful supervision/unintentional acts.
(13 fatalities). Out of the 13 neglectful supervision/unintentional acts related fatalities, 6 children died as a result of drownings and 5 died due to unsafe sleeping arrangements.

For FY2019, based on Figures 22-24, the following themes are noted:

- In 21 child fatalities, the child or the child’s family was involved with CPI or CPS at the time of death and a new incident of abuse or neglect occurred.
  - Eight of the children were in an active investigation and a new incident of abuse or neglect occurred leading to the fatality.
  - One of the children was in an active Family Based Safety Services stage and in an active investigation when a new incident of abuse or neglect occurred leading to the fatality.
  - Four of the children were in an active Family Based Safety Services stage and a new incident of abuse or neglect occurred leading to the fatality.
  - Nine of the children or their family were involved in an active conservatorship stage at the time of the fatality.
  - Four children were in a foster care placement and a new incident occurred that led to the fatality. All four of the children died as a result of neglectful supervision by the foster care provider.
Four children were in kinship placements and a new incident of abuse or neglect occurred.

- One child was in a kinship placement and the kinship caregiver allowed the child to return to the parent’s care and a new incident occurred that led to the fatality. The father was the perpetrator of this fatality.
- Two children were in a kinship placement and a new incident occurred at this placement that lead to the fatality.
- One child was in a kinship placement and a new incident occurred that led to the fatality, while the child was being cared for by an illegal child care provider.
- One child was in a monitored return home with their parent and a new incident occurred once returned home that lead to the fatality.

For families with prior history, the majority had only one worker assigned during the family’s last involvement with DFPS (83 percent) and caseloads were often at 20 cases or fewer per staff member assigned.

- 13 families had two workers assigned, four families had three workers assigned and one family had four workers assigned.
- Starting caseloads: 30 with 10 or fewer cases; 46 with 11-20 cases; 25 with more than 20 cases; 6 were unknown due to the age of the history.
- Ending caseloads: 33 with 10 or fewer cases; 41 with 11-20 cases; 21 with more than 20 cases; 12 were unknown due to the age of the history or the staff member in transition between units.

In the 107 child fatalities with prior history:

- 25 families had prior involvement with Family Based Safety Services (FBSS).
  - 20 families had prior involvement with FBSS after an investigation concluded a reason to believe disposition.
- 15 involved the deceased child and a prior safety plan that required the parents, significant other or the designated perpetrator to have supervised contact with the children. Sixty-seven percent of safety plans were documented as being followed during the family’s involvement with DFPS.
  - Five families had an open FBSS stage at the time of the fatality. Four were being seen timely and complying with services.
  - On average, families were seen monthly, with their involvement in FBSS ranging from 3 months to one year. In general, initial visits were completed timely as the policy and practice is to work collaboratively with Investigations and the family to engage in FBSS services at case transfer.
  - Services offered in the previous or open stage include:
    - Counseling for family, individual, or group: 20 cases
    - Crisis intervention counseling: 1 case
    - Daycare or respite care: 2 cases
    - Domestic violence shelter or counseling: 4 cases
    - Drug testing or treatment: 19 cases
- Infant or early childhood screening or development services: 3 cases
- Mental health (psychological testing, mental health assessment or treatment, referral to local mental health authority): 6 cases
- Parenting skills / evidence-based parent education: 15 cases
- Other (housing, referrals, transportation, community based services): 6 cases

- 67 percent of families that had been involved with FBSS were reportedly fully compliant or partially compliant with their service plan.
Figure 24. FY2019 Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) Data on Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities Statewide

772
Child Fatality Investigations Statewide
Unduplicated Victims (Includes CCL, CPS, RCCL)

537
Not a child abuse or neglect related fatalities

235*
Confirmed child abuse or neglect related fatalities

221
CPI/CPS

5
RCCL

9
CCL

0
APS

128 (54.5%)
No Prior CPS Involvement

107 (45.5%)**
Prior CPS Involvement

83
Closed CPI/CPS case at time of death

21**
Open CPS case at time of death

46
Closed CPI Investigation

24
Closed CPS FBSS History

12
Closed DFPS Conservatorship

0
Closed CCI History

8
Open CPS Investigation stage at time of death

4
Open CPS FBSS stage at time of death

1
Open CPS FBSS and Investigation at time of death

9
Open CVS stage at time of death

4
Kinship Care – Child was in a kinship placement at the time of death

4
Foster Care - Designated Perpetrator was Foster Parent/ Caregiver at time of death

1
Family Reunification
Child’s fatal injuries were sustained once returned by the court

Note: *count is by child; **prior history can involve the victim or the perpetrator or both in any previous CPS stage of service. Includes duplication. *Note: In FY2019, three children were fatally injured in the care of their parent; they were brought into DFPS conservatorship while hospitalized and then succumbed to their injuries during the open conservatorship stage. These are not included in the open stage count since the fatal injuries occurred prior to DFPS involvement.

Common Abbreviations:
CCL: Child Care Licensing
CPI: Child Protective Investigations
CPS: Child Protective Services
CVS: Conservatorship
FBSS: Family Based Safety Services
Figure 25. FY2019 Prior History by Child/Perpetrator with Previous Involvement

Source: DFPS individual case reviews – includes history that may be purged from IMPACT but referenced in case narrative.

Figure 26. FY2019 Prior History Where Deceased Child was Present in Previous Involvement with Family

Source: DFPS individual case reviews – includes history that may be purged from IMPACT but referenced in case narrative.
Figure 27. FY2019 CPS History for Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities by Length of Time since Last Active Stage Closed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Time</th>
<th>Child or Child’s Family</th>
<th>Perpetrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No History</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 5 years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 years but less than 5 years</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 2 years</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Note: The figure above regard history for the child or the child’s family in accordance with Texas Family Code Sec. 264.5032. Report on Child Fatality and Near Fatality Data

Figure 28. FY2019 Prior History for Child or Child’s Family by Type of Previous Involvement

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Note: The figure above regard history for the child or the child’s family in accordance with Texas Family Code Sec. 264.5032. Report on Child Fatality and Near Fatality Data
Figure 29. FY2019 Prior History forPerpetrator by Type of Previous Involvement

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Note: The figure above regard history for the child or the child’s family in accordance with Texas Family Code Sec. 264.5032. Report on Child Fatality and Near Fatality Data

Figure 30. FY2019 CPS History for Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities – CPS Involvement with the Child or Child’s Family in the Two Years Prior to Fatality, by Prior Allegation Type and Disposition

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Figure 31. FY2019 CPS History for Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities – CPS Involvement with the Child or the Child’s Family in the Two Years Prior to Fatality, by Outcome of Prior Investigation

![Graph showing the percentage of confirmed CAN related fatalities by outcome of prior investigation.]

Source: DFPS individual case reviews

Figure 32. FY2019 CPS History for Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities – CPS Involvement with the Perpetrator in the Two Years Prior to Fatality, by Prior Allegation Type and Disposition

![Graph showing the percentage of confirmed CAN related fatalities by prior allegation type and disposition.]

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
During the case review of the confirmed child fatalities due to abuse and neglect, case history for two years prior to the fatality were reviewed. The prior allegation type was noted, regardless of overall disposition or outcome of the investigation involving the child or perpetrator.

With neglectful supervision as the cause for about 60 percent of all confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities in FY2019, this pattern is also repeated in the subset of confirmed fatalities where the child or perpetrator had previous history with DFPS within the prior two years to the fatality.

- When the perpetrator or the child was previously known to DFPS in the two years prior to fatality, the child fatality is often related to physical abuse or one of three major neglectful supervision issues: drowning, unsafe sleep, or neglect overall.
- When the child was previously known to DFPS in the two years prior to fatality because of prior physical abuse allegation, 69 percent were involved in a new incident of physical abuse which caused the fatality. In comparison, when the prior allegation was neglectful supervision, 46 percent were involved in a new incident of physical abuse which caused the fatality.
- When the perpetrator was previously known to DFPS in the two years prior to fatality because of prior physical abuse allegation, 60 percent were involved in a new incident of physical abuse which caused the fatality. In comparison, when the prior allegation was
neglectful supervision, 44 percent were involved in a new incident of physical abuse which caused the fatality.

Table 8. FY2019 Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities – CPS Involvement with the Child or Child’s Family in the Two Years Prior to Fatality, by Prior Allegation Type and Cause of Fatality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior Allegation Type</th>
<th>Drowning Related</th>
<th>Unsafe Sleep Related</th>
<th>Vehicle Related</th>
<th>Physical Abuse</th>
<th>Neglectful Supervision/Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior Physical Abuse Allegation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Neglectful Supervision Allegation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Sexual Abuse Allegation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Medical Neglect Allegiation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Physical Neglect Allegiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Refusal to Accept Parental Responsibility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Alternative Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with History</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Prior History or History Greater than Two Years</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Table 9. FY2019 Confirmed Child Abuse and Neglect Related Fatalities – CPS Involvement with the Perpetrator in the Two Years Prior to Fatality, by Prior Allegation Type and Cause of Fatality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior Allegation Type</th>
<th>Drowning Related</th>
<th>Unsafe Sleep Related</th>
<th>Vehicle Related</th>
<th>Physical Abuse</th>
<th>Neglectful Supervision/ Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior Physical Abuse Allegation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Neglectful Supervision Allegation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Sexual Abuse Allegation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Medical Neglect Allegation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Physical Neglect Allegation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Alternative Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total with History</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td><strong>67</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Prior History or History Greater than Two Years</strong></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
<td><strong>31</strong></td>
<td><strong>168</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>48</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
<td><strong>94</strong></td>
<td><strong>44</strong></td>
<td><strong>235</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: DFPS individual case reviews*
Child Fatalities Not Caused by Abuse and Neglect but Abuse or Neglect Confirmed Overall

The Federal Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) and Texas Family Code (Tex. Fam. Code §261.203 and Tex. Fam. Code §261.004) require that specific information about fatalities caused by or the result of abuse or neglect be reported. The Texas Family Code considers all other information to be confidential. (Tex. Fam. Code §261.201) As a result, case specific details on child fatalities where abuse or neglect was not the cause of the fatality cannot be individually reported. Utilizing aggregate information to analyze child fatalities in which abuse or neglect occurred but did not cause the fatality can help target specific prevention and intervention services both in the community and by DFPS contractors. It is important to remember that dispositions in child fatality investigations are reviewed by several levels of management and rely heavily on medical personnel and law enforcement.

Further analysis and individual case readings in these types of investigations are a useful tool to inform strategies to prevent child fatalities and ensure consistency in investigations in which a child fatality has occurred. These cases continue to have similar demographics in FY2019 as confirmed child fatalities caused by abuse and neglect in previous years: the victim is often under a year old, male, and often there is a component of neglectful supervision. One continued difference is that victims in this category are often three months of age or younger at the time of their death. Many situations involve premature delivery of a newborn child (unrelated to suspected abuse or neglect) alongside other concerns in the home that rise to the level of confirmed maltreatment.

General Findings

- In FY2019, there were 128 child fatalities where the death was not related to abuse or neglect, but the investigation found abuse or neglect had occurred in the home.
- 81 child fatalities where the death was not related to abuse or neglect had some form of prior history (63 percent).
- Most child fatalities that were not found to be abuse or neglect related are due to health related issues, followed by deaths determined by the medical examiner as unable to determine.

Victim Children

- 18 of the 128 children were previous alleged victims but allegations were not confirmed in prior cases.
- 16 of the 128 children were previously confirmed victims in prior cases.
- 17 of the 128 children were involved in Family Based Safety Services previously and 5 had been involved in DFPS conservatorship.
Perpetrators
- 31 of the confirmed perpetrators were previously alleged perpetrators but allegations were not confirmed in prior cases.
- 40 of the confirmed perpetrators were previously confirmed perpetrators in prior cases.
  - The cause of death in these 41 confirmed cases were: natural, health-related, undetermined, accidental suffocation, sudden unexplained infant death and unsafe sleep.

Figure 34. Age of Child at Death by Fiscal Year

Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report ft_12
Figure 35. Gender of Deceased Child by Fiscal Year

Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report ft_12

Figure 36. Ethnicity of Deceased Child by Fiscal Year

Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report ft_12
Figure 37. FY2019 - Investigated Child Fatalities that were not Abuse and Neglect Related Fatality but Maltreatment Confirmed in Investigation (RTB with Severity Type Other than Fatal) -- Cause of Fatality and Age of Child

Source: DFPS Data Warehouse Report ft_12
Child Fatalities in Texas within the National Context

Varying definitions of abuse and neglect among states: The Children’s Bureau of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services publishes *Child Maltreatment*, an annual report comprising data from the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS). While this data allows for some comparison between the states that report, there are several areas where states differ in reporting such as inconsistent definitions of abuse/neglect, variation in the definition of previous history, and states limiting reportable child fatalities to only those children already known to or actively involved in the child welfare system.

Texas' definition of abuse and neglect is broad: Texas addresses these issues by having very broad abuse and neglect definitions and mandatory reporting so that any child fatality alleged to involve abuse or neglect, either while the child was alive or as part of the fatality itself, is investigated and reported to NCANDS if the death is a confirmed child abuse or neglect related fatality. Texas is able to do this through:

- requiring any person who believes that a child has been or may be abused or neglected or has died of abuse or neglect to report his or her concerns, with a heightened reporting requirement for professionals;  
- investigating any report of child abuse or neglect allegedly committed by a person responsible for a child’s care, custody, or welfare;  
- including in the definition of child abuse and neglect the use of a controlled substance and defining medical neglect as the failure to seek, obtain, or follow through with medical care for the child;  
- defining prior history very broadly.

Defining prior history: While other states limit prior history to those cases with previous investigations, direct service delivery, or conservatorship of the child within a certain time, Texas does not limit either the time or type of involvement when reporting history. Texas defines prior history as, at the time of the child fatality, either the deceased child or a designated perpetrator in the fatality had been in an investigation or received CPS services before the child’s death. According to this definition, it counts as prior CPS history even if the last contact with CPS was several years before the death, the perpetrator was involved with a different family, the deceased child was not yet born, or if the history was completely unrelated to the circumstances of the fatality.

Per capita rate: Given the broad definitions in Texas, the Texas per capita rate for child abuse and neglect fatalities (rate per 100,000 children in the child population), has been higher than the national average. For federal fiscal year 2018 (the most recent year reported for all states), the Texas rate was 2.70 confirmed child abuse and neglect related fatalities per 100,000 compared to a national average of 2.39 confirmed child abuse and neglect related fatalities per 100,000. It is important to note that for federal reporting, not all states report data and child fatalities are reported during the federal fiscal year in which the death was determined to have been caused by maltreatment which is not necessarily the year in which the child died. Additionally, there are not common reporting and definition requirements when calculating child fatalities and it has been estimated that as many as 50 percent to 60 percent of child deaths...
resulting from abuse or neglect are not recorded as such. Some states do not even report at all; for example, in the annual federal *Child Maltreatment 2018* report, Massachusetts did not report on child fatalities and other states only report fatalities where they had been involved with the family within certain timeframes or only specific causes of death.

**Near Fatalities**

In FY2019, Texas had 100 confirmed abuse and neglect-related near fatalities, an increase of 22 percent compared to FY2018. The most common cause of abuse and neglect-related near fatalities involved physical abuse to include blunt force, inflicted trauma and abusive head injury also known as shaken baby syndrome, which accounted for 56 percent of the near fatalities in FY2019. Compared to FY2018, near fatalities due to physical abuse increased 34 percent. The largest decrease was seen in vehicle-related near fatalities which dropped by 9 percent (Figure 40).

During FY2019, children age three and younger accounted for 65 percent of the confirmed child abuse and neglect-related near fatalities. Hispanic children comprised the largest percentage of children who experienced a near fatal incident due to abuse or neglect at 39 percent. Male children made up more than half of all confirmed near fatalities.

The highest number of abuse and neglect-related near fatalities were seen in Region 6 (Greater Houston) with 25 near fatalities followed by Region 8 (San Antonio) and Region 3 (Dallas/Ft. Worth) each with 14 near fatalities.

**Figure 38. Abuse/Neglect Related Near Fatalities by Fiscal Year**

![Graph showing near fatalities by fiscal year](source: DFPS individual case reviews)
Figure 39. FY 2019 Near Fatality Dispositions by Age of Child

Newborn - 3m, 26, 26%
4m to 12m, 14, 14%
1-3 years, 39, 39%
4-6 years, 10, 10%
7-9 years, 2, 2%
10 - 17 years, 9, 9%

Source: DFPS individual case reviews and Data Warehouse nf_01

Figure 40. FY2019 –Near Fatality Dispositions by Cause

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Cause of Confirmed Child Abuse or Neglect Fatalities in FY2019 - Statewide</th>
<th>56</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Abuse - Blunt Force &amp; Inflicted Trauma</td>
<td>Vehicle Related</td>
<td>Unsafe Sleep</td>
<td>Non-Fatal Drowning</td>
<td>Neglectful Supervision</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other includes medical neglect, physical neglect, attempted suicide, premature birth due to drug use, and abandonment at birth.

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Figure 33. FY2019 Relationship of Primary Perpetrator to Victim

Source: DFPS individual case reviews

Note: Number of victims: 100; however, in many cases more than one functional perpetrator was identified.

Figure 42. Active Substance Use by Caregiver and/or Perpetrator

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
Figure 43. FY2019 Active Domestic Violence Concerns for Caregiver and/or Perpetrator

Source: DFPS individual case reviews

Figure 44. FY2019 Mental Health for Caregivers and/or Perpetrator

Source: DFPS individual case reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Health Concerns Active</th>
<th>History of Mental Health Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/Not Documented</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DFPS individual case reviews
In 53 near fatalities, the family had prior history with the department.
- In one case, the boyfriend of the mother had been involved with another family in which a child died of fatal physical abuse and the designated perpetrator was unknown.
- 40 families had prior investigations that were closed without ongoing DFPS involvement.
- 13 families had prior FBSS involvement and at some point during the FBSS stage had a safety plan in place. Ninety-eight percent of families reportedly complied or partially complied with their safety plan during services.
  o On average, families were seen monthly, with their involvement in FBSS ranging from 3 months to one year. In general, initial visits were made timely as the policy and practice is to work collaboratively with Investigations and the family to engage in FBSS services at case transfer.
  ▪ Services offered in the previous or open stage include:
    • Counseling for family, individual, or group: 10 cases
    • Daycare or respite care: 3 cases
    • Domestic violence shelter or counseling: 2 cases
    • Drug testing or treatment: 11 cases
    • Household needs (utilities, household items, furniture, etc.): 1 case
    • Case-aide services: 1 case
    • Infant or early childhood screening or development services: 1 case
    • Mental health (psychological testing, mental health assessment or treatment, referral to local mental health authority): 3 cases
    • Parenting skills / evidence-based parent education: 5 cases
  ▪ Ninety-eight percent of families that had been involved with FBSS were reportedly fully compliant or partially compliant with their service plan.
- In 13 of the 53 cases with prior history, initial contacts were made timely in 81 percent of the qualifying investigations.
Prevention Programs
DFPS Prevention and Early Intervention Division (PEI) assists communities in identifying, developing, and delivering high quality prevention and early intervention programs through contracts with community-based organizations, local governments, and school districts to provide services to promote positive outcomes for children, youth, families, and communities. PEI programs reached more than 65,000 families in FY2019. Ninety-nine percent of children and youth remained safe from maltreatment while receiving PEI services and more than 96 percent of youth engaged in services did not become involved with the juvenile justice system.

The current PEI-contracted programs include services for children, youth, and families.

Childhood Programs (Primarily Serving Children 0-5)

- **Healthy Outcomes through Prevention and Early Support (HOPES)** promotes community collaboration through parent education, home visiting services, and other support services for families with children 5 years old and younger who are considered at risk for abuse and neglect. Counties were selected after identifying those at greatest risk for child maltreatment, focusing on risks most strongly tied to child abuse and neglect, such as domestic violence, substance abuse, teen pregnancy, child poverty, and child abuse fatalities.

- **Texas Home Visiting (THV)** supports the development and implementation of home visiting programs in at-risk communities across Texas and contributes to the development of a comprehensive early childhood system promoting maternal, infant, and early childhood health, safety, and development, as well as strong parent-child relationships in these communities.

- **Texas Nurse Family Partnership Program (TNFP)** was established by S.B. 156, 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007. This program is a voluntary, evidence-based program that helps transform the lives of vulnerable first-time mothers and their babies through regular home visitation by specially trained registered nurses. TNFP's mission is to empower first-time mothers living in poverty to successfully change their lives and the lives of their children through evidence-based nurse home visiting. Targeted services are designed to improve pregnancy outcomes by helping women engage in good preventive health practices, including getting prenatal care from their healthcare providers, improving their diet, and reducing their use of cigarettes, alcohol, and illegal substances and improve child health and development by helping parents provide responsible and competent care.

- **Safe Babies Evaluation** is an initiative and evaluation required by Budget Rider 39 from the 84th Legislature. The purpose of the project is to provide and evaluate hospital or clinic-based interventions that are designed to prevent maltreatment, especially abusive head trauma, in the first year after birth. Over 2,000 families will be provided prevention services and the evaluation will estimate the impact of abusive head trauma prevention efforts across the state.

Youth Programs
• **Services to At-Risk Youth (STAR)** provides family crisis intervention counseling, short-term emergency respite care, and individual and family counseling. This program is available in all counties in Texas.

• **Community Youth Development (CYD)** uses various approaches to prevent juvenile delinquency, including mentoring, youth employment programs, and recreational activities.

• **Statewide Youth Services Network (SYSN)** provides community and evidence-based juvenile delinquency prevention programs.

**Family Programs**

• **Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP).** CBCAP programs seek to increase community awareness of existing prevention services, strengthen community and parental involvement in child abuse prevention efforts, and encourage families to engage in services that are already available. CBCAP programs in FY2019 and FY 2020 include:
  - Fatherhood Effects;
  - Basic parent education;
  - Respite care;
  - Public awareness campaigns such as Safe Sleep and other special initiatives.

• **Helping through Intervention and Prevention (HIP)** provides targeted families with an extensive family assessment, home visiting that includes parent education, and basic needs support. Families with a new child and a prior history of a confirmed child maltreatment fatality or termination of parental rights are eligible. Former foster youth and current foster youth who are expecting and/or are new parents may also access HIP services.

• **Military & Veterans Family Program (Military Families)** was established by HB 19 from the 84th Legislature to develop and implement a preventive services initiative targeted to serve military families and veterans. This program is currently in El Paso, San Antonio, and the Killeen/Belton area. The Military Families program is intended to address child abuse and neglect by providing prevention services based on the needs identified in a Community Needs Assessment and through collaboration with the local Family Advocacy Program office located on the targeted military installation. The program seeks to increase protective factors of families served, thereby reducing the likelihood of a caregiver abusing a child and strengthening the resiliency of the family and community to prevent future maltreatment.

• **Texas Youth and Runaway Hotlines (TY&R)** is a 24-hour toll-free hotline offering crisis intervention, telephone counseling, and referrals to troubled youth and families. The hotline also includes text messaging and online chat to help support youth and families in need.

**Prevention and Early Intervention - Public Awareness Campaigns**

DFPS has several public awareness campaigns and services through Prevention and Early Intervention. Through these campaigns and resources, DFPS is able to provide information to
the general population – not just those people who have been involved with the CPS system. These campaigns target specific issues that lead to child abuse and neglect, including fatalities. **Campains include:**

- **Help and Hope** on how to connect with community-based resources.\(^\text{13}\)
- **Room to Breathe** on safe sleep practices for infants.\(^\text{14}\)
- **Watch Kids Around Water** about drowning prevention.\(^\text{15}\)
- **Look Before You Lock** on preventing deaths in hot cars.\(^\text{16}\)
- **Don’t be in the Dark** on selecting regulated child care.\(^\text{17}\)

PEI also houses the Office of Child Safety which independently analyzes individual child abuse and neglect fatalities, near fatalities and serious injuries as well as the risk factors and systemic issues involved. This involves reviewing state and national trends regarding child fatalities, near fatalities, and serious injuries in DFPS cases and in the general population as well as strategies that can be deployed by DFPS programs and by other state agencies and local communities. With the overarching goal of supporting implementation of prevention and intervention strategies to address and reduce fatal and serious child maltreatment, the Office of Child Safety is specifically tasked with:

- Producing consistent, transparent, and timely review of child fatalities and serious injuries by independent experts outside any specific program;
- Assessing root causes of child fatalities to provide guidance on the most effective prevention changes as well as improvements in child welfare practices;
- Operating with the understanding that many systems impact outcomes for children and that prevention and intervention efforts will involve many sectors and non-traditional partners;
- Working closely with the Department of State Health Services (DSHS) and others to share data and information; and
- Developing strategic recommendations to bring together local agencies, private sector, non-profits, and government programs to reduce child abuse and neglect fatalities.

As part of this effort, DFPS and DSHS released the joint report "Strategic Plan to Reduce Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities" in March 2015. This report identified certain risk factors and commonalities between confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities including individual and community risk factors for child abuse and neglect. Almost half of the confirmed child abuse and neglect fatalities have no previous involvement with DFPS, highlighting the importance of population-based strategies to reduce these deaths. By utilizing a public health approach to understand, analyze, and build a comprehensive approach to target child abuse and neglect fatalities, DFPS and DSHS can leverage resources, programs, and community collaborations to target specific issues and geographical areas based on their individual needs. The "Strategic Plan" provided recommendations to address child fatalities from a public health prospective in four broad areas such as fatalities surrounding vehicle safety (hyperthermia and pedestrian fatalities), safe sleep practices, and intimate partner violence.
This work has been expanded to analyze child maltreatment, including fatalities, and build a public health approach between both agencies that addresses child maltreatment risk and protective factors.

The Office of Child Safety also hosts training sessions across the state. Topics presented at these training sessions are focused on issues surrounding child safety and addressing critical casework across various programs and stages of services.

Initiatives & Program Improvement

Internal Initiatives and Program Improvement
DFPS undertook several initiatives designed to reduce child abuse and neglect overall, with a focus on addressing child abuse and neglect-related fatalities. Also, several national and state efforts are currently under way to address child fatalities.

Centralizing Investigations – In September 2017, DFPS centralized investigations under the DFPS Commissioner. The division, referred to as Child Protective Investigations (CPI), includes Child Protective investigations (CPI), Child Care investigations (CCI), Residential Child Care investigations (RCI) and Special Investigators. The Investigation Division focuses on improving investigation practice and policy. It is responsible for developing policy and procedures consistent with best practices in child protective services as well as implementing legislative mandates.

Streamlining and Strengthening Policy – CPI and CPS have streamlined and updated current policy handbook – separating policy from best practice and improving the content, clarity, and accuracy of policy. CPI and CPS have also created a better process for communicating policy changes in a more coordinated and effective manner, so that staff can more readily digest and understand agency policies. Policy surrounding specific topics in child safety have been added or clarified, such as the requirement to assess and discuss safe sleep practices whenever there is a child under the age of one in the home and additional guidance on engaging families through Family Based Safety Services.

Risk and Safety Assessments - Risk assessments and structured decision-making tools are fully implemented. The safety assessment tool assists a caseworker during the first contact with a child and family, a critical opportunity to assess safety. The risk assessment tool is an objective tool to support safety interventions and based on actuarial principles that have been scientifically accepted and adapted for Texas.

Utilizing Predictive Analytics - DFPS is expanding the use of predictive analytics to address emerging problems, coordinate and improve fragmented quality assurance processes, and establish clear accountability for overseeing change in state office and in
the regions. Examples of this work includes utilizing predictive analytics to improve child safety in Family Based Safety Services cases by conducting real time case reviews in high-risk cases and additional staffings when a new intake is received on open stages of service.

Statewide Internal and External Child Fatality Review Committees

Child Safety Review Committee - DFPS Review Team with External Stakeholders
The Child Safety Review Committee (CSRC) examines issues that have implications for CPS policy and practice. It consists of internal and external stakeholders. The group reviews all information collected by each Regional Child Death Review Committee and makes recommendations to CPS based on those trends and patterns. Recommendations from the CSRC have included training and additional resources for working with families with active substance abuse, domestic violence/intimate partner violence, and children with special medical needs.

DSHS State Child Fatality Review Team Committee (SCFRT) - Volunteer Team with DFPS and DSHS membership
The State Committee is a multidisciplinary group comprised of members throughout Texas. Its mission is to reduce the number of preventable child deaths and its purpose is threefold:

- To develop an understanding of the causes and incidence of child deaths in Texas;
- To identify procedures within the agencies represented on the Committee to reduce the number of preventable child deaths; and
- To promote public awareness and make recommendations to the Governor and the Legislature for changes in law, policy, and practice to reduce the number of preventable child deaths.

DSHS publishes an annual report from the SCFRT. The most recent report is: Texas Child Fatality Review Biennial Report - April 2018

Local Child Fatality Review Teams (CFRT) - Volunteer Teams with DFPS and DSHS membership
CFRTs are multidisciplinary, multiagency working groups that review child deaths on a local level from a public health perspective. By reviewing circumstances surrounding child deaths, teams identify prevention strategies that will decrease the incidence of preventable child deaths by:

- Providing assistance, direction, and coordination to investigations of child deaths;
- Promoting cooperation, communication, and coordination among agencies involved in responding to child fatalities;
- Developing an understanding of the causes and incidence of child deaths in the county or counties in which the team is located;
- Recommending changes to agencies, through the agency’s representative member, that will reduce the number of preventable child deaths; and
- Advising the State Committee on changes to law, policy, or practice that will assist the team and the agencies represented on the team in fulfilling their duties.
Texas CFRTs vary in size and the number of counties for which they review child deaths. Several teams each review deaths for one county while others review deaths for two or more. The largest number of counties any single Texas team covers is 26.

**Protect Our Kids Commission**

During the 83rd Texas Legislature, Senate Bill 66 established the Protect Our Kids Commission and tasked the Commission with studying the relationship between CPS, child welfare services, and the rate of child abuse and neglect fatalities. The Commission identified necessary resources and developed recommendations to reduce child abuse and neglect fatalities that can be implemented at the local and state level. DFPS served as one of the 15 members on the Commission. Recommendations from the Protect Our Kids Commission include:

- Prioritize prevention services using a geographic focus for families with the greatest needs.
- Utilizing a DFPS advisory board to make recommendations for a state strategy to promote child safety and well-being.
- Supporting local Child Fatality Review Teams to ensure coordination, training, and consistency as well as better utilization of the State Child Fatality Review Team.
- Using data to inform a public health approach to preventing child fatalities


**National Initiatives and Program Improvement**

**Casey Family Programs - Child Safety Forums**

Since 2010, DFPS has participated in Child Safety forums hosted by Casey Family Programs to address child fatalities. Forums are focused on bringing together researchers, policy makers, child welfare and public health leaders to address a variety of approaches to address child safety. Forums have included topics such as:

- Improving Child Safety and Reducing Child Maltreatment Fatalities
- Applying Public Health Approaches to Improve Safety and Prevent Child Fatalities
- Focusing on Child Protection
- Reframing Public Perception
- Application of Predictive Risk Modeling

**Federal Commission for the Elimination of Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities**

Commission to Eliminate Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities (CECANF), is charged with developing a national strategy and recommendations for reducing child abuse and neglect fatalities. DFPS presented to CECANF in June 2014 at their first national meeting in San Antonio. This meeting was focused on gathering information related to federal policy’s impact
on the state/local level, current data and research, and policy/practice associated with child abuse and neglect fatalities. Texas continues to participate in CECANF’s ongoing meetings and work closely with other states to help create a national standard in defining child abuse and neglect, requirements for reporting, and addressing child fatalities from a public health perspective.

The final report from the Federal Commission for the Elimination of Child Abuse and Neglect Fatalities is available at: https://eliminatechildabusefatalities.sites.usa.gov/
Endnotes

1 DFPS will review and complete an investigation on any child who dies within DFPS conservatorship or an open stage of service such as investigations or family preservation when the fatality is alleged to be from abuse or neglect or had injuries previously investigated, and then subsequently succumbs to those injuries are included in the year of his or her death.


4 See SB1050 enrolled bill at: http://www.legis.state.tx.us/tlodocs/81R/billtext/html/SB01050F.htm


10 Substance abuse is often a determining factor in child fatality cases, especially in situations where the child dies from positional asphyxiation or overlay from sharing a sleep surface with an intoxicated parent or in cases involving neglectful supervision of the child such as drowning, car accidents, and firearm fatalities.

11 Tex. Fam. Code §261.001 Definitions

13 DFPS Public Website, http://www.helpandhope.org

14 DFPS Public Website, http://www.helpandhope.org/Safe_Sleep/default.asp


16 DFPS Public Website, http://www.helpandhope.org/Parenting_Tips/Articles/hot-cars.asp

17 DFPS Public Website, https://www.dfps.state.tx.us/child_care/search_texas_child_care/

18 DSHS State Child Fatality Review Team Members, https://www.dhs.state.tx.us/mch/child_fatality_review.shtm?terms=SCFRT